IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 1, December 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

Sustainable Manufacturing through the Integration of Additive and Subtractive Processes

Aldrin Raja Dhas D[1] and Dr. Rakesh Erappa Jinaga[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering
OPJS University, Churu

Abstract: *Sustainable manufacturing has emerged as a critical objective in modern industrial systems due to increasing concerns regarding resource depletion, environmental degradation, and energy consumption. Manufacturing industries are under pressure to reduce waste generation, improve material utilization, minimize carbon emissions, and enhance product quality while maintaining economic competitiveness. Additive Manufacturing and Subtractive Manufacturing represent two fundamental manufacturing paradigms with distinct advantages and limitations. While additive manufacturing offers material efficiency and design flexibility, subtractive manufacturing provides superior dimensional accuracy and surface finish. Recently, the integration of additive and subtractive processes into hybrid manufacturing systems has gained significant attention as a sustainable production strategy.*

Hybrid manufacturing combines the advantages of both approaches to reduce material waste, improve productivity, lower energy consumption, and enhance product performance. This review paper examines the principles, technologies, sustainability benefits, challenges, and future prospects of integrated additive-subtractive manufacturing systems. The study highlights how hybrid manufacturing contributes to sustainable development by promoting resource efficiency, circular economy practices, and environmentally responsible production.

Keywords: Subtractive Manufacturing, Hybrid Manufacturing, Industry 4.0, Energy Efficiency, Circular Economy, Green Manufacturing

I. INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing industries play a crucial role in economic development but are also significant contributors to environmental pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and resource depletion. Traditional manufacturing systems often involve extensive material removal, high energy consumption, and substantial waste generation. Consequently, sustainable manufacturing has become a strategic priority for industries worldwide. Sustainable manufacturing refers to the creation of products through economically sound processes that minimize environmental impacts while conserving energy and natural resources.

Additive Manufacturing, commonly known as 3D printing, builds components layer-by-layer from digital models. In contrast, Subtractive Manufacturing removes material from a workpiece through machining operations such as milling, drilling, turning, and grinding. Both technologies possess unique strengths and limitations. AM enables the fabrication of complex geometries with minimal material waste, whereas SM ensures high dimensional precision and superior surface quality. The integration of these technologies has led to the development of Hybrid Manufacturing Systems, which combine additive deposition and subtractive machining within a single manufacturing platform.

The growing adoption of hybrid manufacturing is driven by sustainability objectives, including waste reduction, energy optimization, lifecycle improvement, and enhanced material utilization.

FUNDAMENTALS OF ADDITIVE AND SUBTRACTIVE MANUFACTURING

A. Additive Manufacturing

Additive manufacturing creates parts through successive material deposition.
Common technologies include:
Selective Laser Melting
Fused Deposition Modeling
Direct Energy Deposition
Electron Beam Melting
Binder Jetting

B. Subtractive Manufacturing

Subtractive manufacturing removes unwanted material to achieve desired geometries.
Examples include:
CNC Milling
Turning
Grinding
Drilling
Electrical Discharge Machining

COMPARISON OF ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING AND SUBTRACTIVE MANUFACTURING

Parameter	Additive Manufacturing	Subtractive Manufacturing
Material Utilization	High	Moderate
Surface Finish	Moderate	Excellent
Design Complexity	Excellent	Limited
Material Waste	Low	High
Production Speed	Moderate	High
Precision	Moderate	High
Tool Requirement	Minimal	Extensive
Sustainability Potential	High	Moderate

CONCEPT OF HYBRID MANUFACTURING

Hybrid manufacturing integrates additive and subtractive operations into a unified production system.
The process typically follows:
Additive deposition of material.
Intermediate machining operations.
Final precision finishing.
The hybrid workflow can be represented as:

$$[HM = AM + SM]$$

Where:
HM = Hybrid Manufacturing
AM = Additive Manufacturing
SM = Subtractive Manufacturing
This integration enables manufacturers to exploit the strengths of both technologies while minimizing their individual limitations.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 1, December 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

SUSTAINABILITY BENEFITS OF HYBRID MANUFACTURING

A. Material Efficiency

Traditional machining often results in significant material wastage.

