Higher Education NEP 2020

Dr. Umeshchandra Yadav, Ms. Karina Rawal,
Mr. Jaydeep Babiniya, Mr. Maroliya Dakshesh Divyesh
Nirmala College of Commerce, Malad-East
Reckon Women's Degree College of Commerce, Nallasopara East
Researcher, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh
Student, Nirmala College of Commerce, Malad-East

Abstract: *A major change in India's higher education setting is coming about by the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, which seeks to make the system inclusive, adaptable, and globally competitive. It emphasizes research improvement, adaptable curricula, technology integration, and holistic and multidisciplinary education. Important structural changes occur under the policy, including the creation of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) to enable credit transfers, the formation of the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI), and the encouragement of multifunctional institutions. The policy also emphasizes the value of research-driven education, equal access, and digital learning. In order to meet business standards, NEP 2020 also aims to take a student-centric approach by promoting critical thinking, experiential learning, and skill-based education. The overall quality of education is intended to be improved through the integration of vocational education, greater autonomy for institutions, and a renewed focus on teacher preparation. It is anticipated that the launch of a four-year collegiate courses with several exit alternatives and an emphasis on inclusivity will improve India's academic position internationally. NEP 2020's progressive vision nevertheless, obstacles to its implementation include a lack of financing, shortcomings in digital infrastructure, and stakeholder reluctance to change. Policymakers, educators, and business executives must work together to address these issues. This essay examines these reforms, their effects on institutions and students, and the difficulties of putting them into practice smoothly (GOI, 2020). NEP 2020's progressive vision nevertheless, obstacles to its implementation include a lack of financing, inadequacies in digital infrastructure, and stakeholder reluctance to change. Policymakers, educators, and business executives have to collaborate together to address these issues. Continued government backing, more funding for research, and creative teaching strategies are necessary for the policy to be implemented effectively (Gupta & Rao, 2023). This essay examines these reforms, their effects on institutions and students, and the difficulties in putting them into practice satisfactorily. In order to encourage superior research and innovation, NEP 2020 also supports the establishment of a National Science Foundation (NRF). In order to improve academic performance, the policy promotes partnerships between Indian institutions and international universities and seeks to raise the gross enrollment ratio (GER) in higher study to 50% by 2035. It is anticipated that the focus on digital infrastructure—such as the utilization of open educational resources and online learning platforms—will increase access to education, particularly in rural and rural areas (Verma, 2023). The phase-out of the traditional association system and the shift to independent degree-granting institutions is another noteworthy innovation. This action aims to improve governance, promote innovation, and support academic freedom. In addition, the policy gives students more options for entering and leaving higher education, giving them more freedom to choose how they want to pursue their academic goals. Further, it is anticipated that a focus on industry partnerships, lifelong learning, and vocational training will improve employability and close the skills gap across a range of industries (Rao & Menon, 2024).*

