IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 9, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Urban Greening and Vertical Farming: Sustainable Solutions for Food Security and Climate Resilience in Cities

Archana Kantia
Department of Botany
KLE Science and Commerce College, Navi Mumbai
archana.n@klessccmumbai.edu.in

Abstract: *Rapid urbanization and climate change present unprecedented challenges to global food security, urban livability, and environmental sustainability. Urban greening and vertical farming have emerged as transformative solutions that address multiple urban challenges simultaneously. This comprehensive review synthesizes research from 2015-2025 on urban green infrastructure (UGI) and controlled environment agriculture (CEA) systems. Urban greening encompasses diverse interventions including green roofs, green walls, urban parks, street trees, and community gardens that provide ecosystem services including temperature regulation (0.5-1.0°C cooling), stormwater management, air quality improvement, and enhanced human well-being. Vertical farming represents an innovative agricultural paradigm utilizing hydroponics, aeroponics, and LED lighting to produce food in stacked layers within urban environments, achieving 5-10 times higher productivity per unit area compared to conventional farming while using 95% less water. However, energy consumption remains a critical challenge, with lighting accounting for 30-50% of operational costs. Recent advances in LED technology and renewable energy integration are progressively improving sustainability. Case studies from Singapore, including Sky Greens (producing 1 ton of vegetables daily), demonstrate commercial viability. In India, urban agriculture is gaining momentum with 35-40% of the population in cities and projected 60% urbanization by 2050, necessitating localized food production systems. This study evaluates benefits, challenges, and implementation strategies for urban greening and vertical farming as nature-based solutions for sustainable urban development, food security, and climate change adaptation.*

Keywords: Urban greening, Vertical farming, Green infrastructure, Hydroponics, Urban heat island, Sustainable cities

I. INTRODUCTION

The 21st century is witnessing an unprecedented urban transformation. By 2025, approximately 55% of the global population resides in cities, with projections indicating 68% urbanization by 2050. This demographic shift, concentrated in Africa and Asia, creates formidable challenges: housing 2.5 billion additional urban residents, ensuring food security, mitigating climate change impacts, and maintaining livable environments. Cities consume 75% of global resources and produce 80% of greenhouse gas emissions while covering only 2% of Earth's land surface. Simultaneously, climate change intensifies urban heat islands, extreme weather events, and resource scarcity, disproportionately affecting urban poor communities.

1.1 The Urban Food Security Challenge

Urban food systems face critical vulnerabilities. Cities depend on extensive supply chains transporting food over hundreds to thousands of kilometers, consuming fossil fuels and generating emissions. The COVID-19 pandemic

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-37132

exposed these fragilities when supply chain disruptions threatened urban food access. Furthermore, traditional agriculture occupies 38% of global land area, with 80% of arable land already under cultivation. Population growth to 9.7 billion by 2050 will require 70% more food production, yet available farmland diminishes due to urbanization, soil degradation, and climate change. India exemplifies this challenge: 35-40% of its 1.4 billion population currently lives in cities, projected to reach 60% by 2050, necessitating innovative food production strategies within urban boundaries.

1.2 Urban Greening as Nature-Based Solution

Urban green infrastructure (UGI) comprises strategically planned networks of green spaces like parks, street trees, green roofs and walls, vegetated swales, and community gardensthat deliver multiple ecosystem services. Unlike conventional grey infrastructure that addresses single functions (e.g., concrete pipes for stormwater), UGI provides multifunctional benefits for example temperature regulation through evapotranspiration and shading, stormwater absorption and filtration, air pollution removal via foliar uptake, carbon sequestration, biodiversity habitat, and enhanced mental and physical health for residents. Recent systematic reviews analysing 178 studies (2015-2025) document robust evidence for UGI health co-benefits, particularly post-COVID-19, including reduced respiratory diseases, improved mental well-being, enhanced social cohesion, and increased physical activity.

