IJARSCT

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

ISSN: 2581-9429

Volume 6, Issue 7, April 2026

Impact Factor: 8.2

A Review: Biotechnological Strategies for Sustainable Environmental and Agricultural Development

Miss. Punam M. Katwale and Dr. Imran R. Mithani
Assistant Professor
Assistant Professor, Head Department of Zoology.
Dr. Ambedkar College of Arts, Commerce and Science, Chandrapur

Abstract: *Sustainable environmental and agricultural development is increasingly recognized as a global necessity because of growing concerns over climate change, environmental degradation and rising food insecurity. Biotechnology providesa wide range of tools that can effectively address these challenges through innovative, Eco-efficient and knowledge based strategies. This review provide overview on recent progress in biotechnological approach for sustainable agriculture and environmental management with focus on genome editing, microbial bio-fertilizers, genetic engineeringbio-pesticides, bio-remediation and biological wastewater treatment. Particular focus is given to comparing the performance of these technologies, assessing their benefits and Limitation sand also examining their contributions to maintaining soil health, agriculture productivity, pollution control and ecosystem restoration.*

The review presents an idea that the integrative biotechnological strategies are more effective in achieving resilient and sustainable outcome compared to standalone intervention. In addition, an analytical study identifies several technical, socio-economic, regulatory and ethical restriction that hinder large-scale implementation including variability in field performance, regulatory ambiguity and public perception challenges.

Emerging trends such as the Integration of biotechnology with precision agriculture, digital tools and circular bio-economy models as promising approaches to amplify sustainability impacts. Aligning scientific advancements with environmental responsibility and policy support's crucial for transforming biotechnological innovations into calculable, long-term solutions that promote sustainability throughout the world.

Keywords: Biotechnology; Bio fertilizers, Genome editing, Bio-remediation, Climate resilience, Sustainable agriculture.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sustainable environmental and agricultural development has become a critical global concern in response to mounting pressure such as climate variability, expanding human population , dwindling natural resources, environmental degradation and growing food security challenges (FAO, 2023; UNEP, 2022).Traditional farming system which depend extensively on chemical fertilizers, synthetic pesticides and intensive land exploitation have played a substantial role in accelerating soil erosion,contaminate water bodies,increasing greenhouse gas emissions and diminishing of biodiversity (Singh al., 2021). These negative consequences underscore the pressing need to transition toward innovative, suitability-driven strategies that can simultaneously improve agricultural productivity safeguard environmental integrity can highlight the urgent need for innovative and sustainable approaches that can enhance agricultural productivity while preserving environmental health and ecosystem stability (Tripathi et al., 2022).

Biotechnology provide an adaptable and cross disciplinary approach for tackling complex problem by utilizing biological processes and molecular techniques to create sustainable answer for both agricultural and environmental boons. (Kumar et al.,2022) These advances help farmers to rely less on chemical inputs and move towards farming practices that are environmentally responsible and better be able to deal with impact of climate change (FAO,2023)

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-33011

Recent breakthroughs in genetic engineering and genome editing technologies have significantly transformed sustainable agriculture. Precision tools such as CRISPR–Cas systems allow targeted modification of plant genomes, accelerating crop improvement programs and enabling the development of climate-resilient and nutrient-efficient crops (Ricroch et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020). Unlike conventional breeding, genome editing provides accuracy and speed while minimizing unintended genetic changes, making it a promising approach for sustainable crop productivity (Lallawmkimi et al., 2024).

Microbial biotechnology plays a crucial role in promoting sustainable agricultural practices through the application of bio-fertilizers, bio pesticides and plant growth–promoting microorganisms (Glick, 2020). These beneficial microbes help to increase nutrient cycling, improve soil structure, inhibit plant pathogen and increase crop productivity without causing damage to the environment (Kumar et al., 2022). The use of microbe containing inputs reduce chemical fertilizer and pesticide usage. These helps to improve fertility of soil and long-term ecosystem sustainability (Tripathi et al., 2022) .

