

Role of Higher-Order Interaction Corrections in the Ground-State Properties of Bose–Einstein Condensates

Sudhansu Nayak¹ and Dr. Satendra Singh²

¹Research Scholar, ²Associate Professor

Department of Physics

Vikrant University Gwalior (M.P) India

Abstract: Bose–Einstein condensates provide an important platform for investigating quantum many-body phenomena at ultralow temperatures. The conventional Gross–Pitaevskii equation, based on a contact two-body interaction, successfully describes many weakly interacting dilute condensates. However, when particle density, interaction strength, finite-range effects, quantum fluctuations, or correlation effects become significant, the standard mean-field approximation may become inadequate. In such situations, higher-order interaction corrections play an important role in determining the ground-state properties of the condensate.

Keywords: Bose–Einstein Condensate, Higher-Order Interaction, Ground State, Gross–Pitaevskii Equation, Lee–Huang–Yang Correction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bose–Einstein condensation represents one of the most remarkable manifestations of quantum statistics. When a gas of bosonic particles is cooled to sufficiently low temperatures, a macroscopic fraction of the particles can occupy a single quantum state, producing a coherent many-body system known as a Bose–Einstein condensate. Since the first experimental observations of BECs in dilute atomic gases, Bose condensates have become important systems for studying superfluidity, quantum coherence, collective excitations, quantum phase transitions, and strongly correlated matter.

The theoretical description of weakly interacting BECs is commonly based on the Gross–Pitaevskii equation. In this approach, the interaction between atoms is represented by a local contact potential characterized by the s-wave scattering length. The resulting mean-field model has been highly successful for dilute gases because it provides a relatively simple relationship between the condensate wave function, external trapping potential, and interatomic interaction strength. Nevertheless, the validity of the conventional GPE depends on assumptions regarding weak interactions, low density, and the dominance of binary contact scattering.

As experimental techniques have enabled stronger interactions, tighter confinement, multicomponent systems, dipolar gases, and tunable scattering through Feshbach resonances, limitations of the conventional mean-field approximation have become increasingly important. In these regimes, finite-range effects, correlations, quantum depletion, and quantum fluctuations can influence observable ground-state properties. Therefore, theoretical models must be extended beyond the simplest contact-interaction approximation.

One important direction is the introduction of higher-order interaction corrections into the energy functional and modified Gross–Pitaevskii equation. Such corrections can contain density-gradient-dependent terms that account for deviations from the idealized zero-range interaction. Bao, Cai, and Ruan showed that higher-order interactions can substantially enrich the structure of BEC ground states and can lead to different existence, uniqueness, and limiting behaviors depending on the relative strengths of the higher-order and conventional contact interactions.



Beyond-mean-field corrections associated with quantum fluctuations also modify the equation of state. The Lee–Huang–Yang correction, in particular, has become highly significant in studies of quantum droplets, dipolar condensates, and Bose–Bose mixtures. In such systems, quantum fluctuations can stabilize condensates against mean-field collapse and produce self-bound states with properties that cannot be explained by the conventional GPE alone. The present paper therefore investigates the role of higher-order interaction corrections in the ground-state properties of Bose–Einstein condensates. Particular attention is given to their influence on the ground-state energy, density profile, chemical potential, stability, spatial localization, and numerical characterization.

Table 1. Comparison between Conventional and Extended Descriptions of BEC Ground States

Feature	Conventional GPE	Higher-Order/Extended Model
Primary interaction	Two-body contact interaction	Contact plus higher-order corrections
Interaction range	Zero-range approximation	May include effective-range effects
Density gradients	Mainly determined by kinetic energy	Directly modified by HOI terms
Quantum fluctuations	Neglected at basic mean-field level	Can be included through LHY-type terms
Ground-state energy	Mean-field energy	Mean-field plus correction energy
Stability prediction	May fail near strong interactions	Improved description of stabilization
Density profile	Standard Thomas–Fermi or numerical profile	Modified width, peak density, and boundary structure
Applicable regimes	Weakly interacting dilute gases	Stronger interactions and beyond-mean-field regimes

II. LEE–HUANG–YANG AND QUANTUM-FLUCTUATION CORRECTIONS

Another important class of corrections arises from quantum fluctuations. In a weakly interacting homogeneous Bose gas, the leading beyond-mean-field correction to the ground-state energy is associated with the Lee–Huang–Yang contribution.

The LHY term originates from the zero-point energy of Bogoliubov quasiparticles and represents the leading contribution of quantum fluctuations beyond the mean-field approximation. Although small in extremely dilute gases, it can become crucial when mean-field interactions nearly cancel or when competing attractive and repulsive interactions are present.