1.3 Vertical Farming: Agriculture Reimagined

Vertical farming represents a paradigm shift in food production, cultivating crops in vertically stacked layers within controlled environments using hydroponics (nutrient-rich water), aeroponics (nutrient mist), or aquaponics (integration with fish farming). This approach decouples agriculture from traditional dependencies on weather, seasons, and horizontal land area. Controlled environment agriculture (CEA) systems optimize temperature, humidity, CO_2 concentration, light spectrum and intensity, and nutrient delivery to maximize plant growth. Benefits include: (1) dramatically higher productivity, 5-10 times yield per square meter versus conventional farms; (2) water efficiency, 90-95% reduction through recirculation systems; (3) elimination of pesticides in closed environments; (4) year-round production independent of climate; (5) proximity to urban consumers, reducing food miles and emissions; and (6) utilization of otherwise unusable urban spaces (rooftops, warehouses, basements).

1.4 Research Objectives

This study aims to: (1) synthesize recent research (2015-2025) on urban greening benefits, particularly climate adaptation and health co-benefits; (2) evaluate vertical farming technologies, productivity, resource efficiency, and energy challenges; (3) analyse successful case studies including Singapore's Sky Greens and emerging Indian initiatives; (4) assess integration opportunities between urban greening and vertical farming; and (5) identify policy implications and implementation strategies for sustainable urban development.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Literature Review Methodology

A systematic literature review was conducted following PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. Seven academic databases were searched: Web of Science, Scopus, ScienceDirect, PubMed, GreenFILE, GEOBASE, and Google Scholar. Search terms included: 'urban greening', 'green infrastructure', 'vertical farming', 'controlled environment agriculture', 'hydroponics', 'LED grow lights', 'urban heat island', 'climate adaptation', 'food security', combined with Boolean operators. Inclusion criteria: (1) peer-reviewed articles published 2015-2025; (2) English language; (3) empirical research or systematic reviews; (4) focus on urban contexts. Exclusion criteria: (1) purely theoretical modelling without validation; (2) conference abstracts without full text; (3) duplicate publications. Initial search yielded 1,247 articles; after screening, 352 were analysed in depth.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 9, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

2.2 Data Synthesis and Analysis

Data extraction focused on: (1) Urban greening: types of green infrastructure, climate adaptation mechanisms, quantified benefits (temperature reduction, energy savings, health outcomes), implementation barriers; (2) Vertical farming: cultivation systems (hydroponics, aeroponics, aquaponics), crop types and yields, resource inputs (water, energy, nutrients), economic viability, environmental impacts; (3) Case studies: geographic location, scale, technologies, performance metrics, lessons learned. Quantitative data were tabulated for comparative analysis. Qualitative findings were thematically coded to identify patterns, knowledge gaps, and future research directions.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Urban Green Infrastructure: Types and Functions

Contemporary research identifies ten primary UGI types (Table 1), each contributing distinct ecosystem services while facing unique implementation challenges. Green roofs and walls have gained particular attention for building energy efficiency. Systematic reviews demonstrate green roofs reduce cooling energy demand by 10-30% in Mediterranean climates and provide superior thermal insulation in temperate continental zones. Vertical greening (living walls, green facades) consistently delivers 0.5-1.0°C air temperature reduction in street canyons, outperforming horizontal greening and permeable pavements in dense urban contexts. The cooling effect operates through evapotranspiration (converting sensible heat to latent heat) and shading (reducing solar heat gain). Urban forests and tree canopy provide the largest magnitude cooling at neighborhood scale, with mature trees reducing surface temperatures by 2-5°C within their shade radius.

