

An Analysis of Carbon Tax and Emissions Trading Systems for Climate Change Mitigation

Hajera Tabassum¹ and Dr. PK Dhasmana²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Economics

²Supervisor, Department of Economics

Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital

Abstract: *Climate change is one of the most pressing global challenges of the twenty-first century. Governments worldwide have adopted market-based policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions while maintaining economic growth. Two of the most prominent approaches are carbon taxes and emissions trading systems (ETS). Both mechanisms aim to place a price on carbon emissions, encouraging industries and consumers to adopt cleaner technologies and reduce fossil fuel consumption. This paper analyzes the effectiveness, advantages, challenges, and accessibility of carbon taxes and emissions trading systems as climate change mitigation tools. The study compares their environmental outcomes, economic impacts, administrative requirements, and social accessibility. The findings suggest that while both policies can significantly reduce emissions, their success depends on policy design, public acceptance, equity considerations, and accessibility for stakeholders. A balanced approach combining carbon pricing with social support measures can enhance climate action while ensuring economic and social inclusiveness.*

Keywords: Climate Change, Carbon Tax, Emissions Trading System, Carbon Pricing, Sustainability

I. INTRODUCTION

Climate change, driven primarily by greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from human activities, poses significant risks to ecosystems, economies, and public health. Rising global temperatures, extreme weather events, sea-level rise, and biodiversity loss have increased the urgency of reducing carbon emissions. To address these challenges, governments have implemented carbon pricing mechanisms that internalize the environmental costs of emissions.

Carbon pricing creates economic incentives for polluters to reduce emissions. Among the available approaches, carbon taxes and emissions trading systems (ETS) are widely recognized as effective market-based instruments. While both seek to reduce emissions through economic signals, they differ in implementation, flexibility, and outcomes.

This paper examines carbon taxes and ETS from environmental, economic, and accessibility perspectives. Accessibility refers to the extent to which these policies are understandable, affordable, equitable, and manageable for governments, businesses, and citizens.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of this study are:

To examine the principles and mechanisms of carbon taxes and emissions trading systems.

To evaluate their effectiveness in reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

To compare their economic impacts and administrative requirements.

To analyze accessibility and equity concerns associated with both systems.

To identify policy recommendations for improving climate change mitigation efforts.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Carbon pricing has gained widespread support among economists as an efficient method for reducing emissions. According to Pigouvian economic theory, environmental externalities can be corrected by imposing taxes equal to the social cost of pollution.

Research has shown that carbon taxes can provide predictable carbon prices, encouraging long-term investments in low-carbon technologies. Countries such as Sweden and Canada have demonstrated substantial emissions reductions while maintaining economic growth.

Emissions trading systems, inspired by the cap-and-trade concept, establish a maximum emissions limit and allow companies to trade allowances. Studies of the European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) indicate that trading systems can reduce emissions cost-effectively while promoting innovation.

However, scholars have also identified concerns regarding social equity, administrative complexity, market volatility, and accessibility for smaller businesses and vulnerable populations.

IV. CARBON TAX: CONCEPT AND MECHANISM

A carbon tax is a direct fee imposed on the carbon content of fossil fuels or on greenhouse gas emissions. The tax increases the cost of carbon-intensive activities, encouraging emission reductions.

4.1 Working Mechanism

The government determines a tax rate per ton of carbon dioxide emitted. Businesses and consumers pay the tax based on fuel consumption or emissions generated.

Example

If a carbon tax is set at \$50 per ton of CO₂ and a company emits 10,000 tons annually:

$$\text{Tax} = 50 \times 10,000 = \$500,000$$

The company can reduce its tax burden by lowering emissions through cleaner technologies or improved efficiency.

4.2 Advantages of Carbon Taxes

- Price certainty for businesses and investors.
- Simpler administration and monitoring.
- Encourages innovation in clean technologies.
- Generates government revenue.
- Transparent and easy to understand.

4.3 Disadvantages of Carbon Taxes

- Uncertainty regarding actual emissions reductions.
- Potential increase in energy prices.
- Political resistance due to taxation concerns.
- Risk of disproportionate impacts on low-income households.

V. EMISSIONS TRADING SYSTEMS (ETS): CONCEPT AND MECHANISM

An emissions trading system, also known as cap-and-trade, establishes a maximum allowable level of emissions and distributes emission permits among regulated entities.

5.1 Working Mechanism

The government:

Sets an emissions cap.

Issues allowances equal to the cap.

Allows firms to buy and sell allowances.

Companies that reduce emissions below their allocation can sell excess permits, while firms exceeding limits must purchase additional allowances.

5.2 Advantages of ETS

- Ensures specific emissions reduction targets.
- Promotes cost-effective reductions.
- Encourages innovation and efficiency.
- Creates a market value for emissions reductions.

5.3 Disadvantages of ETS

- Price volatility.
- Administrative complexity.
- Potential market manipulation.
- High monitoring and verification requirements.

VI. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Criteria	Carbon Tax	Emissions Trading System
Policy Focus	Price-based	Quantity-based
Cost Predictability	High	Low
Emissions Predictability	Moderate	High
Administrative Complexity	Low	High
Revenue Generation	Direct	Auction dependent
Market Flexibility	Limited	High
Price Stability	Stable	Variable
Transparency	High	Moderate

Carbon taxes provide certainty regarding costs but not emissions outcomes. ETS guarantees emissions targets but may experience fluctuating permit prices.