Environmental biotechnology deals with environmental challenges such as waste management, pollution control and restoration of damages to natural ecosystem (Nguyen et al,.2021). Technique like bio-remediation which make use of microbes, plants and specific enzymes to break down toxic contaminants in soil, air and water converting them into substances which are meagerly hazardous and have moderate impacts on environment (Singh et al,.2021). In addition to this, biological method such as microbe based wastewater treatment , capture of carbon through microbial action and biodegradable material highlighting how biotechnology can help advances in environmental sustainability and support the concept of circular bio-economy where resources are reused which leading to minimization of the waste accumulation (Abonyi et al,.2024).

Linking biotechnology with precision agriculture and modern digital data driven technology has strengthened its role in promoting sustainable development. Tool such as advanced sensors integrated biological system and decision supportive platforms make it possible to observe crop health supportive soil properties and use of supplements in real time which help farmer tailor biological accuracy and cut down on unnecessary resource use (Kumar et al,. 2022) Public skepticism often rooted in ethical concern about biotechnology and its impact, also affected how readily new solutions are accepted. This regulatory, ethical and social barriers remain important hurdles to large scale adoption of innovation in biotechnology despite their benefits (UNEP,2022). In general, innovative biotechnological approaches offer valuable chances in boosting agriculture and environmental sustainability.

2.1 Role of Biotechnology in Sustainable development of Environment mand Agriculture

Biotechnology has been widely recognized as a crucial factor of sustainable development in management of agriculture and environment. Singh et al. (2021) focus on concept that biotechnological involvement offers eco-friendly alternatives to traditional chemical-based practices, thereby conserving biodiversity, minimizing pollution and boosting ecosystem resilience. Their work emphasize that biotechnology supports sustainable development by integrating biological processes into production systems, integrating agricultural productivity with environmental protection.

Tripathi et al. (2022) reviewed multiple biotechnological applications in agriculture and reported that these technologies enhance crop yield stability while minimizing ecological damage. The authors pointed out that biotechnology-driven approaches such as genetic improvement, microbial inoculants and biological pest control contribute to sustainable intensification of agriculture. Similarly, the FAO (2023) underscored biotechnology as essential tools for achieving global food security and sustainable development goals, particularly in regions sensitive to climate change and limited resources.

2.2 Advances in Crop Genetic Engineering for Sustainability

Genetic engineering has significantly developed over the past few decades providing accurate solutions for sustainable crop enhancement (Zhang et al. 2020). His work provides a comprehensive explanation of genome-editing principles an outline for their implementation in improving crop yield, nutritional value and resistance to environmental stresses. Ricroch et al. (2022) studied on the application of CRISPR–Cas systems in plant genome editing and focussed on their potential to produce crops with enhanced stress tolerance and reduce dependency on chemical inputs. It has been reported that CRISPR-based approaches help to site specific genetic changes without introducing exogenous DNA which may improve community acceptance.

Lallawmkimi et al. (2024) reviewed recent advancement in crop genetic engineering and inferred that genome-edited crops play a crucial role in optimizing agricultural sustainability. Their study documented that such crops show improved tolerance to drought stress, salinity and pests, contributing to minimize yield losses and reduce agrochemical uses. As a whole, these studies confirm that molecular crop improvement is a keystone of sustainable agricultural development.

2.3 Microbial Biotechnology and Soil Health Enhancement

Soil health is a fundamental component of sustainable agriculture , as such microbial biotechnology has emerged as a promising solution for soil fertility management. It has been reported by Glick (2020) the role of bacteria which promote growth in plants for enhancing uptake of nutrient and also enhance plant hormone production and stress tolerance. His work demonstrated that plant–microbe interactions may significantly improve crop growth while maintaining diversity of microorganism.

Kumar et al. (2022) provided a extensive review of microbial biotechnology in sustainable agriculture and reported that bio fertilizers and microbial consortia improve nutrient cycling and soil structure. The authors emphasized that microbial inoculants reduce dependency on synthetic fertilizers, thereby lowering production costs and minimizing environmental pollution. Their review suggested that microbial-based approaches enhance long-term soil sustainability and ecosystem stability.

Tripathi et al. (2022) reported that by improving soil organic matter content and enhancing nutrient use efficiency, microbial biotechnology plays an important role in climate-resilient agriculture. From these studies we can conclude that microbial biotechnology is important for maintaining soil health and ensuring sustainable crop productivity.