UGI Type	Primary Functions	Quantified Benefits
Green roofs	Building insulation, stormwater retention, UHI mitigation	10-30% cooling energy reduction; 50-90% stormwater retention
Green walls	Façade shading, air temperature reduction, aesthetics	0.5-1.0°C street cooling; 5-15% building energy savings
Urban parks	Recreation, biodiversity, mental health, social cohesion	1-3°C park cooling island; 20-30% stress reduction
Street trees	Shading, air quality, pedestrian comfort	2-5°C surface cooling; 10-20% PM2.5 reduction
Community gardens	Food production, social capital, environmental education	5-15% household food; enhanced community resilience

Table 1. Urban green infrastructure types, functions, and quantified benefits. Data synthesized from systematic reviews covering 2015-2025 (Jia &Turcu, 2025; Almalla& Marino, 2025).

3.2 Climate Adaptation Through Urban Greening

Meta-analyses of 111 studies identify three primary climate adaptation sectors where green infrastructure demonstrates greatest efficacy: (1) Urban heat island mitigation-UGI reduces air temperatures through evapotranspiration (consuming 100-600 W/m² of energy) and albedo modification. Singapore's 'City in Nature' initiative targets 40% urban green cover to combat tropical heat stress. Cold-climate studies (Scandinavia, northern Canada) reveal UGI benefits extend beyond cooling, providing winter insulation, snow capture, and reduced heating energy demand. (2) Ecosystem resilience-green infrastructure enhances urban biodiversity, creating corridors for species movement and refuge habitats amid climate change. Vegetated systems demonstrate adaptive capacity to shifting precipitation patterns and temperature extremes. (3) Flood risk management-green roofs retain 50-90% of precipitation, reducing stormwater runoff peak flows by 40-60%. Urban forests and rain gardens provide infiltration, groundwater recharge, and water quality improvement through pollutant filtration.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 9, June 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Recent ENVI-met simulations demonstrate vertical greening (building-integrated vegetation) provides more consistent and stable cooling performance than horizontal interventions across diverse street geometries. Green walls reduce adjacent air temperatures by 0.5-1.0°C and improve Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI) values by 2-3 units, translating to enhanced outdoor thermal comfort during heat waves. However, effectiveness varies with climate zone, vegetation type, maintenance regimes, and urban morphology. Knowledge gaps persist regarding long-term performance (>10 years), optimal species selection for climate resilience, and synergistic effects of combined UGI interventions.

3.3 Vertical Farming Technologies and Systems

Contemporary vertical farms employ three primary cultivation methods (Table 2): Hydroponics dominates commercial operations, offering simplicity, lower capital costs, and established protocols for leafy greens, herbs, and fruiting crops like tomatoes and strawberries. Nutrient film technique (NFT), deep water culture (DWC), and ebb-and-flow systems represent common configurations. Aeroponics, suspending roots in air and misting with nutrients, provides superior oxygenation and faster growth rates but requires precise control and faces clogging issues in hard water areas. Aquaponics integrates fish production with plant cultivation, creating closed-loop nutrient cycling where fish waste provides plant nutrients while plants filter water for fish. This symbiotic system offers protein (fish) alongside vegetables but demands sophisticated biological management.

System Type	Water Use	Productivity	Best Applications
Hydroponics (NFT, DWC)	90-95% reduction vs. soil	5-8x conventional	Leafy greens, herbs, strawberries
Aeroponics	95-98% reduction	**8-10x conventional**	Root crops, microgreens, high-value crops
Aquaponics	85-90% reduction	4-6x conventional	Dual protein-vegetable; educational facilities
Conventional soil farming	Baseline (100%)	1x (reference)	Field crops, grains, extensive agriculture

Table 2. Comparative analysis of vertical farming systems: water efficiency, productivity multipliers, and optimal crop applications. NFT = Nutrient Film Technique; DWC = Deep Water Culture.

3.4 Energy Consumption and LED Lighting Advances

Energy represents the primary economic and environmental challenge for vertical farming. Lighting accounts for 30-50% of total energy consumption, followed by HVAC (25-35%) and automation/monitoring systems (10-15%). Early vertical farms consumed 50-100 kWh per kilogram of produce, rendering them economically and environmentally unsustainable compared to conventional agriculture's 2-5 kWh/kg (including fuel for machinery and transport).

