

# **Role of Government Policies in Promoting Fundamental Rights and Social Justice in India**

**Ghisiyawan Prasad Jigyasu<sup>1</sup> and Dr. Riyasat Ali<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Political Science

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Political Science  
Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan

**Abstract:** *Government policies play a significant role in promoting fundamental rights, social equality, and justice in India. The Indian Constitution establishes a comprehensive framework for protecting individual freedoms, ensuring equality before the law, and advancing the welfare of disadvantaged sections of society. Fundamental Rights under Part III, Directive Principles of State Policy under Part IV, and constitutional provisions relating to affirmative action collectively guide the government in developing policies for social transformation.*

**Keywords:** Fundamental Rights, Social Justice, Government Policies, Indian Constitution, Equality, Inclusive Development, Human Rights, Social Welfare

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Fundamental rights and social justice are essential pillars of democratic governance in India. The Constitution of India guarantees civil liberties, equality, freedom, and protection against exploitation, while also directing the State to establish conditions conducive to social and economic welfare. Articles 14 to 18 address equality, Articles 19 to 22 protect various freedoms and personal liberties, Articles 23 and 24 prohibit specified forms of exploitation, and Articles 25 to 30 protect religious and cultural freedoms and certain educational rights. Article 32 provides a constitutional remedy for the enforcement of Fundamental Rights. These provisions establish the legal foundation for protecting individuals against arbitrary action and discrimination (Government of India, 2024).

However, constitutional recognition alone cannot guarantee social justice without effective legislation, administrative action, and public participation. Government policies help bridge the gap between constitutional ideals and social realities by providing access to education, healthcare, employment, housing, food, and legal protection. In a diverse society such as India, these policies are particularly important for addressing historical inequalities affecting women, children, persons with disabilities, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, minorities, and economically disadvantaged groups. Therefore, evaluating government policies requires examining both their constitutional objectives and their practical effectiveness in promoting equality, dignity, and social inclusion.

## **CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND SOCIAL JUSTICE**

The Indian constitutional framework combines enforceable Fundamental Rights with broader social and economic objectives. Fundamental Rights protect individuals from discrimination and arbitrary restrictions, while the Directive Principles of State Policy guide the government in establishing a welfare-oriented social order. Article 38 directs the State to promote public welfare and reduce inequalities, Article 39 addresses principles relating to livelihoods and the distribution of resources, and Article 46 calls for the promotion of the educational and economic interests of weaker sections, particularly Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.

Although Directive Principles are not independently enforceable in court in the same manner as Fundamental Rights, they are fundamental to governance under Article 37. Constitutional provisions relating to affirmative action also permit special measures for disadvantaged groups in specified circumstances. The relationship between Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles has been shaped by judicial interpretation, particularly in decisions concerning equality,

education, livelihood, and human dignity. The Supreme Court's interpretation of Article 21 has significantly influenced the protection of life and personal liberty. Together, constitutional guarantees, judicial remedies, and welfare-oriented policy provide the foundation for government action aimed at reducing structural inequalities and promoting substantive social justice (Government of India, 2024).

### **MAJOR GOVERNMENT POLICIES PROMOTING SOCIAL JUSTICE**

Government policies translate constitutional principles into programmes and legal entitlements intended to reduce inequality. The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, provides a statutory framework for free and compulsory elementary education for children aged six to fourteen years. It supports the constitutional objective reflected in Article 21A and seeks to reduce educational exclusion. Similarly, the National Food Security Act, 2013, provides eligible households with subsidised foodgrains and establishes nutritional entitlements for specified groups, including women and children. The Act represents an important shift towards a rights-based approach to food security (Government of India, 2013).

Employment-related policies, including the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, 2005, seek to strengthen livelihood security in rural areas through a statutory employment guarantee, subject to the Act's conditions. In addition, social protection initiatives, scholarships, financial assistance, skill development programmes, and targeted welfare schemes aim to improve opportunities for disadvantaged communities.

The Department of Social Justice and Empowerment administers programmes addressing educational disadvantage, economic empowerment, and social discrimination (Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, n.d.). These measures can promote substantive equality by addressing barriers that prevent disadvantaged groups from exercising their rights. Nevertheless, their effectiveness depends on adequate funding, transparent beneficiary selection, accessible application procedures, timely delivery of benefits, and effective grievance-redress mechanisms.

### **ROLE OF GOVERNMENT POLICIES IN PROTECTING VULNERABLE AND MARGINALISED GROUPS**

Government policies are particularly important for communities that experience historical discrimination, economic exclusion, and unequal access to public institutions. Constitutional safeguards and affirmative-action measures seek to expand educational and employment opportunities for eligible disadvantaged groups. Legislation such as the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, addresses specified offences against members of these communities and provides a framework for legal protection. Policies for women's empowerment aim to improve access to education, employment, healthcare, political participation, and protection from violence.

Likewise, child-protection legislation and welfare programmes seek to prevent exploitation and support children's development. Policies relating to persons with disabilities promote accessibility, equality, and participation in social and economic life. The government's social empowerment programmes also include initiatives for disadvantaged communities and groups facing particular barriers to inclusion (Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, n.d.).