Keywords: Policy focus, Challenges, Opportunities, Teacher's education

I. INTRODUCTION

A wide range of institutions and degree programs are included in higher education, all of which are intended to accommodate different academic interests and professional goals. The following major institution types and degree offers defined the higher education scene in 2020.Higher Education Institution Types. Universities All-inclusive establishments that provide graduate and undergraduate courses in a variety of subjects. They frequently offer professional degrees and carry out important research projects. Liberal Arts Colleges: These institutions prioritize a comprehensive curriculum in the arts, social sciences, and natural sciences and are primarily concerned with undergraduate education. Community Colleges Two-year schools that offer associate degrees and certifications; they frequently act as stepping stones to four-year universities or as training grounds for vocations. For-Profit Colleges privately held schools run by companies looking to make money, providing. Specialized Institutions: Educational institutions that offer focused instruction and training in particular sectors, such as engineering, business, the arts, or health professions. After secondary school, higher education is an optional phase of formal education in the US. Other names for it include tertiary education, third-stage, third-level, and post-secondary education. According to the International ISCED 2011 scale, it covers stages 5 through 8. Known as universities or colleges, 3,931 Title IV colleges and universities offer it.[1] These could be for-profit colleges, liberal arts colleges, public or private universities, scientific institutions, or community colleges. The government and a number of outside groups have limited authority over higher education in the United States.[2] Higher education in the United States, November 18, 2005 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Higher_education_in_the_United_States?utm_source=. The Government of India unveiled the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in July 2020 with the goal of implementing revolutionary changes to the nation's educational system, which includes higher education. The policy places a strong emphasis on integrating vocational education, offering freedom in course selection, and taking a thorough, multidisciplinary approach. A four-year undergraduate program with several exit alternatives launches, enabling students to obtain degrees, certificates, or diplomas depending on how long they study. In order to promote a robust research culture throughout higher education institutions, the NEP additionally shows creating a National Research Foundation. By expanding its openness and bringing it into line with international standards, these reforms aim to raise the caliber of higher education in India.from the Kasturirangan, K. (2020). Impact of New Education Policy 2020 on Higher Education. Retrieved from ResearchGate. With the learner at the center, the National Learning Policy (NEP) 2020 seeks to revolutionize education. The NEP expands upon the recommendations made by the Justice J. S. Verma Commission (2012) and the Education Commission (1964–1966), as well as the National Policy on Education 1986, amended in 1992 (NPE 1986/92), the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act of 2009, and the Rights of Persons persons with disabilities (RPWD) Act of 2016. A significant step in the correct direction is the NEP 2020, which emphasizes students' overall development by guaranteeing access, relevance, equity, quality, and solid foundational learning given by Kumar, S. (2021). *National Education Policy: How does it Affect Higher Education in India*. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis Online. The goal of the policy is to create a more flexible and seamless learning environment by dismantling the strict silos that have historically defined Indian higher education. The evolving nature of work, which necessitates a workforce with a variety of skills and the capacity to adjust to advances in technology, further highlights the need for reform. In order to close the gap between academic learning and practical applications, NEP 2020 places a strong emphasis on broad education and research. A multifaceted strategy is required for the research methodology used to examine the NEP 2020, which includes document analysis, stakeholder interviews, and comparative studies to gauge its possible impact. The historical context shows that prior reforms have failed because of implementation flaws and a lack of persistent political will, underscoring the significance of strong execution plans for the NEP 2020. The difficulty is in converting the policy's aspirational objectives into concrete results, making sure that the advantages of higher education are felt by all facets of society, and cultivating an excellence-driven culture that would help India grow into a global knowledge giant. The success of NEP 2020 depends on resolving these systemic issues because the history of Indian higher education shows a recurrent pattern of reform initiatives followed by challenges in implementation by Gupta, D. (2021). The Role of Digital Learning in Higher Education under NEP 2020. Journal of Educational Research. Since teachers are the foundation of every educational institution, NEP 2020 also highlights the significance of faculty development. The policy suggests ways to improve faculty members' professional growth by giving them chances to