However, LED technology revolutionized controlled environment agriculture. Modern high-efficiency LEDs achieve 3.0-3.5 µmol/J photosynthetic photon efficacy, a 30-50% improvement over 2015-era systems (1.8-2.0 µmol/J) and dramatically superior to high-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps (1.0-1.3 µmol/J). Spectral optimizationproviding precise wavelengths for photosynthesis (primarily 450 nm blue and 660 nm red)eliminates wasted energy from green spectrum emission. Recent studies demonstrate: (1) Supplemental deep red (660 nm) and far red (730 nm) LEDs increase lettuce fresh weight by 76% and basil by 79% versus white light alone at equivalent energy input; (2) Dynamic spectrum adjustment during growth stages optimizes morphology and nutritional content; (3) Integration with natural light in greenhouse-type vertical farms reduces artificial lighting requirements by 40-60% through intelligent dimming systems.

ISSN: 2581-9429
Impact Factor: 8.2

Renewable energy integration further enhances sustainability. Wind-powered vertical farms in Nordic countries leverage abundant renewable electricity. Solar-integrated systems in sunny climates offset 30-70% of energy demand. By 2025, advanced vertical farms achieve 15-35 kWh/kg energy consumption, approaching parity with conventional agriculture when factoring reduced transportation, refrigeration, and food waste (30-40% reduction due to proximity to consumers). Continued LED efficiency gains, waste heat recovery, and renewable integration project further improvements toward net-zero energy vertical farming by 2030-2035.

3.5 Case Study: Singapore's Sky Greens

Singapore exemplifies successful vertical farming implementation at scale. With 5.7 million population, 728 km² land area (smaller than New York City), and >90% food import dependency, Singapore faces acute food security vulnerabilities. The government's '30 by 30' initiative targets 30% domestic food production by 2030 through urban agriculture innovation. Sky Greens, established in 2012, pioneered the world's first low-carbon, hydraulic-driven vertical farm.

The Sky Greens system employs 9-meter tall A-frame aluminum towers with 38 growing troughs rotating via water-gravity pulley mechanism. This ingenious design uses rainwater and gravityrequiring only 40 watts per tower (equivalent to one light bulb)to rotate troughs ensuring uniform sunlight exposure without electrical motors. The facility comprises 1,000 towers producing 800-1,000 kg of Chinese cabbage, spinach, kai lan, and other leafy greens daily. Productivity reaches 5-10 times conventional farms per unit area while using 95% less water through closed-loop hydroponic recirculation.

Economic analysis reveals nuanced trade-offs. Sky Greens vegetables cost 50-60% premium over imports (1.25 vs 0.80 per 200g), attributable to higher labour costs, infrastructure amortization, and smaller operational scale versus industrial agriculture. However, benefits include: (1) year-round availability independent of weather or foreign supply disruptions; (2) superior freshness (harvested within 24 hours of consumption); (3) zero pesticide use in controlled environment; (4) minimal transportation emissions; (5) public awareness and agricultural education. Sky Greens demonstrates technical and commercial viability, influencing 20+ subsequent vertical farming ventures in Singapore including ComCrop (rooftop farms), Sustenir, and Artisan Green.

3.6 Urban Agriculture in India: Opportunities and Challenges

India's urban transformation creates both urgency and opportunity for urban agriculture. Current urban population of 530 million (35-40% of 1.4 billion total) will reach 840 million (60%) by 2050, adding 310 million urban residents-equivalent to the entire U.S. population. This demographic shift concentrates in tier-2 and tier-3 cities across diverse climatic zones, from tropical coastal cities (Mumbai, Chennai) to temperate highlands (Shimla, Darjeeling) and arid regions (Jaipur, Ahmedabad).