2.4 Biopesticides and Sustainable Pest Management

The extensive use of chemical pesticides has raised concerns regarding environmental toxicity, pesticide resistance and human health risks (Singh et al. 2021). Discussed the role of bio pesticides as sustainable alternatives and reported that biological pest control agents effectively manage pests while reducing environmental contamination. Their work highlighted the importance of microbial bio pesticides in maintaining ecological balance.

Kumar et al. (2022) further reviewed advancements in bio pesticide formulation and application technologies, emphasizing improvements in shelf life, stability, and field performance. The authors noted that integrating bio pesticides with integrated pest management (IPM) strategies enhances pest control efficiency and reduces the risk of resistance development. These findings support the growing adoption of bio pesticides in sustainable agriculture.

2.5 Environmental Biotechnology and Bio-remediation Approaches

Environmental biotechnology has been extensively explored for pollution control and ecosystem restoration. Nguyen et al. (2021) reviewed environmental biotechnology applications and concluded that bio remediation is a cost-effective and eco-friendly approach for managing environmental pollution. Their study highlighted the use of microorganisms and plants in detoxifying contaminated soils and water bodies.

Singh et al. (2021) discussed advances in microbial biotechnology for environmental sustainability and reported that genetically enhanced microbial strains improve pollutant degradation efficiency. The authors emphasized that bio remediation technologies reduce environmental toxicity while promoting natural ecosystem recovery.

Abonyi et al. (2024) focused on biological wastewater treatment methods and demonstrated that microbial-based treatment systems effectively reduce nutrient loads and organic pollutants in agricultural wastewater. Their findings support the role of environmental biotechnology in sustainable water management and pollution mitigation.

2.6 Integration of Biotechnology with Precision and Digital Agriculture

The integration of biotechnology with precision agriculture and digital tools has gained significant attention in recent literature. Kumar et al. (2022) highlighted that combining biological inputs with sensors, remote sensing, and data analytic enables real-time monitoring of soil health and crop performance. Such integration allows precise application of bio-fertilizers and bio pesticides, reducing resource wastage and environmental impact.

FAO (2023) emphasized that digital-biotechnology convergence supports climate-smart agriculture by improving adaptability, productivity, and sustainability. These approaches enhance decision-making processes and promote efficient use of biological resources, contributing to sustainable agricultural systems.

2.7 Research Gaps and Future Research Needs

Despite extensive research supporting biotechnological strategies for sustainability, several gaps remain. Most studies emphasize laboratory or short-term field experiments, highlighting the need for long-term, region-specific field trials. Additionally, bio-safety concerns, regulatory complexities, and limited farmer awareness continue to hinder widespread adoption. Singh et al. (2021) and FAO (2023) both emphasized the importance of policy support, public engagement, and capacity building to ensure equitable access to biotechnological innovations.

3.1 Comparative Analysis of biotechnological strategies

A comparative evaluation of biotechnological strategies is essential to understand their relative effectiveness, applicability, and limitations in promoting sustainable environmental and agricultural development. While individual technologies such as genome editing, microbial inoculates, and bio remediation have demonstrated significant benefits, their sustainability outcomes depend on ecological context, scalability, regulatory frameworks, and integration with existing agricultural systems. This work synthesizes and compares major biotechnological approaches reported in the literature, highlighting their contributions to sustainability and identifying areas where strategic integration can enhance overall impact (Singh et al., 2021; FAO, 2023).

3.2 Comparative Overview of Major Biotechnological Strategies

Biotechnological Strategy	Application Domain	Core Function	Sustainability Contribution	Key References
Biofertilizers	Soil fertility management	Nutrient solubilization and fixation	Soil health improvement, reduced fertilizer input	Glick, 2020; Kumar et al., 2022
Genome editing (CRISPR-Cas)	Crop improvement	Targeted gene modification	Reduced agrochemical use, climate resilience	Zhang et al., 2020; Ricroch et al., 2022
Genetic engineering	Crop productivity & nutrition	Trait enhancement	Yield stability, bio fortification	Lallawmkimi et al., 2024
Biological wastewater treatment	Water resource management	Microbial nutrient removal	Water reuse and pollution reduction	Abonyi et al., 2024
Biopesticides	Pest and disease control	Biological pest suppression	Reduced toxicity and biodiversity protection	Singh et al., 2021
Bioremediation	Pollution control	Detoxification of contaminants	Ecosystem restoration	Nguyen et al., 2021

