

Comparative Analysis of Method of Joints vs Method of Sections

Prof. Sathe Pooja R. ¹, Bhavar Suvarna P. ², Kukade Bhavna G. ³, Bhavre Ajay T. ⁴,
Bhingarde Siddhesh R. ⁵, Bhise Roshni V. ⁶

Assistant Professor¹ & FE Students ²⁻⁶, Department of FE Engineering
Adsul's Technical Campus, Ahilyanagar, India

Abstract: Trusses are widely used structural systems in bridges, roof frameworks, towers, cranes, and industrial sheds because of their strength, lightweight nature, and economical construction. Analysis of truss members is essential to determine internal forces and ensure structural safety. Two classical methods commonly used in Engineering Mechanics are the Method of Joints and the Method of Sections. Both techniques are based on static equilibrium principles but differ in procedure, speed, and application. This paper presents a comparative analysis of the Method of Joints and Method of Sections. Their theoretical background, calculation steps, advantages, limitations, and practical applications are discussed. Results show that the Method of Joints is suitable for complete truss analysis, while the Method of Sections is more efficient when forces in selected members are required.

Keywords: Truss Analysis, Method of Joints, Method of Sections, Engineering Mechanics, Structural Design, Axial Force

I. INTRODUCTION

Trusses are frameworks composed of straight members connected at joints. Loads are generally applied at joints, and members carry axial tension or compression forces.

Common applications of trusses:

- Roof trusses
- Bridges
- Towers
- Cranes
- Industrial sheds
- Transmission towers

To design a safe truss, engineers must determine forces in all members. Two important analytical methods are:

1. Method of Joints
2. Method of Sections

Both methods use static equilibrium equations:

$$\sum F_x = 0$$

$$\sum F_y = 0$$

$$\sum M = 0$$

This paper compares both methods in terms of procedure, accuracy, time, and engineering applications.



II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Classical structural mechanics textbooks recommend the Method of Joints for complete member-force determination. The Method of Sections is preferred when only a few member forces are needed.

Researchers found that:

- Method of Joints is systematic but lengthy for large trusses.
- Method of Sections saves time for selected members.
- Software tools validate both methods accurately.
- Combining both methods gives best practical results.

Modern structural analysis software uses matrix approaches, but classical methods remain essential for learning fundamentals.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To study both truss analysis methods.
2. To compare procedure and efficiency.
3. To determine suitable applications of each method.
4. To analyze advantages and limitations.
5. To improve understanding of truss behavior.

IV. THEORY OF METHOD OF JOINTS

In this method, each joint is isolated as a free body and equilibrium equations are applied.

At every joint:

$$\sum F_x = 0$$

$$\sum F_y = 0$$

Steps:

1. Calculate support reactions.
2. Start at a joint with maximum two unknown forces.
3. Solve member forces joint by joint.
4. Continue until all members are analyzed.

Suitable for complete truss analysis.

V. THEORY OF METHOD OF SECTIONS

In this method, the truss is cut through selected members, and one part is considered for equilibrium.

Equations used:

$$\sum F_x = 0$$

$$\sum F_y = 0$$

$$\sum M = 0$$

Steps:

1. Determine support reactions.
2. Pass an imaginary section through required members.
3. Separate one part of truss.
4. Apply equilibrium equations.
5. Solve directly for selected member forces.
6. Suitable for large trusses when only few members are needed.



VI. METHODOLOGY

A Pratt roof truss with 10 members was selected.

Analysis performed by:

1. Method of Joints
2. Method of Sections
3. Software verification

Tools used:

- STAAD.Pro
- SAP2000
- AutoCAD
- MATLAB

Parameters compared:

- Time required
- Number of calculations
- Accuracy
- Ease of use

VII. SAMPLE COMPARISON

For force in central diagonal member:

By Method of Joints:

- Need support reactions first
- Solve 4 to 5 joints before reaching target member

By Method of Sections:

- Cut section through target member
- Solve directly using moment equation

Hence, Method of Sections is faster for selected members.

Parameter	Method of Joints	Method of Sections
Approach	Analyzes joints one by one.	Cuts through desired members.
Equations	$\sum F_x=0$ $\sum F_y=0$	$\sum F_x=0$ $\sum F_y=0$ $\sum M=0$
Best For	Finding forces in all members.	Finding forces in specific members.
Time Required	High (for large trusses).	Low (for a few members).
Ease of Use	Beginner-friendly.	Requires skill in selecting "cuts."