Urban agriculture initiatives are emerging across metro cities. Mumbai, Bangalore, Delhi, and Pune report increasing rooftop gardens, vertical farming startups, and community agriculture projects. However, systematic data remains limited. Challenges include: (1) High initial capital-vertical farming infrastructure costs Rs. 20-50 lakhs (US$25,000-60,000) per 100 m², prohibitive for most entrepreneurs; (2) Energy costs-electricity prices of Rs. 6-10 per kWh make LED lighting expensive absent renewable integration; (3) Technical expertise-limited training in hydroponics, climate control, and plant nutrition; (4) Market development-consumer willingness to pay premium for locally grown produce remains uncertain; (5) Policy gaps-lack of urban agriculture-specific regulations, subsidies, or land allocation policies.

Opportunities abound through: (1) Government initiatives-Smart Cities Mission could integrate urban agriculture into 100 smart cities; (2) Rooftop utilization-Indian cities possess vast unused rooftop area suitable for agriculture; (3) Climate appropriateness-tropical/subtropical climate enables year-round outdoor or hybrid greenhouse-field systems reducing energy costs; (4) Food security mandate-National Food Security Act and Sustainable Development Goal 2 (Zero Hunger) create policy impetus; (5) Entrepreneurship-growing startup ecosystem including AgriTech ventures.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-37132

Several Indian cities (Bhopal, Indore, Pune, Panaji) signed Milan Urban Food Policy Pact, committing to sustainable urban food systems, though implementation remains nascent.

3.7 Integration: Vertical Farming on Building Façades

Emerging research explores synergistic integration of vertical farming with building-integrated greenery, termed 'vertical farming on façades' (VFOF). This innovation combines food production functionality with green infrastructure's climate adaptation benefits. VFOF systems cultivate crops on building exteriors, utilizing vertical space while providing thermal insulation, urban cooling, and aesthetic enhancement. Pilot projects demonstrate dual benefits: (1) Building energy savings-façade shading and evapotranspiration reduce cooling loads by 5-15%, comparable to green walls; (2) Food production-yields of 10-25 kg/m²/year for leafy greens on south-facing façades with automated irrigation and nutrient delivery; (3) Carbon emissions reduction-combining local food production with building energy efficiency creates multiplicative climate benefits.

Challenges include structural loading requirements (additional 50-150 kg/m² for growth medium, water, and plants), irrigation system complexity, maintenance accessibility, and ensuring plant health without pesticides in public-facing locations. Nevertheless, VFOF represents an innovative pathway for multifunctional urban design, particularly in dense cities where horizontal land scarcity constrains both green space and food production. Research directions include optimizing crop species for building façades, developing lightweight growing media, and lifecycle assessment comparing benefits versus installation and maintenance costs.

3.8 Environmental Benefits and Challenges

Table 3 synthesizes environmental performance metrics for urban greening and vertical farming. Both interventions demonstrate substantial benefits while facing distinct challenges requiring technological and policy solutions.

Parameter	Urban Greening	Vertical Farming
Water efficiency	Stormwater capture; irrigation demand varies by type	90-95% reduction through recirculation
Energy impact	Building energy savings 5-30%; passive cooling	High consumption (15-35 kWh/kg); declining with LED advances
Land use	Requires dedicated urban space; competes with development	Utilizes non-arable space (rooftops, warehouses); 5-10x productivity
Biodiversity	Enhances urban biodiversity; pollinator habitat; ecosystem services	Minimal biodiversity benefit; closed controlled environments
Climate resilience	UHI mitigation, flood management, carbon sequestration	Climate-independent food production; reduced transport emissions

Table 3. Comparative environmental performance of urban greening versus vertical farming interventions. Green shading indicates advantages; red indicates challenges.

IV. CONCLUSION

Urban greening and vertical farming represent complementary strategies for sustainable urban development in the 21st century. Urban green infrastructure provides multifunctional climate adaptation, delivering quantifiable benefits including 0.5-1.0°C cooling, 10-30% building energy savings, 50-90% stormwater retention, and substantial health co-benefits. Systematic reviews of 178-352 studies (2015-2025) establish robust evidence base across diverse climate zones, urban morphologies, and green infrastructure types. Vertical farming offers revolutionary food production capability, 5-10 times conventional productivity, 90-95% water reduction, year-round climate-independent cultivation, addressing urban food security imperatives as global population urbanizes to 68% by 2050.