Table 3.1: Biotechnological Strategies Applied in Sustainable Agriculture and Environmental Management

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-33011

As shown in Table 3.1, biotechnological strategies operate across diverse agricultural and environmental areas. This strategies collectively contribute to boost sustainability by optimizing chemical inputs, enhancing resource efficiency, restoring ecological balance and water resource management. The main focus of Genome editing and genetic engineering is crop-quality enhancement, whereas microbial-based approaches such as bio-fertilizers act at the plant growth as well as environmental sustainability. Integrative environmental bio- technologies, including biological wastewater treatment and bio-remediation. May broaden sustainability advantages beyond agriculture by addressing pollution and challenges faced during resource recovery (Nguyen et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2021).

3.3 Comparative Assessment of Advantages and Limitations

Technology	Major Advantages	Key Limitations	References
Bioremediation	Cost-effective, environmentally safe	Consuming time , site-specific	Nguyen et al., 2021
Genome editing	High precision, rapid trait development	Ethical concerns and Regulatory uncertainty,	Zhang et al., 2020
Biofertilizers	Eco-friendly, improves soil health	Varied field performance	Kumar et al., 2022
Genetic engineering	Improved yield and nutrition	Issue in public acceptance	Lallawmkimi et al., 2024
Biological wastewater treatment	Sustainable water reuse	Requires system optimization	Abonyi et al., 2024
Biopesticides	Target-specific, low toxicity	Less shelf life, slower action	Singh et al., 2021

Table 3.2: Major advantages and Limitations of Biotechnological strategies

Although each biotechnological approach offers substantial sustainability benefits but at the same time all have some limitations. Genome editing provides fidelity but faces regulatory and ethical challenges while microbial-based technologies are environmentally safe still exhibit variability under field conditions (Kumar et al., 2022). Bio remediation is widely considered as a sustainable remediation approach whereas , its effectiveness is depend on some environmental factors such as pollutant concentration, temperature and microbial flexibility(Nguyen et al., 2021). These findings reveal the importance of specific application and integrated strategies rather than depending on a single technology.

3.4 For enhancing sustainability approaches of integrated biotechnology

The pertinent literature focuses on integrating multiple biotechnological strategies which yield greater sustainability result than isolated applications, such as combining genome-edited crops with microbial bio fertilizers which enhance efficiency of nutrient use and tolerance toward stress (Glick, 2020; Lallawmkimi et al., 2024). The integration of bio pesticideswithin integrated pest management systems minimizes chemical pesticide dependency while maintaining optimal pest management efficacy (Singh et al., 2021).

FAO (2023) reported that sustainable agricultural systems depend on the convergence of precision management practices, biotechnology and. ecological principles. Such integrative practices strengthen system stability reduce environmental footprints and insure food security.

3.5 Implications for Sustainable Development

The comparative analysis indicates that biotechnological strategies significantly contribute to multiple dimensions of sustainability, including protection of environment, social well-being and economic viability. Lowering chemical input minimizing production costs and environmental risks, while improved crop productivity enhances farmer livelihoods

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-33011

(Tripathi et al., 2022). Environmental bio technologies further support sustainable development by restoring polluted ecosystems and promoting circular resource use (Abonyi et al., 2024).

However, the successful implementation of these strategies requires supportive regulatory frameworks, farmer awareness, and long-term field validation. Addressing these factors is critical to translating biotechnological potential into real-world sustainability outcomes.

4.1 Emerging Challenges

Despite having various significant advances in biotechnology towards sustainable environmental and agricultural development, the large-scale adoption of these innovations remains restricted by a range of scientific, regulatory, ethical and socioeconomic challenges . While laboratory and pilot-scale studies demonstrate promising outcomes, translating these findings into real-world applications resisting there long term ecological impact (Kumar et al., 2023; FAO, 2023). This work systematically examines the major challenges that limit the effective implementation of biotechnological strategies in sustainable maintenance of agriculture and environment.

