IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 6, March 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Role of Internal Corporate Social Responsibility in Enhancing Employee Welfare and Workplace Engagement

Ashish Kumar Dubey[1] and Dr. Shweta Bhosale[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Management
[2]Professor, Department of Management
Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital

Abstract: *Internal Corporate Social Responsibility (ICSR) has emerged as a strategic imperative for organizations seeking to enhance employee welfare and foster workplace engagement. This paper synthesizes contemporary research to examine how employee-oriented CSR initiatives—encompassing work-life balance, health and safety, training and development, and diversity practices—influence employee well-being and organizational commitment. Drawing on social exchange theory, self-determination theory, and social cognitive theory, the analysis reveals that ICSR enhances employee welfare through multiple psychological mechanisms, including perceived person-organization fit, organizational embeddedness, and self-verification. Furthermore, ICSR practices significantly predict workplace engagement across diverse contexts, with studies demonstrating that workforce diversity, work-life balance, and health and safety collectively explain approximately 60% of variance in employee engagement. The paper concludes by identifying theoretical implications and practical recommendations for organizations seeking to leverage ICSR as a strategic tool for human capital management.*

Keywords: Internal Corporate Social Responsibility, Employee Welfare, Workplace Engagement, Organizational Commitment, Well-being

I. INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility has traditionally been understood as an organization's commitment to ethical business practices that contribute to economic development while enhancing the well-being of employees, their families, local communities, and society at large . However, contemporary scholarship has increasingly recognized that employees respond more directly to CSR initiatives than external stakeholders, prompting a strategic reorientation toward internal CSR practices .

Internal Corporate Social Responsibility encompasses employee-oriented initiatives designed to enhance well-being, assimilate core organizational values, and promote pro-social actions benefiting multiple stakeholders . These initiatives include fair wages and compensation, professional development opportunities, workplace safety, work-life balance programs, diversity and inclusion practices, and recognition and rewards systems .

The growing emphasis on ICSR reflects a fundamental shift in understanding the employment relationship. Traditional compensation packages have lost their differentiating power in attracting and retaining talent, with employees increasingly prioritizing purpose and value alignment over purely financial incentives . This transformation has elevated ICSR from a peripheral concern to a strategic imperative for organizations seeking sustainable competitive advantage through human capital management .

This paper examines the theoretical foundations and empirical evidence linking ICSR to employee welfare and workplace engagement, synthesizing recent research to identify key mechanisms, contextual factors, and practical implications.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 6, March 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Social Exchange Theory

Social exchange theory provides a foundational framework for understanding ICSR's impact on employee outcomes. This theory posits that employment relationships extend beyond economic exchanges to encompass social and psychological reciprocity . When organizations invest in employee welfare through ICSR initiatives, employees reciprocate through increased commitment, engagement, and organizational citizenship behaviors .

The norm of reciprocity operates at both individual and collective levels. Employees perceiving genuine organizational concern for their well-being develop stronger affective bonds with their employers, manifesting as emotional attachment and identification with organizational goals . Research in the banking sector demonstrates that ICSR practices have particularly strong positive relationships with affective and normative commitment, reflecting this reciprocal dynamic .

2.2 Self-Determination Theory

Self-determination theory offers complementary insights by emphasizing the psychological needs that ICSR initiatives can fulfill. According to this perspective, employee thriving and engagement depend on satisfaction of basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness .

ICSR practices address these needs through multiple pathways. Training and development programs enhance competence by building skills and capabilities . Work-life balance initiatives support autonomy by enabling employees to manage competing life demands . Health and safety programs signal organizational care, fostering relatedness and belonging . Research confirms that perceived ICSR shapes thriving at work through organizational embeddedness, reflecting the satisfaction of these fundamental psychological needs .

2.3 Social Cognitive Theory

Social cognitive theory illuminates the cognitive processes through which ICSR influences employee welfare. This framework emphasizes that individuals learn and are motivated through observation, modeling, and self-reflection . ICSR initiatives convey organizational values and expectations, shaping employees' self-concepts and perceptions of organizational alignment.

Empirical research demonstrates that ICSR enhances employee well-being both directly and indirectly through perceived person-organization fit, self-verification, and self-enhancement . When employees perceive alignment between their values and organizational practices, they experience greater satisfaction and well-being. Similarly, CSR initiatives that affirm employees' self-views or enable self-enhancement contribute to positive psychological outcomes .