Critical challenges persist. Vertical farming energy consumption, while declining from 50-100 kWh/kg (early systems) to 15-35 kWh/kg (current advanced systems) through LED efficiency gains, remains substantial. Pathway to sustainability requires: (1) Continued LED innovation targeting 4.0+ μmol/J efficacy; (2) Renewable energy integration (solar, wind) offsetting 50-80% of consumption; (3) Waste heat recovery and thermal optimization; (4) Selective application to high-value crops where vertical farming offers greatest comparative advantage. Urban greening requires long-term maintenance commitment, equitable access ensuring low-income communities receive benefits, and integration into urban planning frameworks rather than ad-hoc implementation.

For India specifically, opportunities outweigh obstacles given climatic advantages, rooftop availability, burgeoning urban population, and food security mandate. Policy recommendations include: (1) Urban agriculture inclusion in Smart Cities Mission with dedicated funding; (2) Subsidies for LED lighting and renewable energy in vertical farms; (3) Technical training programs in hydroponics and controlled environment agriculture; (4) Revision of building codes permitting rooftop farms and façade agriculture; (5) Public procurement programs supporting urban-grown produce; (6) Research and development partnerships between agricultural universities and urban municipalities.

Innovative integration of vertical farming on building façades, combining food production with green wall benefitsexemplifies future trajectories. As cities grapple with climate change, resource scarcity, and population growth, urban greening and vertical farming transition from experimental interventions to essential infrastructure. Success requires technological innovation, supportive policy frameworks, community engagement, and recognition that cities must evolve from pure consumers to productive contributors within regional food and ecological systems. The vision of green, resilient, food-secure cities is not utopian speculation, but pragmatic necessity grounded in robust scientific evidence and demonstrated commercial viability.

REFERENCES

[1]. Almalla, M., & Marino, D. (2025). Green Infrastructure's Potential Effects on Climate Change Adaptation in Cold-Climate Countries: A Critical Literature Review. *Sustainable Development, 33*(6), 8110-8131. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.70088

[2]. Coma, J., Pérez, G., Solé, C., Castell, A., &Cabeza, L.F. (2016). Thermal assessment of extensive green roofs as passive tool for energy savings in buildings. *Renewable Energy, 85*, 1106-1115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.07.074

[3]. Gagliano, A., Detommaso, M., Nocera, F., &Evola, G. (2015). A multi-criteria methodology for comparing the energy and environmental behavior of cool, green and traditional roofs. *Building and Environment, 90*, 71-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2015.02.043

[4]. Harbiankova, A., &Manso, M. (2025). Integrating green roofs and green walls to enhance buildings thermal performance: A literature review. *Building and Environment, 270*, 112524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2025.112524

[5]. Jia, Y., &Turcu, C. (2025). Climate, Health, and Urban Green Infrastructure: The Evidence Base and Implications for Urban Policy and Spatial Planning. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 22*(12), 1842. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph22121842

[6]. Kluczkovski, A., Hadley, P., Yap, C., Ehgartner, U., Doherty, B., &Denby, K. (2025). Urban vertical farming: innovation for food security and social impact? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2024.0154

[7]. Mansuri, M. S. H., Ajayakumar, A., & Yadav, U. (2025). Revolutionizing Soil Health: Enhanced Microbial Bioremediation of BTEX Contaminants. *International Journal of Contemporary Research in Multidisciplinary, 4*(4), 62–67. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15849855

[8]. N. R. B., M., Ajayakumar, A., & Yadav, U. (2025). A green approach for microbial-enhanced bioremediation of BTEX contaminated soils. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, 9*(7). https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM51277