However, the existence of protective legislation does not automatically eliminate discrimination. Social stigma, limited awareness of legal remedies, administrative delays, unequal access to legal representation, and weaknesses in enforcement may undermine the intended benefits. Consequently, policies should combine legal protection with education, awareness campaigns, institutional accountability, accessible public services, and meaningful participation by affected communities.

### **CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION AND REALISATION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS**

Despite constitutional safeguards and numerous welfare initiatives, significant obstacles remain in the practical realisation of fundamental rights and social justice in India. Poverty, unemployment, educational inequality, caste-based discrimination, gender disparities, and regional differences can prevent individuals from accessing opportunities available in principle. Administrative inefficiency, inadequate infrastructure, delayed payments, exclusion errors, and insufficient coordination between government departments may further weaken policy outcomes.

Digital delivery systems can improve transparency and efficiency, but limited internet access, digital literacy, documentation, or accessible interfaces may create additional barriers for vulnerable beneficiaries. Another major challenge is the gap between awareness of rights and the ability to enforce them. Individuals who lack information, financial resources, or access to legal assistance may be unable to challenge discrimination or seek timely remedies. Although Article 32 enables individuals to approach the Supreme Court for the enforcement of Fundamental Rights, practical barriers can still restrict access to justice.

The National Human Rights Commission also contributes to the protection and promotion of human rights through its institutional functions (National Human Rights Commission of India, n.d.). Addressing these problems requires stronger monitoring, independent evaluation, adequate financial and human resources, social audits where appropriate, transparent grievance mechanisms, and greater accountability among implementing agencies. Policies must be assessed not merely by expenditure or the number of beneficiaries but also by their actual contribution to equality, dignity, and improved living conditions.

### **FINDINGS, SUGGESTIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The review indicates that government policies provide an essential link between constitutional commitments and the everyday experience of fundamental rights. Education, food security, employment support, social protection, affirmative action, and anti-discrimination measures can reduce structural disadvantages when implemented effectively. However, the success of these initiatives depends on institutional capacity, administrative integrity, public awareness, and equitable access.

First, government departments should strengthen coordination and establish measurable indicators for evaluating the implementation of rights-based legislation. Second, grievance-redress systems should be simplified so that disadvantaged citizens can report exclusion, discrimination, or delays without facing excessive procedural burdens. Third, public legal education should be expanded through educational institutions, local government bodies, community organisations, and accessible digital resources. Fourth, independent assessments and social audits should be used to identify implementation gaps and improve the transparency of public expenditure. Fifth, policymakers should ensure that digital governance does not exclude people who lack technology, connectivity, or digital skills.

Finally, policy formulation should involve civil society organisations, local communities, researchers, and representatives of vulnerable groups. Future research should compare policy outcomes across states, examine differences between rural and urban implementation, and investigate how access to legal assistance influences the enforcement of fundamental rights. These measures would help strengthen a governance model in which social justice is evaluated through measurable improvements in equality, dignity, participation, and access to essential services.

### **II. CONCLUSION**

Government policies are indispensable for promoting fundamental rights and social justice in India because they transform constitutional principles into laws, programmes, institutional safeguards, and public services. Constitutional guarantees of equality, liberty, dignity, and protection against exploitation establish the foundation for a just society, while welfare-oriented policies address the social and economic barriers that prevent individuals from exercising their rights effectively. Initiatives concerning education, food security, rural employment, affirmative action, and protection against discrimination illustrate the importance of state intervention in reducing inequalities.

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these policies depends on their implementation, accessibility, transparency, and responsiveness to the needs of disadvantaged communities. Persistent problems such as poverty, discrimination, administrative inefficiency, limited legal awareness, and unequal access to public services demonstrate that policy adoption alone is insufficient.

The government must therefore strengthen monitoring mechanisms, improve legal assistance, promote public participation, and ensure that benefits reach eligible individuals without discrimination. Ultimately, the successful promotion of fundamental rights and social justice requires cooperation among government institutions, the judiciary,

civil society, and citizens. A rights-based, inclusive, and accountable system of governance can help India move towards a society in which constitutional protections are meaningful in practice and every individual has a fair opportunity to live with dignity and equality.

#### REFERENCES

1. Gopalan, A. (2020). Rights-politics and the politics of rights in neoliberal India. *Social Change*, 50(2), 307–321.
2. Hertel, S. (2015). Hungry for justice: Social mobilization on the right to food in India. *Development and Change*, 46(1), 72–94.
3. Mehta, P. B. (2011). The politics of social justice. *Review of Development and Change*, 16(1), 3–21.
4. Sahgal, R. (2024). Proportionality review and economic and social rights in India. *Indian Law Review*, 8(2), 207–229.
5. Sharma, G., & Singh, S. (2023). Locating children's right to education in India's National Education Policy 2020. *Journal for Critical Education Policy Studies*, 21(2), 197
6. Vakil, R. (2018). Constitutionalizing administrative law in the Indian Supreme Court: Natural justice and fundamental rights. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 16(2), 475–502.
7. Hantal, B. (2023). Vested interests and implementational issues of the policy of social justice and development in India: A critical review. *Contemporary Voice of Dalit*.
8. Abeyratne, R. (2020). Enforcing socioeconomic rights in neoliberal India. *Minnesota Journal of International Law*, 29(1), 1–63.