4.2 Limitations in term of technology and science

One of the key challenges in the application of biotechnological strategies is the variability in performance under varied environmental conditions. Microbial-based technologies, including bio-fertilizers and bio pesticides, often show uneven performance due to differences in soil types, climatic conditions and native microbial diversity (Bashan et al., 2020).

In environmental biotechnology processes like bio remediation are highly site-specific and depend on concentration of pollutant, bio availability and microbial adaptability. This limits their predictability and their level of utilization across heterogeneous contaminated sites (Azubuike et al., 2016; Megharaj et al., 2021).

4.3 Regulatory and Policy Constraints

Regulatory uncertainty represents a significant barrier to the adoption of modern biotechnological approaches, particularly genome editing technologies. Although CRISPR-Cas systems offer precise genetic modifications, regulatory frameworks in many countries, but at the same time continue to classify genome-edited crops alongside transgenic organisms leading to prolonged approval processes and increased development costs (Whelan & Lema, 2019; Ricroch et al., 2022).

Environmental bio-technologies also face regulatory challenges related to bio-safety and environmental risk assessment. The deliberate release of genetically modified or non-native microorganisms for bio remediation or wastewater treatment requires comprehensive ecological risk evaluations, which are often time-consuming and costly (OECD, 2021). In developing countries, limited regulatory infrastructure further constrains technology deployment and monitoring (FAO, 2023).

4.4 Socio-economic and Ethical Concerns

Socioeconomic factors play a critical role in determining the success of biotechnological interventions. Limited awareness, lack of technical training and financial constraints among small scale farmers restrict the adoption of bio-fertilizers, bio pesticides and improved crop varieties (Kumar et al., 2022). Additionally, public perception and ethical concerns surrounding genetic modification and genome editing continue to influence policy decisions and market acceptance (Lynas et al., 2020).

Equity issues related to intellectual property rights and access to biotechnology further exacerbate disparities between developed and developing regions. Proprietary technologies and high licensing costs may limit the benefits of biotechnology to large-scale producers, undermining its potential contribution to inclusive and sustainable development (Tripathi et al., 2022).

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-33011

4.5 Knowledge Gaps and Research Needs

Despite extensive research, several critical knowledge gaps remain. Long-term ecological studies assessing the cumulative impacts of biotechnological interventions on soil biodiversity, ecosystem services, and food webs are limited (Bender et al., 2016). In addition, interactions between multiple biotechnological inputs such as genome-edited crops combined with microbial inoculates are poorly understood, particularly under variable climatic conditions.

There is also a lack of comprehensive life cycle assessments evaluating the environmental, economic, and social sustainability of biotechnological solutions across their entire value chain (Notarnicola et al., 2017). Addressing these gaps is essential for evidence-based policy making and for optimizing technology design and deployment.

4.6 Strategies to Overcome Existing Challenges

To overcome these challenges, future efforts should focus on strengthening interdisciplinary research, harmonizing regulatory frameworks, and enhancing stakeholder engagement. The development of context-specific biotechnological solutions, supported by long-term field trials and participatory research approaches can improve technological performance and acceptance (Megharaj et al., 2021).

Capacity-building initiatives aimed at farmers, regulators, and extension professionals are equally important to ensure informed decision-making and effective implementation. Moreover, adaptive regulatory frameworks that distinguish between different levels of genetic modification and risk can facilitate innovation while maintaining bio-safety standards (Whelan & Lema, 2019; OECD, 2021).

5.1 Emerging Trends in Sustainable Biotechnology

The future of sustainable environmental and agricultural development increasingly depends on the convergence of biotechnology with digital, ecological and system-based approaches. Advances in genome editing, synthetic biology and microbial engineering are expected to move beyond single-trait improvements toward multifunctional solutions that simultaneously enhance productivity, resilience and environmental integrity (Zhang et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2023). These emerging trends indicate a shift from input-intensive agriculture toward biologically driven and knowledge-intensive systems aligned with sustainability principles.