advance their knowledge and abilities. The NEP 2020 curriculum modifications seek to encourage critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving abilities while eschewing memorization. The policy encourages students to actively participate in the learning process and supports a more immersive and inquiry-based approach to education by Tilak, J. B. G. (2018). Higher Education in India: In Search of Equality, Quality and Quantity. Orient Black Swan In order to promote a sense of cultural pride and national identity, the NEP 2020 also highlights the significance of incorporating Indian knowledge systems within the curriculum. The policy's implementation tactics place a strong emphasis on the vital responsibilities that state and federal governments, institutional autonomy, and accreditation procedures play. By giving schools and universities the freedom to create their own courses and oversee their own finances, the program suggests a more decentralized form of government. Another important component of NEP 2020 is the creation of a National Higher Education regulating Council (NHERC), which aims to establish a single, comprehensive regulating authority for higher education. The policy also highlights the significance of budgetary allotments and funds, acknowledging that sufficient financial resources are necessary for the NEP 2020 to be implemented successfully. The higher education ecosystem's many stakeholders stand to be significantly impacted by NEP 2020. Colleges and universities will have to embrace multidisciplinary education, adjust to the new regulatory framework, and use technology to improve instruction. In addition to adopting new educational strategies and doing innovative thinking and research, faculty members will need to improve their abilities. A more adaptable and comprehensive learning environment that offers more chances for interdisciplinary studies and hands-on learning would be valuable to students. By fostering industry-academia connections and providing students with 21st-century skills, the initiative also seeks to improve students' employment chances. One important factor to take into account is how the NEP 2020 would affect individual vs governmental entities. By guaranteeing that public and private institutions follow comparable quality standards and legal frameworks, the strategy aims to level the playing field. The possibility of greater commercial and privatization of higher learning, however, continues to raise concerns. Many of the characteristics of NEP 2020 are in line with current international standards, according to a comparison with global education frameworks. The significance of technological equality, research-intensive universities, and multidisciplinary education is emphasized by case studies from the USA, UK, Germany, and Japan.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a turning point in the development of India's higher education system by aiming to create a system that is not only flexible and inclusive but also competitive on a global scale. This ambitious concept, which seeks to break down the inflexible silos that have traditionally defined Indian education, is distinguished by its comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach. The focus on research enhancement, which promotes an innovative and inquisitive culture in higher education institutions, is a key component of NEP 2020. Significant structural reforms are introduced in the policy to support this transformation, such as the creation of the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI) to consolidate regulatory functions, the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) that simplifies credit transfers, and the encouragement of diverse institutions.These modifications are intended to improve academic accessibility and flexibility, allowing students to customize their educational paths to fit their unique requirements and professional goals. Additionally, the policy's emphasis on technology integration to digital learning seeks to close the digital divide and democratize access to high-quality education, especially in underprivileged areas. However, NEP 2020's progressive goal requires a concentrated effort to address implementation issues, including as financial limitations, inadequate infrastructure, and stakeholder resistance. (GOI, 2020; Verma, 2023; Gupta & Rao, 2023) In order to satisfy the changing needs of the global workforce, NEP 2020 places a strong emphasis on critical thinking, experiential learning, and skill-based education. The policy's dedication to flexibility and individualized learning is demonstrated by the addition of a four-year undergraduate program with several departure possibilities. NEP 2020 gives students the freedom to pursue their academic objectives at their own speed by enabling them to graduate with degrees, certificates, or diplomas depending on how long they studied. In addition to increasing student autonomy, this strategy supports global best practices in higher education. Additionally, the emphasis on industry partnerships and the incorporation of vocational education are intended to close the knowledge gap between academia and real-world application, improving employability and tackling the skills shortage in a number of industries. The policy's goal to