Material Utilization Ratio:

$$[MUR = \frac{M_f}{M_i} \times 100]$$

Where:

(M_f) = Final product mass

(M_i) = Initial material mass

Hybrid manufacturing improves MUR by near-net-shape production before machining.

Table 1: Material Utilization Comparison

Manufacturing Method	Material Utilization (%)
Conventional Machining	35–60
Additive Manufacturing	80–95
Hybrid Manufacturing	85–98

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Hybrid systems reduce machining time and minimize unnecessary material removal.

Energy Consumption:

$[E = P \times t]$

Where:

E = ENERGY CONSUMPTION

P = POWER REQUIREMENT

T = PROCESSING TIME

Near-net-shape additive fabrication significantly decreases machining duration, resulting in lower energy demand.

WASTE REDUCTION

Hybrid manufacturing supports green production through reduced scrap generation.

Process	Waste Generation
Casting	High
Machining	High
Additive Manufacturing	Low
Hybrid Manufacturing	Very Low

CARBON EMISSION REDUCTION

Lower energy requirements directly reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Carbon Footprint:

$[CO_2 = E \times EF]$

Where:

E = Energy Consumption

EF = Emission Factor

Hybrid systems demonstrate lower lifecycle carbon footprints compared with conventional manufacturing routes.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

A. Aerospace Industry

Hybrid manufacturing is widely used for:

Turbine blades

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 1, December 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

Rocket nozzles
Aircraft structural components
Benefits include:
Weight reduction
Improved fuel efficiency
Reduced material waste

B. Biomedical Industry
Applications include:
Patient-specific implants
Dental prostheses
Orthopedic devices
Advantages:
Customization
High precision
Reduced production waste

C. Automotive Industry
Applications include:
Engine components
Lightweight brackets
Tooling systems
Benefits:
Rapid prototyping
Reduced manufacturing costs
Sustainable production

INDUSTRY 4.0 AND SMART MANUFACTURING INTEGRATION
Hybrid manufacturing aligns closely with Industry 4.0 technologies.
Key enabling technologies include:
Artificial Intelligence
Digital Twins
Internet of Things (IoT)
Machine Learning
Cloud Manufacturing
These technologies enable:
Real-time process monitoring
Predictive maintenance
Resource optimization
Quality control automation

CHALLENGES IN HYBRID MANUFACTURING
Despite numerous benefits, several challenges remain.

Challenge	Impact
High Capital Cost	Limited adoption
Process Complexity	Increased setup requirements

DOI: 10.48175/568

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 1, December 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

Material Compatibility	Restricted material combinations
Thermal Distortion	Dimensional inaccuracies
Skilled Workforce Requirement	Training challenges
Process Standardization	Lack of universal standards

Addressing these challenges is essential for widespread industrial adoption.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future developments should focus on:

AI-assisted process optimization.

Multi-material hybrid manufacturing.

Sustainable feedstock development.

Lifecycle assessment models.

Carbon-neutral manufacturing systems.

Smart adaptive machining strategies.

The integration of machine learning and digital twins is expected to significantly improve sustainability performance.

II. CONCLUSION

The integration of additive and subtractive manufacturing processes represents a transformative approach toward sustainable manufacturing. Hybrid manufacturing systems successfully combine the material efficiency and design freedom of additive manufacturing with the accuracy and surface quality of subtractive manufacturing. This integration significantly improves resource utilization, reduces waste generation, minimizes energy consumption, and lowers environmental impacts. Furthermore, the incorporation of Industry 4.0 technologies enhances process optimization and operational efficiency.