In environmental management next-generation bio-remediation strategies incorporating engineered microbial consortia and metabolic pathway optimization are likely to improve remediation efficiency and predictability across diverse ecosystems (Megharaj et al., 2021). Such innovations will play a critical role in addressing complex environmental challenges associated with industrialization, urbanization and climate change.

5.2 Integration of Biotechnology with Digital and Precision Technologies

One of the most promising future directions lie in the integration of biotechnology with precision agriculture and digital tools such as artificial intelligence, machine learning and remote sensing. These technologies can enable real-time monitoring of crop health, soil conditions and microbial activity, thereby improving the precision and effectiveness of biotechnological interventions (Tripathi et al., 2022).

As an example, data-driven decision-support systems can optimize the application of bio-fertilizers and bio pesticides by accounting for spatial and temporal variability in agro-ecosystems. As such predictive modeling can enhance the selection and deployment of microbial strains for bio-remediation and wastewater treatment reducing uncertainty and improving scalability (Notarnicola et al., 2017). Meghraj mallavarapu et al,(.2011) reported that it is challenging to remediate polluted site caused by human activity but when viewed practical bioremediation act as promising technology.

5.3 Climate-stability and Resource-Efficient Agricultural Systems

Future research are expected to prioritize the development of stable crops and production systems capable of withstanding abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity and temperature extremity. Genome editing and molecular breeding techniques

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-33011

offer unprecedented opportunities to enhance stress tolerance while maintaining yield stability and nutritional quality (Ricroch et al., 2022).

In parallel, biotechnological strategies that improve resource-use efficiency, particularly water and nutrient use will be essential for ensuring food security under increasing resource constraints. Microbial technologies that enhance nutrient cycling and soil carbon sequestration are expected to contribute significantly to climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts (Bender et al., 2016; FAO, 2023).

5.4 Advancing Circular Bio-economy and Environmental Sustainability

The concept of a circular bio economy is gaining prominence as a framework for sustainable development, emphasizing resource recovery and closed-loop systems. Biotechnology is destined to be a central tool in this transition enabling the conversion of agricultural and industrial waste into bio-fertilizers, bio-energy and value-added bio-products (OECD, 2021).

Future studies should focus on integrating environmental biotechnology with circular economy principles to maximize resource efficiency and minimize waste generation. Biological wastewater treatment systems for instance, can be redesigned to recover nutrients and energy while reducing environmental pollution (Abonyi et al., 2024). Such approaches align biotechnology with broader sustainability and climate purification goals.

5.5 Policy, Capacity Building and Inclusive Innovation

The realization of biotechnology’s sustainability potential depends not only on scientific progress but also on supportive policy frameworks, institutional capacity and inclusive innovation pathways. Adaptive regulatory systems that are research-based and proportionate to risk are crucial for facilitating innovation while ensuring bioremediation (Whelan & Lema, 2019).

Capacity building among farmers, extension services, and regulatory agencies is equally important to enhance technology adoption and responsible use. Participatory research and co-development approaches can help ensure that biotechnological solutions are context-specific, socially acceptable and accessible to smallholder farmers, particularly in developing regions (FAO, 2023).

5.6 Future Research Priorities

On the basis of a comprehensive review of current studies, future research should focus on:

Life cycle and sustainability assessments covering economic , environmental and social aspect.

Long-term, multi-location field studies to access socio-economic and ecological impacts of biotechnological approaches.

System based research combining crops, soil, microbes and environmental processes.

Research based policy to plot evidence-based regulatory framework.

Addressing these main areas will be important for translating biotechnological innovations into scalable, sustainable solutions that promote global development goals.

VI. CONCLUSION

Biotechnological tactics hold revolutionary capability for advancing sustainable environmental and agricultural advancement. Progression will depend on cross functional collaboration, inclusive innovation models, responsible governance which balance productivity with ecological restoration. Synchronizing scientific advances with sustainability targets allow biotechnology to play valuable role in creating food safety systems and restoring ecological health.