increase the college and university gross enrollment ratio (GER) to fifty per cent by 2035 displays its dedication to increasing access and guaranteeing fair opportunities for everyone. As envisaged under NEP 2020, India's higher education system is changing dramatically, shifting from the conventional association system to independent universities that award degrees. This change seeks to encourage academic independence, strengthen the autonomy of institutions, and cultivate an innovative culture. The strategy supports a wide range of institutions, such as liberal arts colleges, specialized institutions, and heterogeneous universities, in recognition of the varied needs of students and the changing demands of the job market. By accommodating a broad range of scholarly interests and career aspirations, this diversification aims to guarantee that pupils gain access to high-quality education that supports their goals. It is anticipated that the focus on online learning platforms and open educational resources (OERs) would democratize access to education, especially in rural and isolated places, hence resolving regional inequities in educational possibilities. Furthermore, the policy's acknowledgment of the necessity of ongoing skill development in a world that is changing quickly is shown in its support of vocational training and lifelong learning. In addition to enhancing governance and accountability, the phase-out of the standard association structure will make sure that institutions are prepared to provide top-notch research and instruction. (Wikipedia, United States Higher Education; Kumar, 2021). Dealing with Implementation Issues and the Function of Stakeholder Cooperation 2020 has a progressive vision, but it confronts many obstacles in its implementation, such as a lack of financing, inadequate infrastructure, and opposition from stakeholders. The ability of legislators, educators, and business executives to work together productively and address these systemic problems is essential to the policy's successful implementation. It is impossible to overestimate the importance of continued government assistance and more financing for infrastructure development and research. Furthermore, a strong and dependable digital infrastructure must be established for the integration of digital technologies, which is still difficult to achieve in many regions of India. A comprehensive approach that includes awareness campaigns, capacity training, and incentives for institutions to embrace the new policy environment is required to overcome community pushback. The establishment of a National Higher Education Regulatory Council (NHERC) and the policy's emphasis on faculty development are essential measures to guarantee that institutions are prepared to carry out the reforms successfully. Faculty members must engage in ongoing professional development as a result of the fundamental changes in teaching methodology and assessment practices required by the shift to a more flexible and diverse educational system. (Tilak, the, 2018; Gupta and 2021). The Impact on Faculty, Students, and the Broader Educational Ecosystem NEP 2020 is poised to have a profound impact on all stakeholders in the higher education ecosystem. Faculty members will need to embrace new pedagogical approaches, engage in continuous professional development, and adapt to the evolving demands of multidisciplinary education. Students will benefit from a more flexible and personalized learning experience, with greater opportunities for interdisciplinary studies, experiential learning, and skill development. The policy's focus on industry partnerships and vocational training aims to enhance employability and prepare students for the demands of the 21st-century workforce. Institutions will have to embrace digital technologies, adjust to a changing regulatory environment, and promote an innovative and research-oriented culture. It is anticipated that the establishment of a National Higher Education Regulatory Commission (NHERC) will guarantee quality control throughout schools and expedite regulatory procedures. The policy's focus on decentralization and institutional autonomy is to enable institutions to create and implement programs that are tailored to the unique requirements of their communities and students. The ability of all parties involved to cooperate and accept the policy's transformative goal will eventually determine NEP 2020's success. (Kumar, 2021; GOI, 2020). The Value of Promoting Cultural Pride and Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems The focus on incorporating Indian knowledge systems into the curriculum to promote cultural pride and national identity is one of NEP 2020's unique features. This method aims to integrate traditional knowledge and customs into contemporary schooling while acknowledging India's rich intellectual legacy. The goal of the policy is to give students a more inclusive and culturally appropriate educational experience by recognizing and maintaining traditional knowledge systems. A more thorough comprehension of India's history, philosophy, and scientific traditions is also encouraged by the integration of Indian knowledge systems, which helps to create citizens who are well-rounded and sensitive to cultural differences. The policy's emphasis on supporting multilingualism and regional languages further demonstrates its dedication to inclusivity and cultural diversity. NEP 2020 seeks to increase learning accessibility and engagement for students from a

variety of linguistic origins by promoting the use of local languages in the classroom. This method not only improves academic performance but also cultivates cultural identity and a sense of belonging. (Tilak, 2018; Kasturirangan, 2020). Quality Assurance, Global Benchmarking, and the Future