Experimental and theoretical studies of multicomponent and dipolar condensates have demonstrated that beyond-mean-field effects can substantially modify the equation of state and stabilize otherwise unstable configurations. In quantum droplets, for example, attractive mean-field contributions may be balanced by repulsive quantum fluctuations, producing a stable high-density state.

Table 2. Major Higher-Order Corrections Relevant to BECs

Correction type	Physical origin	Main influence on ground state
Gradient-dependent HOI	Finite-range and higher-order interaction effects	Alters density gradients and spatial structure
Effective-range correction	Nonzero range of interatomic interactions	Modifies scattering and equation of state
LHY correction	Quantum fluctuations	Alters energy and provides stabilization
Three-body interaction	Higher-order particle correlations	Changes high-density behavior
Correlation correction	Beyond-product-state many-body effects	Modifies energy and density distribution
Nonlocal interaction	Dipolar or finite-range forces	Produces anisotropic density structures



III. INFLUENCE ON GROUND-STATE ENERGY

The ground-state energy is determined by minimizing the complete energy functional. In the standard GPE, the total energy is governed by kinetic, trapping, and contact-interaction contributions. The inclusion of higher-order corrections adds new competing energy scales.

For positive higher-order interaction strength, density variations become energetically more expensive. This generally suppresses sharp density peaks and promotes smoother density distributions. The ground-state energy may increase relative to the standard GPE prediction because the additional correction contributes positive energy. However, the physically important effect is not merely an increase in total energy but a redistribution of energy among kinetic, interaction, and density-gradient components.

For attractive contact interactions, positive higher-order corrections may oppose excessive compression. Thus, a system that approaches collapse within the conventional mean-field model can acquire enhanced stability when an appropriate repulsive correction is included. Bao and collaborators demonstrated that the sign and magnitude of higher-order interactions strongly affect the existence and structure of ground states.

In contrast, negative higher-order contributions may enhance localization and produce more strongly peaked density profiles. Such regimes require careful mathematical and numerical analysis because sufficiently strong attractive corrections can destabilize the energy functional.

IV. INFLUENCE ON DENSITY DISTRIBUTION

Higher-order interactions modify this balance. A positive gradient-dependent correction can broaden the density profile, reduce central density, and smooth the condensate boundary. The effect is particularly significant when the conventional contact interaction is weak or when the system is close to a transition between different density configurations.

Quantum corrections can have a different qualitative effect. The LHY term is strongly density dependent and becomes more important at higher densities. In systems with attractive mean-field interactions, this additional repulsive contribution can establish a finite equilibrium density and thereby stabilize self-bound or droplet-like structures.

Table 3. Qualitative Effect of Increasing Positive Higher-Order Interaction Strength

Ground-state property	Expected effect
Total ground-state energy	Generally increases
Central density	Generally decreases
Density gradient	Becomes smoother
Condensate width	May increase
Surface localization	Reduced
Resistance to collapse	Increased
Stability	Generally enhanced
Sensitivity to finite-size effects	Increased in strongly confined systems

V. CHEMICAL POTENTIAL AND EQUATION OF STATE

The LHY correction is particularly important because its density dependence differs from that of the mean-field interaction. Consequently, it changes the compressibility and equation of state of the condensate. In multicomponent systems, beyond-mean-field effects may remain significant even when effective mean-field interactions are reduced through coherent coupling or cancellation mechanisms.

The modification of the chemical potential has consequences for equilibrium density, collective modes, stability thresholds, and the transition between gaseous and droplet-like states.



VI. STABILITY OF BOSE–EINSTEIN CONDENSATES

Stability is determined by whether the energy functional possesses a physically meaningful minimum. In attractive condensates, the competition between kinetic pressure and attractive interactions can produce collapse when the attraction exceeds a critical value.

Higher-order corrections can significantly alter this behavior. A repulsive HOI term introduces an additional stabilizing mechanism that suppresses rapid density compression. Similarly, the LHY correction provides a repulsive contribution originating from quantum fluctuations. The importance of this effect is especially evident in quantum droplets and strongly interacting Bose mixtures.

Therefore, higher-order corrections can transform the qualitative stability diagram of a condensate. Parameter regions predicted to be unstable by the conventional GPE may become stable when beyond-mean-field terms are included. This demonstrates that the stability of interacting Bose systems cannot always be understood solely through two-body mean-field interactions.

VII. CORRELATION EFFECTS BEYOND THE MEAN-FIELD APPROXIMATION

The Gross–Pitaevskii approximation assumes that the many-body wave function can be approximated by a product of identical single-particle orbitals. This approximation neglects explicit many-body correlations.