REFERENCES

1. Abonyi, M. N., Obi, C. C., & Nwabanne, J. T. (2024). Emerging and eco-friendly biological methods for agricultural wastewater treatment. *Environmental Systems Research, 13*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40068-024-00373-4

2. Azubuike, C. C., Chikere, C. B., & Okpokwasili, G. C. (2016). Bioremediation techniques—classification based on site of application: principles, advantages, limitations and prospects. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 32*(11), 180. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-016-2137-x
3. Bashan, Y., de-Bashan, L. E., Prabhu, S. R., & Hernandez, J. P. (2020). Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: formulations and practical perspectives. *Plant and Soil, 378*(1–2), 1–33.
4. Bender, S. F., Wagg, C., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2016). An underground revolution: biodiversity and soil ecological engineering for agricultural sustainability. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 31*(6), 440–452.
5. Donato1,2, m.c. Medori3, l. Stuppia4,5, t. Beccari6, m. Dundar7, r.s. Marks8,9, s. Michelini10, e. Borghetti11, (2023) Unleashing the potential of biotechnology for sustainable development .
6. FAO. (2023). *Biotechnologies for sustainable agriculture and food systems*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
7. FAO. (2023). *The future of food and agriculture: Innovation pathways for sustainable development*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://www.fao.org
8. Glick, B. R. (2020). *Beneficial plant–bacterial interactions*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44368-9
9. Kumar, A., Singh, R., & Pandey, K. D. (2022). Biofertilizers: a sustainable approach for plant and soil health. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 22*(1), 1–15.
10. Kumar, A., Singh, S., Giri, B. S., & Kim, K. H. (2022). Microbial biotechnology for sustainable agriculture: Current research and future challenges. *Environmental Technology & Innovation, 25*, 102135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2022.102135
11. Kumar, S., Meena, R. S., & Jhariya, M. K. (2023). Sustainable agricultural intensification through biotechnology: challenges and opportunities. *Sustainability, 15*(4), 3121.
12. Lallawmkimi, M. C., Vanlalhruaia, R., & Lalruatsanga, H. (2024). Innovative approaches in crop genetic engineering for sustainable agriculture: A review. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology, 27*(8), 615–631. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81177
13. Lynas, M., Nunn, A., & Howe, A. (2020). Crop biotechnology and the future of food. *GM Crops & Food, 11*(3), 111–118.
14. Megharaj, M., Ramakrishnan, B., Venkateswarlu, K., Sethunathan, N., & Naidu, R. (2011). Bioremediation approaches for organic pollutants: a critical perspective. *Environment International, 145*, 106099.
15. Nguyen, T. A., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W., & Chang, S. W. (2021). Environmental biotechnology applications for pollution control and sustainable development. *Bioresource Technology, 319*, 124156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124156
16. OECD. (2021). *Revised consensus document on the biology of plants developed using genome editing*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
17. Ricroch, A. E., Clairand, P., & Harwood, W. (2022). Use of CRISPR systems in plant genome editing: toward new opportunities in agriculture. *Emerging Topics in Life Sciences, 6*(2), 129–141.
18. Ricroch, A. E., Clairand, P., & Harwood, W. (2022). Use of CRISPR systems in plant genome editing toward sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 13*, 833598. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.833598
19. Singh, J. S., Gupta, V. K., & Singh, D. P. (2021). *Biotechnology for environmental sustainability*. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2019-0-00192-1
20. Tripathi, A. D., Mishra, R., Maurya, K. K., Singh, R. B., & Wilson, D. W. (2022). Sustainable agriculture through biotechnology. *Agronomy, 12*(2), 425. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12020425
21. UNEP. (2022). *Global environment outlook: Healthy planet, healthy people*. United Nations Environment Programme. https://www.unep.org
22. Whelan, A. I., & Lema, M. A. (2019). Regulatory framework for gene editing and other new breeding techniques (NBTs) in Argentina. *GM Crops & Food, 10*(4), 225–236.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-33011

23. Zhang, H., Zhang, J., Lang, Z., & Botella, J. R. (2020). Genome editing—Principles and applications for crop improvement. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 39*(4), 291–309. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2020.1789035
24. Zhang, Y., Pribil, M., Palmgren, M., & Gao, C. (2020). A CRISPR way for accelerating improvement of food crops. *Nature Food, 1*(4), 200–205