The goals of NEP 2020, which emphasize the value of research-intensive universities, multidisciplinary education, and technology integration, are in line with current international norms in higher education. Comparing oneself to international best practices—like those in the USA, UK, Germany, and Japan—offers important insights into the tactics and methods that have worked well in other nations. In order to guarantee that Indian higher education satisfies worldwide standards of excellence, the policy places a strong emphasis on quality assurance and accreditation. The National Higher Education Regulatory Council (NHERC) was established with the goal of establishing a cohesive regulatory framework which promotes accountability and quality. A consistent dedication to financing, infrastructure development, and capacity building will be necessary for NEP 2020 to succeed. To find and fix problems and make sure the changes are producing the desired results, it will be crucial to continuously assess and monitor the policy's implementation. To achieve the revolutionary vision of NEP 2020 and bring India's higher education system to the attention of the world, all stakeholders—policymakers, educators, industry leaders, and students—must work together. (Gupta & Rao, 2023; Rao & Menon, 2024). NEP 2020's emphasis on employability and economic imperatives NEP 2020 emphasizes the importance of giving students skills that are applicable to the contemporary labor market, acknowledging the crucial connection between economic development and higher education. The goal of the policy is to improve employability and solve the widening skills gap by emphasizing industrial connections and vocational education. NEP 2020 intends to establish a more demand-driven educational system that is in line with the demands of the economy by encouraging partnerships between academic institutions and businesses. The policy's focus on innovation and entrepreneurship also aims to develop a generation of job creators rather than merely job seekers. Another essential component of the policy's employability focus is the inclusion of technology and digital literacy in the curriculum, which acknowledges the growing significance of these abilities in the digital age. Furthermore, the policy's encouragement of lifelong learning and ongoing professional development emphasizes how important it is for people to adjust to the quickly evolving nature of the workplace. The objective is to create a workforce that is robust, adaptive, and talented enough to prosper in a fast-paced, cutthroat global market. (Bhatnagar & Sharma, 2023; Kapur, 2021; Mehrotra, 2020; Sen, 2022; Agarwal, 2022). Accessibility, Inclusion, and Equity in the Higher Education Framework of NEP 2020

NEP 2020's dedication to accessibility, inclusivity, and equity within higher education is one of its main principles. The policy recognizes the varied requirements of students, including those from economically disadvantaged households, remote locations, and marginalized populations. To guarantee that every student has an equal chance to succeed, NEP 2020 will support the use of regional languages, offer financial aid and scholarships, and create accessible learning settings. The policy's emphasis on open educational resources (OERs) and digital learning is also meant to increase access to high-quality education and close the digital divide. Additional instances of the policy's dedication to inclusivity include the creation of special education zones and the offering of assistance to children with impairments. Furthermore, the policy aims to rectify historical inequalities in educational possibilities by emphasizing gender equality and women's empowerment. A more inclusive and fair higher education system that represents the variety of Indian society is the goal. (Desai & Dubey, 2022; Jha, 2023; Kumar & Singh, 2020; Reddy, 2021; Chakraborty, 2021). The Function of the Digital Revolution and Tech in NEP 2020 NEP 2020 promotes the use of digital tools and platforms to improve teaching, learning, and research because it acknowledges the revolutionary potential of technology in higher education. To achieve this goal, the policy's focus on digital literacy and the growth of digital infrastructure is essential. In order to provide access to high-quality education and provide a more flexible and individualized learning environment, online learning, virtual classrooms, and digital archives of educational content are being promoted. In order to enhance educational outcomes and customize learning pathways, the application of artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics is also advocated. To facilitate the sharing of best practices and ideas in educational technology, a National Educational Technology Forum (NETF) was established. Furthermore, the policy's emphasis on data privacy and cybersecurity emphasizes how important it is to guarantee the appropriate and safe use of technology in the classroom. In order to prepare students for the digital age, the objective is to use technology to create

a more effective, dynamic, and interesting learning environment. (Basu, 2022; Iyer, 2021; Mathur, 2022; Sharma & Verma, 2020; Ghosh & Roy, 2023). The NEP 2020 Framework's Institutional Autonomy, Governance, and Regulation With the goal of establishing a more streamlined and effective system, NEP 2020 suggests substantial changes to the governance and management of higher education. The Higher Education Commission of India (HECI) was established with the goal of promoting a more comprehensive and integrated approach to higher education governance and combining regulatory tasks. The policy also highlights the value of institutional autonomy, which enables colleges and universities to create and implement curricula that are tailored to the unique requirements of their communities and students. The National Higher Education Qualifications Framework (NHEQF) was introduced with the intention of standardizing credentials and guaranteeing quality control throughout educational institutions. Furthermore, the policy's emphasis on accountability and openness aims to improve the efficacy and efficiency of higher education governance. The policy's dedication to good governance is further demonstrated by the development of a strong accreditation system and the encouragement of data-driven decision-making. The objective is to provide a regulatory framework that guarantees accountability, encourages innovation, and advances quality. (Das, 2023; Singh & Kumar, 2022; Tiwari, 2020; Krishnan, 2022; Patel, 2021).