Beyond-mean-field calculations indicate that correlations can contribute significantly to the ground-state energy and density structure, particularly for large scattering lengths and stronger interactions. Correlated many-body calculations have shown deviations from the shape-independent approximation and substantial correlation-energy contributions under conditions where conventional mean-field predictions become unreliable.

Mathematical developments have also established systematic approaches for obtaining higher-order corrections to mean-field descriptions of interacting bosonic systems. Such methods provide a connection between many-body quantum dynamics and effective theories that include corrections beyond the leading-order condensate description.

VIII. NUMERICAL METHODS FOR GROUND-STATE COMPUTATION

Analytical solutions of modified Gross–Pitaevskii equations are generally available only in special limits. Numerical energy minimization therefore plays an important role in the study of higher-order interaction corrections.

A typical computational procedure involves:

1. Defining the extended energy functional.
2. Discretizing the spatial domain.
3. Imposing normalization of the condensate wave function.
4. Applying imaginary-time propagation, projected gradient, or related minimization methods.
5. Iterating until the energy and wave function converge.

For higher-order interaction models, numerical stability becomes especially important because the energy functional may contain nonlinear density-gradient terms. A regularized density-function formulation has been developed to transform the ground-state calculation into a more favorable optimization problem. Numerical studies indicate improved efficiency and accuracy, particularly in strong-interaction regimes.

Table 4. Numerical Approaches for Higher-Order BEC Ground States

Method	Main principle	Major advantage
Imaginary-time propagation	Evolves the system toward minimum energy	Simple and widely used
Normalized gradient flow	Minimizes energy with normalization constraint	Effective for stationary states
Finite-difference method	Spatial discretization of differential operators	Straightforward implementation
Spectral method	Expansion in basis functions	High accuracy for smooth solutions



Accelerated gradient	projected	Constrained optimization	Efficient for strong interactions
Density-function formulation		Reformulates problem using density	Can improve convexity and numerical stability

IX. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The theoretical analysis indicates that higher-order interaction corrections have a direct and substantial influence on the ground-state properties of Bose–Einstein condensates. Their importance depends strongly on density, interaction strength, particle number, dimensionality, and external confinement.

The first major effect is the modification of the ground-state energy. The additional interaction contribution changes the minimum-energy configuration and therefore alters equilibrium properties. Positive higher-order interactions generally penalize strong density gradients and produce smoother condensate profiles.

The second important effect concerns condensate size and central density. As the strength of a repulsive higher-order interaction increases, the condensate tends to become spatially less localized. This can reduce the peak density and broaden the density distribution.

The third major effect is improved stability. Conventional mean-field theory can predict collapse in strongly attractive systems. However, additional repulsive interaction mechanisms may counterbalance attractive forces. Quantum fluctuations, represented by LHY-type corrections, are particularly important in systems where mean-field attraction is nearly balanced by another interaction channel.

The fourth effect is the modification of the phase structure. Higher-order corrections can shift phase boundaries and change the relative stability of competing ground states. Recent work on systems containing spin-orbit coupling or dipolar interactions further indicates that LHY corrections can alter ground-state phase diagrams and influence modulated density states.

Table 5. Role of Higher-Order Corrections

Physical regime	Limitation of standard mean field	Role of higher-order correction
Dilute weakly interacting BEC	Usually small error	Minor quantitative correction
High-density BEC	Contact approximation becomes less adequate	Modifies equation of state
Strong interactions	Mean field may become inaccurate	Includes correlation effects
Attractive condensate	Collapse may occur	Provides possible stabilization
Bose–Bose mixture	Mean-field cancellation may occur	LHY term becomes important
Dipolar condensate	Complex nonlocal interactions	Modifies stability and density phases
Low-dimensional systems	Enhanced fluctuations	Beyond-mean-field treatment becomes important

X. CONCLUSION

Higher-order interaction corrections play a fundamental role in improving the theoretical description of the ground-state properties of Bose–Einstein condensates. While the conventional Gross–Pitaevskii equation provides a powerful mean-field framework for dilute and weakly interacting gases, it becomes increasingly limited when finite-range effects, strong interactions, many-body correlations, or quantum fluctuations become important.

The inclusion of higher-order interaction terms modifies the ground-state energy functional and directly influences condensate density, spatial localization, chemical potential, stability, and phase behavior. Positive gradient-dependent higher-order interactions generally suppress rapid density variations and can stabilize condensates against excessive compression. Beyond-mean-field quantum corrections, particularly the Lee–Huang–Yang contribution, provide an



additional mechanism for stabilizing strongly interacting Bose systems and are essential for understanding quantum droplets and related phases.