Although challenges related to cost, complexity, and standardization remain, ongoing technological advancements are expected to accelerate the adoption of hybrid manufacturing systems. As industries strive to achieve sustainability goals and circular economy objectives, integrated additive-subtractive manufacturing is poised to become a cornerstone of future smart manufacturing ecosystems.

REFERENCES

[1]. Attaran, M. (2017). The rise of 3D printing: The advantages of additive manufacturing over traditional manufacturing. *Business Horizons, 60*(5), 677–688.

[2]. Berman, B. (2012). 3D printing: The new industrial revolution. *Business Horizons, 55*(2), 155–162.

[3]. Bourell, D., Kruth, J. P., Leu, M., Levy, G., Rosen, D., Beese, A., & Clare, A. (2017). Materials for additive manufacturing. *CIRP Annals, 66*(2), 659–681.

[4]. DebRoy, T., Wei, H. L., Zuback, J. S., Mukherjee, T., Elmer, J., Milewski, J., Beese, A., Wilson-Heid, A., De, A., & Zhang, W. (2018). Additive manufacturing of metallic components. *Progress in Materials Science, 92*, 112–224.

[5]. Duflou, J. R., Kellens, K., Renaldi, R., & Dewulf, W. (2017). Environmental assessment of additive manufacturing processes. *CIRP Annals, 66*(2), 721–747.

[6]. Frazier, W. E. (2014). Metal additive manufacturing: A review. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance, 23*(6), 1917–1928.

[7]. Gao, W., Zhang, Y., Ramanujan, D., Ramani, K., Chen, Y., Williams, C., Wang, C., Shin, Y. C., Zhang, S., & Zavattieri, P. (2015). The status, challenges, and future of additive manufacturing. *Computer-Aided Design, 69*, 65–89.

[8]. Gibson, I., Rosen, D., & Stucker, B. (2021). *Additive manufacturing technologies* (3rd ed.). Springer.

[9]. Guo, N., & Leu, M. C. (2013). Additive manufacturing. *Frontiers of Mechanical Engineering, 8*(3), 215–243.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 11, Issue 1, December 2020
Impact Factor: 4.819

[10]. Herzog, D., Seyda, V., Wycisk, E., & Emmelmann, C. (2016). Additive manufacturing of metals. *Acta Materialia, 117*, 371–392.

[11]. Klocke, F. (2011). *Manufacturing processes 1: Cutting*. Springer.

[12]. Leino, M., Pekkarinen, J., & Soukka, R. (2016). Environmental analysis of additive manufacturing. *Journal of Industrial Ecology, 20*(6), 1238–1249.

[13]. Ngo, T. D., Kashani, A., Imbalzano, G., Nguyen, K. T., & Hui, D. (2018). Additive manufacturing. *Composites Part B, 143*, 172–196.

[14]. Rejeski, D., Zhao, F., & Huang, Y. (2018). Research needs and recommendations on environmental implications of additive manufacturing. *Additive Manufacturing, 19*, 21–28.

[15]. Rosen, D. W. (2014). Design for additive manufacturing. *Additive Manufacturing, 4*, 1–4.

[16]. Slotwinski, J., Garboczi, E., & Hebenstreit, K. (2014). Porosity measurements in additive manufacturing. *Journal of Research of NIST, 119*, 494–528.

[17]. Thompson, M. K., Moroni, G., Vaneker, T., Fadel, G., Campbell, R., Gibson, I., Bernard, A., Schulz, J., Graf, P., Ahuja, B., & Martina, F. (2016). Design for additive manufacturing. *CIRP Annals, 65*(2), 737–760.

[18]. Wang, Y., Blache, R., & Xu, X. (2017). Computer-supported additive manufacturing. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 45*, 1–13.

[19]. Yao, X., Zhou, J., Lin, Y., & Yu, H. (2020). Hybrid additive-subtractive manufacturing technologies. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 54*, 303–318.

[20]. Zhu, Z., Dhokia, V., Nassehi, A., & Newman, S. T. (2013). A review of hybrid manufacturing processes. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 26*(7), 596–615.