VII. EFFECTIVENESS IN CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION

7.1 Environmental Effectiveness

Carbon taxes have been associated with gradual reductions in emissions by influencing energy consumption patterns and technological adoption.
ETS programs have demonstrated effectiveness in achieving emissions caps, particularly when strict limits are implemented and regularly tightened.

7.2 Technological Innovation

Both systems encourage innovation:
Carbon taxes incentivize continuous efficiency improvements.
ETS rewards companies that develop low-emission technologies and sell surplus allowances.

7.3 Long-Term Sustainability

Studies indicate that carbon pricing mechanisms become more effective when integrated with renewable energy policies, energy efficiency standards, and public awareness campaigns.

VIII. ACCESSIBILITY ANALYSIS

Accessibility is critical to ensuring broad participation and social acceptance.

8.1 Economic Accessibility

Carbon Tax

Advantages:

Easier for businesses to calculate costs.

Predictable financial planning.

Challenges:

Increased consumer prices for fuel, electricity, and transportation.

Greater burden on low-income households.

ETS

Advantages:

Potentially lower compliance costs through trading.

Challenges:

Complex participation requirements.

Market fluctuations may create uncertainty.

8.2 Administrative Accessibility

Carbon Tax

Simpler regulatory framework.

Lower administrative costs.

Easier implementation in developing countries.

ETS

Requires emissions monitoring systems.

Needs permit allocation procedures.

Demands market oversight mechanisms.

8.3 Accessibility for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)

SMEs often face challenges due to limited financial and technical resources.

Carbon taxes:

Easier compliance procedures.

Lower reporting complexity.

ETS:

More difficult due to trading knowledge requirements.

Higher transaction and monitoring costs.

8.4 Social Accessibility and Equity

Without compensation measures, both systems may disproportionately affect vulnerable populations.

Recommended measures include:

Carbon tax rebates.

Energy assistance programs.

Green job creation initiatives.

Investment in public transportation.

Support for low-income households.

Copyright to IJAR SCT

www.ijarsct.co.in

DOI: 10.48175/568



1127

These measures improve policy fairness and public acceptance.

IX. CASE STUDIES

9.1 Sweden's Carbon Tax

Sweden introduced a carbon tax in 1991 and has achieved substantial emissions reductions while maintaining economic growth. The policy has encouraged renewable energy adoption and energy efficiency improvements.

9.2 European Union Emissions Trading System

The EU ETS is one of the world's largest carbon markets. It has contributed to reductions in industrial emissions and promoted cleaner production technologies. However, early phases experienced challenges related to permit overallocation and price volatility.

9.3 Canada Carbon Pricing Framework

Canada combines carbon taxation with household rebates to improve accessibility and public acceptance. The approach illustrates how equity measures can enhance carbon pricing effectiveness.

X. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Several challenges affect both systems:

Economic Challenges

Competitiveness concerns.

Carbon leakage.

Impact on energy-intensive industries.

Political Challenges

Public opposition.

Policy uncertainty.

Lobbying by affected sectors.

Technical Challenges

Emissions monitoring.

Data accuracy.

Regulatory enforcement.

Accessibility Challenges

Affordability concerns.

Unequal impacts across income groups.

Limited awareness and understanding.

XI. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

To improve climate mitigation and accessibility:

Gradually increase carbon prices to allow adaptation.

Use revenues for social protection and green investments.

Improve transparency and public communication.

Strengthen emissions monitoring systems.

Support SMEs through technical assistance programs.

Integrate carbon pricing with renewable energy policies.

Ensure equitable distribution of benefits and costs.

Enhance public accessibility through simplified compliance procedures.

XII. CONCLUSION

Carbon taxes and emissions trading systems represent two of the most effective market-based approaches for mitigating climate change. Carbon taxes provide price certainty, administrative simplicity, and predictable revenue generation, while emissions trading systems offer emissions certainty and cost-effective reductions through market mechanisms.

Despite their differences, both instruments can contribute significantly to greenhouse gas reduction when properly designed and implemented.

Accessibility remains a crucial factor in determining policy success. Economic affordability, administrative simplicity, social equity, and stakeholder engagement must be considered alongside environmental effectiveness. Policymakers should prioritize inclusive design, revenue recycling, and support measures for vulnerable groups to ensure that climate action remains both effective and equitable.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Pigou, A. C. (1920). *The Economics of Welfare*. Macmillan.
- [2]. Stern, N. (2007). *The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review*. Cambridge University Press.
- [3]. World Bank. (2024). *State and Trends of Carbon Pricing Report*.
- [4]. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2023). *Climate Change Synthesis Report*.
- [5]. OECD. (2023). *Effective Carbon Rates Report*.
- [6]. European Commission. *EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) Reports*.
- [7]. Nordhaus, W. D. (2019). *Climate Change: The Ultimate Challenge for Economics*.
- [8]. Metcalf, G. E. (2019). *Paying for Pollution: Why a Carbon Tax is Good for America*. Oxford University Press.
- [9]. Aldy, J. E., & Stavins, R. N. (2012). *The Promise and Problems of Pricing Carbon*. *Journal of Environment and Development*.
- [10]. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2024). *Net Zero Emissions Roadmap*.