III. RESEARCH – METHOD

Framework and Goals of the Research This study aims to critically analyze how Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in India are implementing the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. The study intends to evaluate the structural and pedagogical modifications implemented as well as the effects of the policy on different stakeholders, such as administrators, professors, and students. In order to inform future policy improvements and implementation methods, the main goal is to present a thorough knowledge of the potential and problems related to the implementation of NEP 2020. The study will examine how well the objectives of NEP 2020—inclusion, adaptation, and global competitiveness—are being achieved in actual-life situations. To ensure a comprehensive and nuanced study, a mixed-methods approach is used to capture both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the policy's impact. This strategy is in line with the intricacy of educational reform, which calls for a comprehensive comprehension to precisely assess its efficacy (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017; Greene, 2007). Primary Data Gathering: Questionnaires and Surveys Structured questionnaires are given to a stratified random sample of administrators, teachers, and students from various HEIs in India in order to collect primary data. The purpose of these surveys is to gather quantitative information on a range of NEP 2020 implementation topics, including as institutional governance, pedagogical innovations, curriculum modifications, and technology integration. To get a thorough grasp of respondents' opinions and experiences, the questionnaires combine multiple-choice questions, open-ended answers, and Likert scale items. To guarantee validity and reliability, the survey instruments undergo extensive pilot testing. Incorporating input allows the questions to be improved and made clearer. To increase participation and guarantee representation from various demographic groups, surveys are distributed both physically and online. By showcasing trends and patterns among various HEIs, the survey data is meant to offer a comprehensive statistical assessment of the policy's impact (Bryman, 2016; Fowler, 2013). Gathering primary data through semi-structured interviews To obtain a deeper understanding of the policy's execution, semi-structured interviews are undertaken with policymakers, educational specialists, and institutional heads in addition to surveys. The purpose of these interviews is to examine the subtleties of policy adoption, institutional difficulties, and the methods used to address them. A series of open-ended questions in the interview process enable participants to give thorough and contextualized answers. The flexibility with which the interviews are done allows the researcher to delve deeper and examine new issues. The information gathered from these interviews offers important qualitative insights into the effects of the legislation, presenting viewpoints that are not represented in quantitative surveys. In order to give the analysis more depth and richness, these interviews seek to understand the "why" and "how" behind the trends and patterns that have been noticed (Seidman, 2013; Yin, 2018). The main method of gathering data is through focus group discussions, or FGDs.In a cooperative context, instructors and students participate in focus group discussions (FGDs) to learn about their perspectives and experiences. The purpose of these conversations is to encourage communication and interaction amongst participants, offering a forum for examining various viewpoints and producing rich qualitative data. Experienced researchers who facilitate the debate and make sure everyone has a chance to contribute moderate the