The overall significance of higher-order corrections lies in their ability to bridge the gap between simplified mean-field theory and the more complex physics of interacting many-body quantum systems. Their influence is expected to be particularly important in dense condensates, strongly interacting gases, dipolar systems, low-dimensional systems, and multicomponent Bose mixtures.

Future research should focus on deriving higher-order interaction parameters directly from microscopic interaction potentials, developing more accurate numerical approaches, and investigating finite-temperature effects. Experimental measurements of density profiles, equations of state, and stability thresholds will also be important for testing extended theoretical models. Thus, higher-order interaction corrections are not merely small perturbations to conventional BEC theory; in appropriate physical regimes, they can qualitatively determine the nature and stability of the ground state.

REFERENCES

1. Andersen, J. O., & Haugerud, H. (2002). Ground state of a trapped Bose–Einstein condensate in two dimensions: Beyond the mean-field approximation. *Physical Review A*, 65(3), 033615.
2. Anderson, M. H., Ensher, J. R., Matthews, M. R., Wieman, C. E., & Cornell, E. A. (1995). Observation of Bose–Einstein condensation in a dilute atomic vapor. *Science*, 269(5221), 198–201. Bao, W., Cai, Y., & Ruan, X. (2019). Ground states of Bose–Einstein condensates with higher order interaction. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 386–387, 38–48.
3. Bao, W., & Ruan, X. (2020). Computing ground states of Bose–Einstein condensates with higher order interaction via a regularized density function formulation. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 42(5), B1097–B1123.
4. Boßmann, L., Pavlović, N., Pickl, P., & Soffer, A. (2020). Higher order corrections to the mean-field description of the dynamics of interacting bosons. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 178(6), 1362–1396.
5. Böttcher, F., Schmidt, J.-N., Hertkorn, J., Ng, K. S. H., Graham, S. D., Guo, M., Langen, T., & Pfau, T. (2021). New states of matter with fine-tuned interactions: Quantum droplets and dipolar supersolids. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 84(1), 012403.
6. Braaten, E., & Nieto, A. (1997). Quantum corrections to the ground state of a trapped Bose–Einstein condensate. *Physical Review B*, 56(22), 14745–14755.
7. Dalfovo, F., Giorgini, S., Pitaevskii, L. P., & Stringari, S. (1999). Theory of Bose–Einstein condensation in trapped gases. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 71(3), 463–512.
8. Lee, T. D., Huang, K., & Yang, C. N. (1957). Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a Bose system of hard spheres and its low-temperature properties. *Physical Review*, 106(6), 1135–1145.
9. Lavoine, L., Hammond, A., Recati, A., Petrov, D. S., & Bourdel, T. (2021). Beyond-mean-field effects in Rabi-coupled two-component Bose–Einstein condensate. *Physical Review Letters*, 127(20), 203402. Lieb, E. H., Seiringer, R., Solovej, J. P., & Yngvason, J. (2005). *The mathematics of the Bose gas and its condensation*. Birkhäuser.
10. Lima, A. R. P., & Pelster, A. (2011). Beyond mean-field low-lying excitations of dipolar Bose gases. *Physical Review A*, 84(4), 041604(R).
11. Pitaevskii, L. P., & Stringari, S. (2016). *Bose–Einstein condensation and superfluidity*. Oxford University Press.
12. Proukakis, N. P., & Jackson, B. (2008). Finite-temperature models of Bose–Einstein condensation. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 41(20), 203002.
13. Rittenhouse, S. T., & Ticknor, C. (2010). Ground-state properties of Bose–Einstein condensates with finite-range interactions. *Physical Review A*, 81, 063609.



14. Sofianos, S. A., Das, T. K., Chakrabarti, B., Lekala, M. L., Adam, R. M., & Rampho, G. J. (2013). Beyond mean-field ground-state energies and correlation properties of a trapped Bose–Einstein condensate. *Physical Review A*, 87(1), 013608.
15. Stringari, S., & Pitaevskii, L. P. (2018). *Bose–Einstein condensation and superfluidity*. Oxford University Press.
16. Tanzi, L., Lucioni, E., Famà, F., Catani, J., Fioretti, A., Gabbanini, C., Bisset, R. N., Santos, L., & Modugno, G. (2019). Observation of a dipolar quantum gas with metastable supersolid properties. *Physical Review Letters*, 122(13), 130405.
17. Wu, J., & Chen, Y. (2026). Ground-state properties of spin-orbit-coupled Bose–Einstein condensates with Lee–Huang–Yang corrections. *Acta Physica Sinica*, 75.

