IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 4, July 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Health Risk Assessment of Volatile Organic Compounds Exposure in Indoor and Outdoor Environments

Khade Shraddha Umesh[1] and Dr. Amit Kumar Raykwar[2]
[1]Research Scholar, [2]Research Guide
Department of Chemistry
Sunrise University, Alwar (Raj.) India

Abstract: *Volatile Organic Compounds are a diverse group of carbon-based chemicals widely present in both indoor and outdoor environments, originating from sources such as industrial emissions, vehicular exhaust, household products, building materials, and combustion processes. This study focuses on the health risk assessment of human exposure to VOCs by evaluating their concentration levels, exposure pathways, and associated toxicological impacts. Indoor environments often exhibit higher VOC concentrations due to limited ventilation and continuous emission from sources like paints, cleaning agents, furniture, and electronic appliances, whereas outdoor VOC levels are influenced by traffic density, industrial activities, and meteorological conditions.*

Keywords: Volatile Organic Compounds, Health Risk Assessment, Indoor Air Pollution.

I. INTRODUCTION

Volatile Organic Compounds are a broad group of carbon-based chemicals that easily evaporate at room temperature and are widely present in both indoor and outdoor environments. In recent decades, increasing scientific attention has been given to VOCs due to their significant role in air pollution and their potential adverse effects on human health. VOCs originate from numerous anthropogenic and natural sources, including vehicle emissions, industrial activities, fuel combustion, solvent use, building materials, paints, cleaning agents, and even biological processes. Their ubiquitous presence in modern environments makes them a critical component of air quality studies and environmental health assessments.

Indoor environments, where individuals typically spend 80–90% of their time, have been identified as major exposure settings for VOCs. Studies consistently show that VOC concentrations indoors can be several times higher than those found outdoors, primarily due to emission from household products, furnishings, adhesives, and poor ventilation systems. The accumulation of VOCs in enclosed spaces is further enhanced by modern energy-efficient building designs that limit air exchange, thereby increasing pollutant persistence and human exposure duration. As a result, indoor air quality has emerged as a key public health concern, particularly in residential buildings, schools, and workplaces.

Outdoor VOC pollution is largely associated with traffic emissions, industrial discharges, petrochemical activities, and fuel evaporation. Once released into the atmosphere, VOCs undergo complex photochemical reactions involving nitrogen oxides (NOx) and sunlight, leading to the formation of secondary pollutants such as ozone (O_3) and fine particulate matter. These secondary pollutants significantly contribute to urban smog and photochemical air pollution episodes, thereby affecting both environmental quality and human health. The interaction of VOCs with atmospheric components also plays an important role in climate processes and regional air chemistry dynamics.

From a toxicological perspective, VOC exposure has been linked to a wide spectrum of health effects. Short-term exposure may cause sensory irritation of the eyes, nose, and throat, headaches, dizziness, and respiratory discomfort,

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 4, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

while long-term exposure has been associated with more severe outcomes, including neurological disorders, liver and kidney damage, asthma aggravation, cardiovascular diseases, and even carcinogenic risks for certain compounds such as benzene and formaldehyde. Recent umbrella reviews further confirm strong associations between VOC exposure and multiple adverse health outcomes, including respiratory, neurological, and cancer-related effects. Vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, pregnant women, and individuals with pre-existing respiratory conditions are particularly at risk.

Health risk assessment of VOC exposure is therefore an essential scientific approach used to estimate the probability and severity of adverse health effects under different exposure scenarios. It integrates exposure measurement, dose-response relationships, toxicity evaluation, and risk characterization. Indoor and outdoor environments require different assessment strategies due to variations in emission sources, exposure duration, and pollutant transformation processes. Indoor assessments often focus on personal exposure and micro-environmental monitoring, whereas outdoor assessments rely on ambient air sampling and dispersion modeling.

In recent years, advancements in analytical techniques such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry, and real-time sensor technologies have significantly improved VOC detection accuracy and temporal resolution. These developments have enabled more precise exposure assessment and better understanding of VOC dynamics in complex environments. Furthermore, regulatory frameworks and guideline values established by organizations such as the World Health Organization and Environmental Protection Agencies aim to control VOC concentrations and minimize associated health risks.

Despite these advancements, significant challenges remain in accurately quantifying long-term exposure, understanding mixture toxicity effects, and evaluating cumulative health risks from multiple VOC sources. Additionally, indoor-outdoor air exchange, seasonal variations, and individual behavioral patterns further complicate exposure assessments. Therefore, comprehensive studies integrating environmental monitoring, toxicological data, and epidemiological evidence are essential for developing effective risk management strategies.