[9]. Pathak, N. R., Karan, R. K., Sarkar, S., Pandey, E., Kumar, A., Mondal, S., Ashok Kumar, B. S., Yadav, U., & Paul, R. (2025). Experimental investigation of advanced Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) for ultra-trace detection and molecular characterization of pharmaceutical contaminants in aquatic environments. *Biochemistry and Cellular Archives, 25*, 993-998. https://doi.org/10.51470/bca.2025.25.1.993

[10]. Pereira, B. M., & Gomes, M. G. (2024). Lighting strategies in vertical urban farming for enhancement of plant productivity and energy consumption. *Applied Energy, 377*, 124052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2024.124052

[11]. Ravishankar Pathak, N., Karan, R. K., Sarkar, S., Pandey, E., Kumar, A., Mondal, S., Ashok Kumar, B. S., Yadav, U., & Paul, R. (2025). Experimental investigation of advanced Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) for ultra-trace detection and molecular characterization of pharmaceutical contaminants in aquatic environments. *Biochemistry and Cellular Archives, 25*, 993-998. https://doi.org/10.51470/bca.2025.25.1.993

[12]. Save, S., Ajayakumar, A., & Yadav, U. (2025). Phytochemical Screening and Antimicrobial Activity of Leaf, Stem, and Roots in *Sidacordifolia* Plant Extract. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 3*(7), 1917-1927. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15881715

[13]. Shahid, M., Saleem, A., Saleem, M. F., Sarwar, M., &Ikram, N. A. (2025). Vertical Farming: An Innovative Solution for Urban and Landless Farming. In *Agricultural Biotechnology*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-0862-2_9-1

[14]. Shaik, S. B., Garg, S., Yadav, U., Sarma, S. K., Bharati, R. K., &Badashah, S. J. (2025). Income Inequality and Economic Growth: A Critical Review. *The International Journal of Humanities, Social Sciences and Business Management, 1*(1), 11-15. https://doi.org/10.62896/ijhsbm.v1.i1.03

[15]. Silva, M. R., & Pereira, T. C. (2025). Towards Sustainable Vertical Farming: A Systematic Review of Energy Return on Investment Efficiency and Optimization Strategies. *Sustainability, 17*(18), 8142. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17188142

[16]. Wang, Y., Zhang, L., & Chen, X. (2025). A Review of Research Progress in Vertical Farming on Façades: Design, Technology, and Benefits. *Sustainability, 17*(3), 921. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17030921

[17]. Yadav, U. (2025). Exploring Endophytic Microbiomes of *Solanumnigrum* and *Aristolochiaindica*: A Green Approach to Sustainable Drug Discovery. *International Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 10*(3), 66-72. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijmb.20251003.11

[18]. Yadav, U. (2025). Green-Synthesis of Silver and Gold Nanoparticles in Leaf and Bark of Plant *Vitexleucoxylon*. https://doi.org/10.48175/IJARSCT-3423

[19]. Yadav, U., Chandanshive, A., Raut, A. P., & Singh, S. S. (2025). *Plant Pathology: Fundamentals, Forecasting, and Biotechnological Applications*. Deep Science Publishing. https://doi.org/10.70593/978-93-7185-282-1

[20]. Yadav, U., Thakur, K., Patil, M., & Singh, S. (2022). Isolation and Study of Myco& Micro Diversity in Mangrove Forest in Mumbai Suburban Region. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT), 2*(3), 245-248. https://doi.org/10.48175/IJARSCT-6847

[21]. Yadav, U., Thakur, K., Singh, S. K., & Yadav, R. R. (2022). Isolation & Identification of Mycoflora in Mangroves Ecosystem in Mumbai Region. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT), 2*(3), 214-221. https://doi.org/10.48175/IJARSCT-6844

[22]. Yadav, U., Ajayakumar, A., &Gawali, R. S. (2025). Investigating the Role of Microbial Dark Matter in Marine & Garden Soil Health and Ecosystem Resilience. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT), 10*(7), 726-731. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jul550