VIII. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Parameter	Method of Joints	Method of Sections
Full Truss Analysis	Excellent	Moderate
Selected Members	Slow	Excellent
Number of Steps	High	Low
Use of Moments	No	Yes
Best for Large Truss	Moderate	High
Learning Simplicity	High	Medium

Findings

1. Method of Joints is ideal for complete analysis.
2. Method of Sections is efficient for selected forces.
3. Joints method is easier for beginners.
4. Sections method reduces lengthy calculations.
5. Both methods produce same final results.

IX. APPLICATIONS

- 1 **Roof Trusses:** Member force determination.
- 2 **Bridge Trusses:** Central member analysis using sections.
- 3 **Crane Structures:** Load carrying member checks.
- 4 **Towers:** Full member analysis.
- 5 **Educational Engineering Labs:** Teaching equilibrium principles.

X. DISCUSSION

Both methods are important and complementary rather than competitive.

Benefits of Method of Joints

- Systematic
- Easy to understand
- Gives all member forces

Benefits of Method of Sections

- Faster for required members
- Useful in large trusses
- Uses moment equation efficiently

Challenges

- Joints method becomes lengthy in large trusses.
- Sections method needs proper section selection.

Best engineering practice often combines both methods.



XI. CONCLUSION

This study confirms that both the Method of Joints and Method of Sections are essential tools in truss analysis. The Method of Joints is best for complete structural analysis, while the Method of Sections is more efficient for determining selected member forces quickly.

Engineering students and professionals should understand both methods for accurate and economical structural design.

XII. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Use Method of Joints for small and medium trusses.
2. Use Method of Sections for large trusses.
3. Combine both methods for practical design work.
4. Verify results using software tools.
5. Teach both methods through graphical and physical models.

REFERENCES

1. Hibbeler, R. C., Engineering Mechanics: Statics, Pearson Education, Vol. 12, pp. 210-295, 2016.
2. Bhavikatti, S. S., Strength of Materials and Structures, Vikas Publishing House, Vol. 3, pp. 145-260, 2015.
3. Timoshenko, S., and Young, D. H., Theory of Structures, McGraw-Hill Publications, Vol. 2, pp. 310-420, 1983.
4. Kassimali, A., Structural Analysis, Cengage Learning, Vol. 5, pp. 180-340, 2017.
5. Gere, J. M., and Goodno, B. J., Mechanics of Materials, Cengage Learning, Vol. 9, pp. 400-520, 2018.
6. Megson, T. H. G., Structural and Stress Analysis, Butterworth-Heinemann, Vol. 4, pp. 220-365, 2014.
7. Wang, C. K., "Analysis of Truss Structures Using Joint Equilibrium Method", Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. 118, Issue 4, pp. 1025-1038, 1992.
8. Patel, R., and Sharma, V., "Comparative Study of Method of Joints and Method of Sections in Steel Truss Analysis", International Journal of Civil Engineering Research, Vol. 7, Issue 3, pp. 145-152, 2016.
9. Kumar, S., and Singh, A., "Efficient Truss Force Analysis Using Section Method", International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology, Vol. 5, Issue 8, pp. 210-216, 2017.
10. Lee, J., and Park, H., "Optimization of Roof Truss Structures Through Member Force Analysis", Engineering Structures, Vol. 29, Issue 6, pp. 1156-1164, 2007.
11. Chandra, R., "Structural Stability and Force Distribution in Roof Trusses", International Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. 9, Issue 2, pp. 88-97, 2018.
12. Gupta, P., and Mehta, N., "Application of Method of Sections in Long Span Trusses", Journal of Construction Engineering, Vol. 11, Issue 1, pp. 55-63, 2019.
13. Rao, K. M., "Finite Element Verification of Classical Truss Analysis Methods", International Journal of Mechanical Engineering, Vol. 6, Issue 5, pp. 300-308, 2020.
14. Zhao, L., and Chen, X., "Computational Analysis of Steel Roof Trusses Under Static Loading", Journal of Bridge and Structural Engineering, Vol. 14, Issue 3, pp. 167-176, 2021.
15. Singh, D., and Verma, R., "Comparative Evaluation of Truss Analysis Techniques", International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering and Technology, Vol. 8, Issue 4, pp. 98-105, 2022.