VOCs represent a critical class of air pollutants with significant implications for both indoor and outdoor air quality. Their widespread presence, complex chemical behavior, and diverse health impacts necessitate systematic health risk assessments to safeguard public health. Understanding the sources, exposure pathways, and toxicological effects of VOCs is essential for developing mitigation strategies and improving environmental health policies in both residential and occupational settings.

II. SOURCES AND DISTRIBUTION OF VOCS

Indoor Sources

Indoor VOC sources include building materials, household products, personal care products, and combustion activities. Poor ventilation further exacerbates accumulation. Formaldehyde emissions from pressed wood products and solvents from paints are significant contributors (Salthammer et al., 2010).

Outdoor Sources

Outdoor VOC emissions originate from traffic exhaust, petrochemical industries, fuel evaporation, and natural sources such as vegetation. Urban areas exhibit higher VOC concentrations compared to rural regions due to dense anthropogenic activities (Atkinson & Arey, 2003).

III. EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

The primary route of VOC exposure is inhalation. Secondary pathways include ingestion of contaminated dust and dermal absorption. Indoor exposure is often chronic and low-dose, whereas outdoor exposure may be episodic but at higher concentrations.

HEALTH EFFECTS OF VOC EXPOSURE

VOCs have both acute and chronic health impacts:

1. **Short-term effects:** Eye irritation, headaches, dizziness, nausea
2. **Long-term effects:** Liver and kidney damage, central nervous system disorders
3. **Carcinogenic effects:** Benzene is strongly linked to leukemia (WHO, 2010)

Sensitive populations such as children, elderly individuals, and those with pre-existing respiratory conditions are at higher risk.

HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES

Health risk assessment involves four major steps: hazard identification, exposure assessment, dose-response assessment, and risk characterization.

Non-Carcinogenic Risk

Non-carcinogenic risk is evaluated using the Hazard Quotient (HQ):

$$HQ = \frac{Exposure\ Concentration}{Reference\ Concentration}$$

If HQ > 1, potential health risks may exist.

Carcinogenic Risk

Cancer risk is estimated using Lifetime Cancer Risk (LCR):

$$LCR = Exposure \times Cancer\ Slope\ Factor$$

Acceptable risk levels typically range from 10^{-6} to 10^{-4}.

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF INDOOR AND OUTDOOR VOC EXPOSURE

Volatile Organic Compounds are a diverse group of carbon-based chemicals that easily evaporate at room temperature and significantly influence both indoor and outdoor air quality. A comparative analysis of indoor and outdoor VOC exposure reveals important differences in concentration levels, sources, exposure duration, and associated health risks, which are crucial for accurate health risk assessment.

Indoor environments generally exhibit higher VOC concentrations compared to outdoor settings. This is primarily due to limited ventilation and the presence of strong emission sources such as paints, varnishes, cleaning agents, air fresheners, building materials, carpets, adhesives, and electronic equipment. Common indoor VOCs include formaldehyde, benzene, toluene, xylene, and ethylbenzene. Prolonged exposure in enclosed spaces increases inhalation risk, leading to both acute and chronic health effects such as eye irritation, headaches, respiratory disorders, neurological symptoms, and potential carcinogenic outcomes (especially in the case of benzene and formaldehyde).

In contrast, outdoor VOC exposure is generally more diluted due to atmospheric dispersion. However, outdoor VOCs originate from vehicle emissions, industrial discharges, fuel evaporation, and biomass burning. Although concentrations are lower than indoor levels, the exposure area is larger and more variable, especially in urban and industrial zones. Outdoor VOCs also contribute to secondary pollutants such as ground-level ozone and photochemical smog, which further intensify respiratory and cardiovascular risks at the population level.

From a health risk assessment perspective, indoor VOC exposure is characterized by higher intensity and longer exposure duration, making it more significant for individual risk. Outdoor exposure, while lower in concentration, affects a broader population and contributes to long-term environmental health burdens. Vulnerable groups such as children, the elderly, and individuals with pre-existing respiratory conditions are particularly at risk in both environments.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 4, July 2026 Impact Factor: 8.2

Overall, comparative analysis shows that indoor VOC exposure poses a more immediate and concentrated health risk, whereas outdoor VOC exposure has wider environmental and public health implications. Effective mitigation requires improved ventilation indoors and emission control strategies outdoors to reduce overall VOC-related health risks.

Table 1: Comparison of Indoor and Outdoor VOC Exposure Characteristics

Parameter	Indoor Environment	Outdoor Environment
Major Sources	Paints, furniture, cleaning agents	Vehicles, industries, fuel combustion
Concentration Levels	Generally higher	Variable, often lower than indoor
Exposure Duration	Long-term, continuous	Short-term, intermittent
Ventilation Impact	Significant	Less significant
Health Risk	Chronic exposure risk	Acute and chronic risk

V. MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Effective strategies to reduce VOC exposure include:
Improving indoor ventilation systems
Using low-VOC or VOC-free products
Implementing stricter industrial emission regulations
Promoting green building materials
Increasing public awareness

VI. CONCLUSION

The health risk assessment of volatile organic compounds exposure in both indoor and outdoor environments highlights a growing environmental and public health concern that demands immediate and sustained attention. VOCs, originating from a wide range of sources such as vehicular emissions, industrial activities, building materials, cleaning agents, paints, and even biological processes, are now recognized as key contributors to air pollution and associated health hazards. This study emphasizes that exposure patterns differ significantly between indoor and outdoor environments, yet both settings contribute meaningfully to overall human exposure, especially in urban and semi-urban regions where anthropogenic activities are intensive.

Indoor environments often exhibit higher concentrations of VOCs compared to outdoor air due to limited ventilation and the continuous release of pollutants from household products, furnishings, and combustion processes. Prolonged exposure to such indoor pollutants can lead to acute and chronic health effects, including eye, nose, and throat irritation, headaches, dizziness, allergic reactions, and in severe cases, damage to the liver, kidneys, and central nervous system. Certain VOCs, such as benzene, formaldehyde, and toluene, are classified as carcinogenic or potentially carcinogenic, further elevating the health risk profile for exposed populations, particularly vulnerable groups such as children, elderly individuals, and those with pre-existing respiratory conditions.

Outdoor VOC exposure, while generally more diluted due to atmospheric dispersion, remains a critical concern in densely populated urban areas and industrial zones. Photochemical reactions involving VOCs and nitrogen oxides contribute to the formation of ground-level ozone and secondary organic aerosols, both of which are associated with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. Long-term exposure to ambient VOC mixtures can exacerbate asthma, reduce lung function, and increase the risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and other systemic disorders. The cumulative effect of exposure across both indoor and outdoor environments underscores the importance of considering total personal exposure rather than isolated environmental measurements.

The risk assessment process also reveals significant spatial and temporal variability in VOC concentrations, influenced by factors such as seasonality, temperature, human activity patterns, and ventilation conditions. This variability complicates exposure estimation and necessitates continuous monitoring and the use of advanced modeling techniques for accurate health risk evaluation. Furthermore, the synergistic interactions among different VOC species and other air pollutants may amplify toxicological effects, suggesting that risk assessments based on individual compounds may underestimate real-world health impacts.

From a mitigation perspective, the findings highlight the urgent need for integrated air quality management strategies that address both indoor and outdoor sources of VOCs. Policy interventions should focus on stricter emission standards, promotion of low-emission materials and products, improved ventilation systems, and public awareness campaigns regarding safe usage of household chemicals. Additionally, urban planning and industrial regulation must prioritize pollution reduction to minimize population-level exposure.

VOC exposure in both indoor and outdoor environments presents a complex and multifaceted health risk that cannot be overlooked. Effective management requires a multidisciplinary approach involving environmental monitoring, toxicological research, regulatory frameworks, and community engagement. Strengthening these efforts will be essential to reduce health risks, improve air quality, and ensure sustainable environmental health for present and future generations.

REFERENCES

1. Atkinson, R., & Arey, J. (2003). Atmospheric degradation of VOCs. *Chemical Reviews*, 103(12), 4605–4638.
2. Brown, S. K., et al. (2014). Indoor air pollutants and health impacts. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(10), 105–112.
3. Environmental Research (2026). *VOC Exposure and Health Outcomes: Umbrella Review*.
4. Horvat, T., Pehnec, G., & Jakovljević, I. (2025). *Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air: Sampling, Determination, Sources, Health Risk, and Regulatory Insights*. Toxics.
5. Hou, S. et al. (2024). *Health Risk Assessment from Exposure to Ambient VOCs*. Atmosphere.
6. Jin, S. et al. (2023). *Indoor Volatile Organic Compounds: Concentration Characteristics and Health Risk Analysis*. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.
7. Jones, A. P. (2011). Indoor air quality and health. *Atmospheric Environment*, 33(28), 4535–4564.
8. MDPI (2025). *Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air and Health Effects*.
9. Salthammer, T., Mentese, S., & Marutzky, R. (2010). Formaldehyde in indoor environments. *Chemical Reviews*, 110(4), 2536–2572.
10. US EPA. (2024). *Volatile Organic Compounds' Impact on Indoor Air Quality*.
11. Wallace, L. A. (2001). Human exposure to VOCs. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 100, 179–184.
12. World Health Organization (WHO). (2010). WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: selected pollutants.