III. INTERNAL CSR AND EMPLOYEE WELFARE

3.1 Multidimensional Nature of Employee Welfare

Employee welfare encompasses multiple dimensions of individual experience, including work-related assessments (job satisfaction), psychological states (happiness), and non-work evaluations (life satisfaction) . ICSR initiatives influence these dimensions through distinct but interconnected mechanisms.

Job satisfaction represents employees' affective evaluation of their work experience. Research indicates that ICSR practices—particularly health and safety, work-life balance, and training and development—significantly enhance job satisfaction . This relationship operates both directly and indirectly through psychological mediators such as perceived organizational support and value congruence .

Happiness and life satisfaction reflect broader well-being extending beyond the workplace. Studies demonstrate that ICSR influences these non-work dimensions by reducing workplace stress and burnout while enhancing sense of purpose and belonging . Companies implementing comprehensive ICSR programs report reduced employee stress and anxiety, improved work-life balance, and enhanced overall life satisfaction .

3.2 Psychological Mechanisms

Recent research has identified several psychological mechanisms linking ICSR to employee welfare. Organizational embeddedness—reflecting employees' perceived fit and connections within their organization—serves as a key mediator between perceived ICSR and thriving at work . This finding underscores the importance of contextual factors in translating CSR initiatives into positive employee outcomes.

Person-organization fit represents another critical mechanism. When employees perceive alignment between their personal values and organizational CSR practices, they experience greater well-being and satisfaction . This alignment operates through both self-verification (confirmation of self-views) and self-enhancement (positive self-evaluation) pathways .

Moral meaningfulness—the perception that work has ethical significance—further contributes to employee welfare, though research suggests this effect operates indirectly through organizational embeddedness rather than directly . This finding highlights the importance of contextual support in enabling employees to derive meaning from CSR initiatives.

IV. INTERNAL CSR AND WORKPLACE ENGAGEMENT

4.1 Defining Workplace Engagement

Workplace engagement represents employees' emotional and cognitive investment in their work and organization. Engaged employees demonstrate vigor, dedication, and absorption in their roles, contributing to enhanced individual and organizational performance . ICSR initiatives have emerged as significant predictors of engagement across industries and contexts.

4.2 Empirical Evidence

Research consistently demonstrates positive relationships between ICSR and workplace engagement. A study of BFG International Company in Bahrain found that positive perceptions of workforce diversity, work-life balance, and health and safety were significantly associated with higher levels of employee engagement . These dimensions collectively explained approximately 60% of variance in engagement, underscoring ICSR's substantial influence .

Similar findings emerge from the automobile sector, where ICSR practices demonstrate strong positive relationships with work commitment and performance . Labor practices show particularly strong influence, while recognition and rewards programs demonstrate weaker effects, and diversity and inclusion initiatives show no discernible impact on performance in certain contexts . These variations highlight the importance of understanding which ICSR components most effectively drive engagement.

The banking sector provides additional evidence, with studies confirming that ICSR practices have strong positive relationships with affective, continuance, and normative organizational commitment . Notably, ICSR provides stronger explanations for normative and affective commitment than for continuance commitment, suggesting that ICSR fosters emotional and values-based attachment rather than merely instrumental retention .

4.3 Differential Effects Across ICSR Components

Not all ICSR practices contribute equally to engagement and commitment. Research comparing ICSR components reveals important differential effects. Work-life balance, health and safety, and training and development significantly enhance organizational commitment, both directly and indirectly through job satisfaction . However, labor relations show no significant impact in some contexts, suggesting a shift in employee priorities toward well-being and growth over traditional labor policies .

These findings challenge conventional assumptions about effective HR practices and highlight the need for organizations to tailor ICSR initiatives to evolving workforce expectations. Modern employees appear to value developmental opportunities and work-life integration more than traditional labor relations mechanisms .

V. ORGANIZATIONAL AND CONTEXTUAL FACTORS

5.1 Industry Context

The effectiveness of ICSR practices varies across industries, reflecting different work conditions and employee expectations. In service-intensive, compliance-driven settings such as banking, ICSR fostering employee vitality and learning proves crucial for sustainable performance . Manufacturing and automobile sectors show strong positive relationships between ICSR and work commitment, though specific influential components vary .

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 6, March 2026
Impact Factor: 8.2

Cultural context further moderates ICSR effectiveness. Research in collectivist cultures suggests that ICSR practices fostering collective well-being enhance organizational commitment more strongly than in individualistic contexts . This finding has implications for multinational organizations implementing standardized ICSR programs across diverse cultural settings.

5.2 Organizational Governance

Organizational governance and ethics significantly influence ICSR effectiveness. Research employing multi-criteria decision-making approaches demonstrates that internal CSR—particularly employee development, fair wages, and safe work environments—represents the most influential factor for employer branding and organizational appeal . Organizational governance and ethics, notably supply chain transparency and ethical behavior, also prove highly important .

These findings challenge the notion that CSR customization to demographic trends is necessary, instead emphasizing the universal value of strong internal practices and ethical governance . Organizations benefit more from focusing on solid internal CSR and transparent governance than from superficial external campaigns .

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has examined the role of Internal Corporate Social Responsibility in enhancing employee welfare and workplace engagement. Theoretical frameworks including social exchange theory, self-determination theory, and social cognitive theory provide complementary explanations for ICSR's effects on employee outcomes. Empirical evidence demonstrates that ICSR practices significantly influence employee well-being, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and workplace engagement.

Key findings indicate that ICSR enhances employee welfare through multiple psychological mechanisms, including organizational embeddedness, person-organization fit, and self-verification. Workplace engagement is substantially influenced by ICSR dimensions such as work-life balance, health and safety, training and development, and workforce diversity. However, the effectiveness of specific ICSR components varies across contexts, requiring organizations to tailor initiatives to industry and cultural conditions.

Theoretical contributions include extending social exchange and self-determination perspectives to ICSR, identifying psychological mechanisms linking CSR to employee outcomes, and clarifying differential effects across ICSR components. Practical implications emphasize the importance of aligning ICSR practices with employees' psychological needs, supporting moral alignment, and tailoring support for diverse workforce segments. Organizations must achieve balance between autonomy and security to sustain engagement and innovation, ultimately advancing both human and organizational sustainability.

Future research should explore additional mediating mechanisms, examine long-term effects of ICSR interventions, and investigate how digital transformation and remote work reshape the relationship between ICSR and employee outcomes. As workforce expectations continue to evolve, understanding and leveraging ICSR will remain essential for organizations seeking to attract, retain, and engage talent in an increasingly competitive global labor market.

REFERENCES

[1]. Edip, A., & Karadas, G. (2025). Thriving in internal CSR-driven service organisations: The role of embeddedness, moral meaningfulness and intrapreneurship. *Sustainability*, *17*(19), 8866.

[2]. Tang, A. D., Nguyen, T. T., Thai, T. D.-H., Vo-Thanh, T., & Wu, S.-H. (2025). How perceived internal corporate social responsibility improves employee well-being: The roles of person–organization fit and self-view. *Journal of Business Research*.

[3]. BINUS University. (2025). Influence of internal corporate social responsibility (ICSR) in increasing employees' affective commitment: Study at PT. Vuclip Digital Indonesia. *BINUS Sustainable Development Goals*.

[4]. Chaudhary, M. K., Neupane, K., & G.C., S. (2025). Beyond compliance: How does internal CSR drive organizational commitment in Nepalese life insurance sector? *Journal of Emerging Management Studies*, *3*(1), 16–35.

[5]. Dawwas, M. I. F., Allaymoun, M., AlMaamari, Q., & Hashim, M. S. S. (2025). Enhancing employee engagement through internal corporate social responsibility: Insights from BFG International Company in Bahrain. In *Studies in Systems, Decision and Control* (Vol. 566, pp. 577–587). Springer.

[6]. Indhuja, K. P., & Chandran, M. (2024). Investigating the influence of internal corporate social responsibility (ICSR) on work commitment and performance of employees of automobile companies in Chennai. *Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología - Serie de Conferencias*, 893.

[7]. Miller, K. (2025). Internal corporate social responsibility. In *The Routledge Handbook of CSR Communication*. Taylor & Francis.

[8]. Ramdhan, R. M., Kisahwan, D., Winarno, A., & Hermana, D. (2022). Internal corporate social responsibility as a microfoundation of employee well-being and job performance. *Sustainability*, *14*(15), 9065.

[9]. Sharma, A., & Gupta, R. (2025). Strategic CSR for employer branding: A decision framework prioritizing internal wellbeing and ethical governance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *10*, 101487.