focus group discussions. The conversations are organized around major NEP 2020 themes, including as curricular modifications, creative approaches to instruction, and the effect on student learning. The information gathered from FGDs provide insightful information about the real-life experiences of instructors and students, emphasizing both the potential and difficulties related to putting the policy into practice. By giving participants a platform to voice their opinions and share their experiences, these conversations help everyone comprehend the effects of the policy (Krueger & Casey, 2014; Morgan, 1997). Secondary Data Gathering: Academic Literature and Government Reports Secondary data is gathered from a number of sources, such as academic journals, institutional case studies, government reports (such as MHRD, UGC, and AICTE documents), and reports from international organizations (such as the World Bank and UNESCO). These resources offer important historical context and context, allowing for a thorough examination of the execution of NEP 2020. The goals, methods of execution, and progress indicators of the strategy are all explained in government reports. The influence of the policy is critically analyzed in scholarly journals and pieces that emphasize important issues and arguments. International agency reports offer worldwide benchmarks and comparative viewpoints, while institutional case studies provide examples of best practices and lessons gained. A methodical approach is taken when analyzing secondary data, guaranteeing that all pertinent sources are found and examined. In addition to the primary data, the secondary data offers a more comprehensive picture of the policy's effects and ramifications for Indian higher education (Bowen, 2009; Flick, 2018). Sample Size and Sampling Method In order to guarantee varied representation from various HEIs around India, a stratified random selection technique is used. Using this method, a random sample is chosen from each stratum after the population is divided into strata according to pertinent attributes (such as location or institution type). To ensure that the study covers the diversity of the Indian higher education scene, the sample consists of central universities, state universities, private institutions, and autonomous colleges. With 200 students, 100 faculty members, and 50 administrators, the sample size offers a statistically significant representation of the intended audience. Statistical power analysis is used to calculate the sample size, guaranteeing that the study has enough power to identify significant differences and correlations. In order to reduce bias and guarantee that the results may be applied to the larger group of HEIs in India, the sampling approach was created (Cochran, 1977; Kish, 1965). Analysis of Quantitative Data: Statistical Methods and Instruments Statistical software like SPSS and Microsoft Excel are used to examine quantitative data obtained from surveys. The data is summarized and described using descriptive statistics (mean, median, standard deviation), which give a general picture of the opinions and experiences of the respondents. Regression analysis and chi-square tests are examples of inferential statistics that are used to evaluate trends and correlations between variables in order to provide insights into the influence of the policy. Regression analysis is used to look at the link between continuous variables, and chi-square tests are used to look at relationships between categorical variables. Strict procedures are followed in the statistical analysis to guarantee the validity and reliability of the results. A statistical summary of the policy's effects is given by the quantitative data, which also highlights trends and patterns among various HEIs. Researchers can determine areas for development and measure the degree to which NEP 2020's objectives are being met thanks to this approach (Field, 2018; Pallant, 2016).

IV. CONCLUSION

India's higher education system is undergoing a paradigm transition with the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, which establishes the groundwork for a more technologically advanced, inclusive, and multidisciplinary system. The strategy aims to make Indian higher education a globally competitive and locally relevant framework that meets the demands of the twenty-first century, with an emphasis on quality, equity, and access. The impact, difficulties, and prospects for higher education under NEP 2020 are thoroughly examined in this conclusion. Multidisciplinary and Holistic Education NEP 2020's emphasis on a comprehensive and diverse approach to higher education is among its most important features. The policy pushes academic institutions to abandon strict learning silos and provide flexible curricula that combine vocational courses, the arts, and the sciences. The goal of this method is to create well-rounded people who can think critically and creatively. An Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and other entry and exit options have been added to give students more flexibility in their educational paths. With the goal of creating a more technologically advanced, multidisciplinary, and inclusive system that meets the demands of the twenty-first century, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 offers a revolutionary vision for higher education in India. Promoting

holistic and multidisciplinary education is a fundamental component of NEP 2020, which encourages educational institutions to dismantle conventional academic silos and provide flexible curricula that integrate arts, sciences, and vocational studies. This is made possible by an Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and a variety of entry and exit options (Ministry of Education, 2020). The expansion of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in underserved regions and the strategic use of online learning and open educational resources to bridge the digital divide are two ways the policy prioritizes increased access for people of color, including women and socio-economically disadvantaged groups (SEDGs), in order to address inequities. A strong accrediting system and the creation of the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI), which seeks to improve institutional autonomy and expedite governance, support quality assurance (Ministry of Education, 2020). NEP 2020 suggests the establishment of the National Research Foundation (NRF) in recognition of the value of research and innovation in order to promote an environment of inquiry and cooperation between business and academics. Initiatives for faculty training, professional development, and international cooperation highlight faculty growth and internationalization as well (Ministry of Education, 2020). However, there are obstacles to the policy's execution, such as the requirement for major pedagogical and infrastructure adjustments, guaranteeing digital accessibility, and attaining financial sustainability. A multi-stakeholder approach, ongoing investments in infrastructure and support services, and policy flexibility to adjust to changing requirements are all necessary for NEP 2020 to reach its full potential. NEP 2020 has the potential to transform Indian higher education and make it more dynamic, inclusive, and globally competitive if it is executed well.

V. FINDING

Pros:

A revolutionary vision for India's higher education system, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 seeks to solve long-standing issues and give students the information and abilities they need to succeed in the twenty-first century. The focus on multidisciplinary and holistic education, as opposed to strict disciplinary silos, is a fundamental component of this policy. In order to promote creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills, this strategy pushes educational institutions to provide adaptable curricula that smoothly blend the arts, humanities, sciences, and vocational topics. Breaking free from predetermined streams, students are empowered to select courses according to their interests and career goals, allowing them to customize their education to meet their own needs. The addition of several entry and exit points to undergraduate programs, which enable students to get degrees, certificates, or diplomas depending on the length of their studies, further increases this flexibility. In addition to lowering dropout rates, this meets the needs of a wide range of learners and offers opportunities for skill development and lifetime learning. Understanding the importance of vocational education in improving employability, NEP 2020 incorporates it into regular education from a young age, giving pupils real-world skills applicable to a range of industries. In order to improve learning outcomes and increase accessibility, the policy also supports the use of technology in education by promoting the creation of digital material, online teaching platforms, and digital infrastructure. Furthermore, NEP 2020 emphasizes the value of professional development and teacher training, suggesting frequent workshops, training courses, and rewards to guarantee that teachers are prepared to provide high-quality instruction. For the policy's lofty objectives to be successfully implemented, this emphasis on teacher empowerment is essential. A solid basis for all subsequent learning is also provided by the policy's emphasis on fundamental literacy and numeracy, which aims to have all children proficient in these subjects by Grade 3. This point is crucial since any subsequent learning will be hampered by a poor basic education. [Chakraborty, P. (2021)] National Education Policy 2020, Ministry of Education, Government of India, 2020. A Critical Analysis of the 2020 National Education Policy. 35(2), 123-140, Journal of Educational Planning and Administration.]. [P. Agarwal, 2020]. India's higher education system: What lies ahead? 42(6), 617-632, Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management. [J. B. G. Tilak, 2021]. A critical analysis of National Education Policy 2020. Weekly Economic & Political, 56(3), 10–14. [N. Kumar, 2022]. Opportunities and Challenges in the Implementation of National Education Policy 2020. 36(3), 387–402; International Journal of Educational Management.

Cons:

Despite being praised for its progressive outlook, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has a number of serious obstacles and possible negative effects when applied to higher education. The magnitude and complexity of the planned reforms are among the most pressing issues. Significant financial and physical investments are required to support the policy's ambitious objectives, which include the integration of vocational education, the provision of various entry and exit choices, and the shift to a comprehensive and multidisciplinary educational system. It will be extremely difficult to implement these changes consistently throughout India's various regions, especially in rural and impoverished areas. The policy's effective implementation may be hampered by the current differences in infrastructure, resources, and teacher availability, which could exacerbate already-existing imbalances. Despite being in line with global norms, the switch to a four-year undergraduate degree raises questions over the added financial strain on students and their families. Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds may be deterred from seeking higher education by this prolonged duration. Additionally, although effective for basic learning, the policy's emphasis on teaching in the mother tongue or regional languages up until Grade 5 may lead to inequities when students move on to higher education, where English continues to be the primary medium of instruction.

REFERENCES

[1]. Agarwal, P. (2009). Indian Higher Education: Envisioning the Future. SAGE Publications. Agarwal, P. (2020). Higher education in India: The challenges ahead. Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 42(6), 617-632.

[2]. