IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 4, January 2026
Impact Factor: 7.67

# Impact of Board Independence on the Financial Performance of Indian Listed Companies

**Ranjeet Kumar Sah[1] and Dr. Shilpi Mahata[2]**
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Commerce
[2]Research Guide, Department of Commerce
Vikrant University, Gwalior, M.P.

**Abstract:** *Board independence is a critical component of corporate governance that enhances transparency, accountability, and monitoring of managerial decisions in publicly listed firms. In India, regulatory frameworks such as the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI's Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements mandate the presence of independent directors to protect shareholder interests. The presence of independent directors is expected to improve oversight of management and reduce agency conflicts between managers and shareholders.*

*Several empirical studies on Indian listed companies have examined the relationship between board independence and financial performance using indicators such as Return on Assets Return on Equity and Tobin's Q. While some studies report a positive relationship, others find insignificant or mixed results depending on firm characteristics and industry factors. For instance, firms with a higher proportion of independent directors have been found to exhibit stronger profitability indicators such as higher ROA and ROE due to improved monitoring and strategic guidance.*

**Keywords:** Corporate governance, Board independence, Financial performance

## I. INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance has become an essential mechanism for ensuring transparency, accountability, and effective management in modern corporations. In emerging economies like India, corporate governance reforms have gained importance due to increasing globalization, investor protection requirements, and corporate scandals. One of the key components of corporate governance is board independence, which refers to the presence of non-executive directors who are free from management influence and capable of making unbiased decisions. Independent directors act as watchdogs to monitor managerial actions and protect shareholder interests.

Theoretical foundations such as agency theory highlight the importance of independent boards in reducing agency conflicts between managers and shareholders. Managers may pursue personal benefits at the expense of firm value, and independent directors help mitigate such conflicts by improving monitoring and strategic oversight. In the Indian context, regulatory bodies such as SEBI require listed companies to maintain a specified proportion of independent directors on their boards to strengthen governance standards.

Several empirical studies have investigated the relationship between board independence and firm performance in Indian listed companies. Some researchers found that governance mechanisms including board independence positively influence financial performance indicators such as ROA and ROCE. However, the relationship is sometimes statistically insignificant, suggesting that governance practices may influence performance indirectly rather than directly.

Furthermore, studies analyzing corporate governance structures of Indian firms indicate that board independence tends to improve accounting-based performance measures and enhance market confidence. The presence of independent directors provides professional expertise, reduces managerial opportunism, and improves decision-making quality in corporate boards.

## CONCEPT OF BOARD INDEPENDENCE

Board independence refers to the proportion of directors on the board who are not part of the company's management and do not have any material relationship with the firm. Independent directors are expected to provide objective judgment on corporate matters including executive compensation, risk management, financial reporting, and strategic decisions.

In India, the Companies Act 2013 and SEBI regulations mandate that listed companies maintain at least one-third to one-half independent directors depending on whether the chairperson is executive or non-executive. These regulations aim to strengthen corporate governance and ensure balanced decision-making within the board.

Board independence is a fundamental element of corporate governance that ensures the effective functioning of a company's board of directors. It refers to the presence of independent or non-executive directors on the board who do not have any direct managerial role or significant financial relationship with the company. These directors are expected to act objectively and make decisions that protect the interests of shareholders and other stakeholders. In modern corporate governance frameworks, board independence is considered essential because it improves oversight of management activities, enhances transparency, and strengthens accountability within organizations. According to corporate governance theory, the separation of ownership and control in large corporations often leads to conflicts of interest between managers and shareholders, commonly referred to as agency problems. Independent directors help mitigate these conflicts by monitoring managerial decisions and ensuring that management acts in the best interests of the company (Jensen & Meckling, 1976).

The concept of board independence gained prominence after several corporate scandals and financial crises around the world, which highlighted weaknesses in governance structures and lack of effective oversight. As a result, regulatory authorities and policymakers introduced governance reforms that emphasized the importance of independent directors. In India, board independence has been institutionalized through regulatory frameworks such as the Companies Act, 2013 and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements (LODR) regulations. These regulations require listed companies to appoint a specified proportion of independent directors on their boards. For instance, if the chairperson of a company is a non-executive director, at least one-third of the board must consist of independent directors. If the chairperson is an executive director or related to promoters, at least half of the board must be independent. These rules aim to ensure balanced decision-making and reduce the influence of management or controlling shareholders over corporate governance processes (SEBI, 2015).

An independent director is defined as a board member who does not have any material relationship with the company that could influence his or her judgment. This means that independent directors should not be employees, promoters, or major shareholders of the firm, and they should not have significant financial transactions with the company. Their independence allows them to provide unbiased opinions on strategic decisions, financial reporting, executive compensation, and risk management. Because they are not involved in daily operations, independent directors can evaluate management performance more objectively and provide constructive criticism when necessary. Their role is particularly important in protecting the interests of minority shareholders and ensuring that management decisions align with the long-term goals of the organization (Tricker, 2019).

Board independence also contributes to improving corporate transparency and accountability. Independent directors often serve on key board committees such as the audit committee, nomination and remuneration committee, and risk management committee. These committees play an important role in overseeing financial reporting, internal controls, and executive compensation policies. For example, the audit committee, which typically consists mostly of independent directors, ensures the integrity of financial statements and monitors the effectiveness of internal audit systems. By performing these functions, independent directors help maintain the credibility of corporate disclosures and strengthen investor confidence in the company. In emerging economies like India, where corporate ownership structures are often concentrated among promoters or family groups, the presence of independent directors helps balance the power of controlling shareholders and protect the interests of public investors (Shleifer & Vishny, 1997).

Another important dimension of board independence is its influence on strategic decision-making. Independent directors often bring diverse professional backgrounds, expertise, and external perspectives to the board. Their experience in different industries, finance, law, or academia can contribute to better evaluation of business strategies and risk management practices. By offering independent viewpoints, these directors help the board make more informed decisions regarding investments, mergers and acquisitions, and corporate restructuring. Studies have shown that boards with higher levels of independence tend to be more effective in supervising management and providing strategic guidance, which can ultimately contribute to improved organizational performance (Bhagat & Black, 2002).

Despite its advantages, the effectiveness of board independence depends on several factors. Merely appointing independent directors does not automatically guarantee better governance outcomes. The true effectiveness of board independence depends on the competence, integrity, and active participation of independent directors in board activities. If independent directors lack sufficient expertise or access to relevant information, their ability to monitor management effectively may be limited. In addition, cultural factors and power dynamics within the boardroom may influence the independence of directors. For example, in companies dominated by promoters or controlling shareholders, independent directors may face pressure or limitations in challenging management decisions. Therefore, regulatory frameworks increasingly emphasize not only the presence of independent directors but also their qualifications, tenure, and performance evaluation.

In the Indian corporate sector, the role of independent directors has evolved significantly over the past two decades. Earlier, many boards consisted primarily of executive directors or promoter representatives, which limited the effectiveness of board oversight. However, governance reforms introduced by SEBI and other regulatory authorities have strengthened the role of independent directors by defining their responsibilities and establishing guidelines for their appointment, tenure, and removal. For instance, the Companies Act, 2013 specifies that independent directors should possess relevant expertise and experience, and they must undergo periodic performance evaluations. These provisions aim to ensure that independent directors contribute meaningfully to corporate governance and decision-making processes.

Furthermore, board independence is closely linked to the broader objectives of corporate governance, such as enhancing accountability, protecting shareholder rights, and promoting sustainable business practices. Independent directors are expected to act as guardians of corporate ethics and ensure compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. Their oversight role becomes particularly important in areas such as corporate social responsibility, environmental sustainability, and stakeholder engagement. By encouraging ethical practices and responsible decision-making, independent directors help build long-term value for the company and its stakeholders.

The concept of board independence represents a crucial pillar of modern corporate governance. It ensures that corporate boards maintain a balance between management authority and shareholder oversight. Independent directors contribute to improved transparency, better monitoring of managerial actions, and more effective strategic decision-making. In the context of Indian listed companies, regulatory reforms have significantly strengthened the framework for board independence, making it an essential requirement for listed firms. However, the effectiveness of board independence depends not only on regulatory compliance but also on the commitment, expertise, and active involvement of independent directors in governance processes. Strengthening these aspects can further enhance the role of board independence in improving corporate governance standards and financial performance in Indian companies.

Independent directors contribute to corporate governance through the following roles:

- Monitoring management performance
- Protecting minority shareholders' interests
- Enhancing transparency in financial reporting
- Improving strategic decision-making
- Strengthening investor confidence

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 6, Issue 4, January 2026 Impact Factor: 7.67

## BOARD INDEPENDENCE AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Financial performance of firms is commonly measured using indicators such as Return on Assets Return on Equity and Tobin's Q. Independent directors influence these measures through better governance practices and improved decision-making.

Empirical research on Indian firms indicates mixed but generally positive relationships between board independence and financial performance. Studies have found that companies with a higher proportion of independent directors tend to demonstrate stronger profitability indicators such as ROA and ROE. For example, firms with high board independence showed average ROA of **6**.85% compared to 3.82% for firms with lower independence, and average ROE of 15.41% compared to 8.12%, indicating stronger financial outcomes.

However, some research suggests that the relationship may be positive but statistically insignificant. This indicates that board independence alone cannot guarantee better financial performance unless supported by effective governance practices such as strong audit committees, transparent disclosure, and effective ownership structures.

Corporate governance has become a critical aspect of modern corporate management, particularly in publicly listed companies where ownership and management are separated. One of the most significant components of corporate governance is board independence, which refers to the presence of independent or non-executive directors on a company's board who are free from managerial influence. These directors are expected to provide unbiased judgment, monitor management actions, and safeguard shareholder interests. In emerging markets like India, strengthening corporate governance has been a priority due to increasing globalization, corporate scandals, and the need to protect minority shareholders.

The concept of board independence gained prominence through agency theory, which suggests that conflicts may arise between managers (agents) and shareholders (principals). Independent directors help reduce such agency conflicts by monitoring managerial decisions and ensuring that management acts in the best interest of shareholders. In India, regulatory frameworks such as the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI's Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements (LODR) mandate the appointment of independent directors on the boards of listed companies to improve governance standards.

Financial performance, on the other hand, reflects the overall financial health and efficiency of a company and is usually measured through indicators such as Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), Earnings per Share (EPS), and Tobin's Q. Researchers and policymakers often examine whether stronger governance mechanisms such as board independence lead to improved financial performance. Over the past two decades, several studies have investigated the relationship between board independence and firm performance, particularly in Indian listed companies.

## CONCEPT OF BOARD INDEPENDENCE

Board independence refers to the proportion of directors on the board who do not have any material relationship with the company, its promoters, or management. These independent directors are not involved in the day-to-day operations of the company and therefore can provide objective opinions on strategic decisions, financial reporting, and executive compensation.

In the Indian corporate governance framework, independent directors are required to maintain impartiality and protect the interests of all stakeholders, including minority shareholders. According to the Companies Act, 2013, at least one-third of the board of directors of a listed company must consist of independent directors. If the chairperson of the board is an executive director, at least half of the board must be independent.

Independent directors play several important roles in corporate governance. First, they act as monitors of management behavior and reduce the possibility of managerial opportunism. Second, they contribute to strategic decision-making by bringing professional expertise and external perspectives to the boardroom. Third, they improve transparency and credibility in financial reporting processes. Finally, they strengthen the company's reputation in the capital market by increasing investor confidence. These roles make board independence an essential element of effective corporate governance.

## THEORETICAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BOARD INDEPENDENCE AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Several theoretical frameworks explain how board independence can influence financial performance. The agency theory suggests that managers may pursue their personal interests rather than maximizing shareholder wealth. Independent directors act as monitoring mechanisms to ensure that managerial decisions align with shareholder interests, thereby improving firm performance (Jensen & Meckling, 1976).

Another theoretical perspective is the resource dependency theory, which argues that independent directors provide valuable resources such as knowledge, expertise, and networks that help organizations make better strategic decisions (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978). These resources can improve organizational efficiency and financial outcomes.

Similarly, the stewardship theory emphasizes that boards composed of experienced and independent members can support managers in achieving long-term organizational goals. Independent directors can provide constructive advice and oversight, thereby improving governance quality and organizational performance.

Therefore, theoretical literature generally suggests that a higher proportion of independent directors on corporate boards can enhance monitoring efficiency, improve strategic decision-making, and ultimately contribute to better financial performance.

## EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM INDIAN LISTED COMPANIES

Empirical studies examining the relationship between board independence and financial performance in India have produced mixed but generally positive findings. Several researchers have found that firms with a higher proportion of independent directors tend to demonstrate better financial outcomes. For example, studies on companies listed on the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) and National Stock Exchange (NSE) indicate that board independence has a positive relationship with profitability indicators such as ROA and ROE (Kapil & Mishra, 2019).

Similarly, research conducted on Indian manufacturing firms suggests that independent directors improve monitoring mechanisms and enhance the efficiency of corporate decision-making, leading to improved financial performance (Gurusamy, 2017). These studies highlight that independent director can reduce managerial inefficiencies and strengthen internal control systems.

However, some studies report that the relationship between board independence and financial performance is not always statistically significant. For example, certain empirical analyses show that while independent directors improve governance quality, their direct impact on profitability indicators may be limited due to external factors such as market conditions, firm size, or ownership structure (Dey & Sharma, 2021).

In addition, some researchers argue that merely appointing independent directors does not guarantee improved performance. The effectiveness of independent directors depends on their professional competence, experience, and ability to challenge management decisions. If independent directors lack sufficient authority or information, their influence on financial outcomes may be limited.

## ROLE OF BOARD INDEPENDENCE IN ENHANCING CORPORATE PERFORMANCE

Despite the mixed empirical evidence, board independence plays a crucial role in strengthening corporate governance and improving organizational performance. Independent directors enhance corporate performance in several ways.

First, they improve the monitoring function of the board. By overseeing managerial decisions and evaluating executive performance, independent directors reduce the likelihood of fraudulent activities and inefficient resource allocation. This improved monitoring can lead to better financial outcomes.

Second, independent directors contribute to strategic decision-making by bringing diverse expertise and professional knowledge. Many independent directors possess experience in finance, law, management, or industry-specific fields, which helps the board make informed decisions regarding investments, mergers, and long-term strategies.

Third, board independence improves transparency and accountability in financial reporting. Independent directors often serve on audit committees and ensure that financial statements are prepared in accordance with regulatory standards. This enhances the credibility of financial disclosures and increases investor confidence.

Fourth, independent boards help companies build stronger reputations in the capital market. Investors are more likely to invest in companies that follow strong governance practices, which ultimately improves market valuation and access to capital. As a result, board independence can indirectly contribute to improved financial performance.

## CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF BOARD INDEPENDENCE

Although board independence is widely recognized as an important governance mechanism, it also faces several challenges in practice. One of the major challenges is the potential lack of true independence among directors. In some cases, independent directors may have social or professional relationships with company promoters, which may affect their objectivity.

Another challenge is the limited availability of qualified independent directors with the necessary expertise and experience. In India, the growing demand for independent directors has sometimes led to the appointment of individuals who may not possess adequate knowledge of corporate governance practices.

Additionally, independent directors may face information asymmetry because they are not involved in the day-to-day operations of the company. Without sufficient access to internal information, their ability to effectively monitor management decisions may be restricted. These challenges suggest that while board independence is important, its effectiveness depends on the quality, independence, and engagement of the directors themselves.

Board independence is a fundamental element of corporate governance that aims to improve monitoring mechanisms, enhance transparency, and protect shareholder interests. In the context of Indian listed companies, regulatory reforms such as the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI's governance guidelines have strengthened the role of independent directors in corporate boards.

Theoretical perspectives such as agency theory and resource dependency theory suggest that independent directors can positively influence financial performance by improving oversight and strategic decision-making. Empirical evidence from Indian firms generally supports the idea that board independence contributes to better governance practices and may lead to improved financial performance indicators such as ROA and ROE.

However, the relationship between board independence and financial performance is not always straightforward. The effectiveness of independent directors depends on factors such as their expertise, independence, board dynamics, and the overall governance environment of the firm. Therefore, companies should focus not only on increasing the number of independent directors but also on enhancing their effectiveness and engagement in board activities. Strengthening the role of independent directors can ultimately contribute to sustainable corporate growth and improved financial performance in Indian listed companies.

**Table 1: Empirical Evidence from Indian Studies**

| Author & Year | Sample & Period | Variables Used | Key Findings |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dey & Sharma (2021) | NIFTY-50 companies | ROA, ROCE, board independence | Positive but statistically insignificant relationship between board independence and financial returns |
| Gurusamy (2017) | 357 BSE manufacturing firms | ROA, ROE, board characteristics | Board characteristics influence financial performance and governance effectiveness |
| Kapil & Mishra (SEM study) | NSE listed companies | ROA, ROE, Tobin's Q | Governance variables including board independence influence firm performance, especially market-based measures |

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 6, Issue 4, January 2026
Impact Factor: 7.67

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Shetty & Vincent (2021) | Indian industrial sector | Financial distress indicators | Independent directors help improve monitoring and governance quality |
| IJSRP Study (2025) | Listed companies | ROA, ROE, board independence ratio | Firms with higher independent directors show higher ROA and ROE |

**DISCUSSION**

The literature indicates that board independence contributes to improved corporate governance and organizational transparency. Independent directors provide expertise, objective evaluation, and risk management capabilities that enhance strategic decisions and financial oversight. As a result, firms with stronger board independence are often associated with improved financial performance and investor confidence.

However, the effectiveness of independent directors depends on factors such as their professional expertise, board dynamics, and corporate culture. In some cases, independent directors may lack sufficient authority or information to challenge management decisions effectively. Therefore, board independence should be complemented by other governance mechanisms such as effective audit committees, ownership diversity, and regulatory oversight.

## II. CONCLUSION

Board independence plays a vital role in strengthening corporate governance and improving monitoring mechanisms in Indian listed companies. Empirical evidence suggests that independent directors contribute positively to financial performance by enhancing transparency, reducing agency conflicts, and improving decision-making processes. While some studies report statistically insignificant relationships, the overall literature supports the importance of board independence as a key governance mechanism for sustainable corporate performance. Strengthening the effectiveness and expertise of independent directors can further enhance financial outcomes and investor confidence in Indian capital markets.

## REFERENCES

[1]. Agarwal, S., & Singh, M. (2018). Independent directors and firm value: Empirical evidence from Indian listed companies. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 10(1), 72–90.

[2]. Arora, A., & Bodhanwala, S. (2018). Relationship between corporate governance index and firm performance in India. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*.

[3]. Choudhury, P., & Das, S. (2019). Corporate governance mechanisms and firm performance: A study of Indian companies. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, 12(2), 110–127.

[4]. Dey, S. K., & Sharma, D. (2021). Impact of corporate governance on financial returns of Indian listed companies. *SEISENSE Journal of Management*, 4(4), 88–99.

[5]. Ghosh, S., & Sirmans, C. F. (2019). Board composition and corporate performance: Evidence from India. *Asian Journal of Business and Accounting*, 12(2), 23–45.

[6]. Gupta, R., & Bansal, P. (2021). Influence of board independence on firm profitability: Evidence from India. *Journal of Management Research*, 21(3), 210–228.

[7]. Gurusamy, P. (2017). Board characteristics, audit committee and ownership structure influence on firm performance of manufacturing firms in India. *International Journal of Business and Economics Research*.

[8]. Kumar, S., & Rao, P. (2018). Impact of board independence on profitability: A study of NSE listed companies. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, 11(1), 55–70.

[9]. Mishra, R., & Mohanty, P. (2020). Board independence and firm performance: Evidence from Indian manufacturing firms. *Management and Labour Studies*, 45(3), 201–218.

[10]. Neralla, N. G. (2022). Corporate governance structure and firm performance: Evidence from Indian listed companies. *International Journal of Finance & Economics Research*.

[11]. Reddy, K., & Reddy, P. (2017). Board structure and firm performance in India: Evidence from listed firms. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 11(12), 45–63.

[12]. Sharma, V., & Jain, N. (2020). Board independence and financial performance of Indian banks. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Management Research*, 10(2), 14–28.

[13]. Shetty, S. H., & Vincent, T. N. (2021). The role of board independence and ownership structure in predicting corporate financial distress. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(7), 333.

[14]. Singh, A., & Kaur, R. (2019). Corporate governance and firm performance: An empirical study on Indian listed firms. *Global Business Review*, 20(6), 1345–1363.

[15]. Tripathi, S., & Verma, A. (2020). Board independence and financial performance: An empirical analysis of NSE listed firms. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 27(1), 33–50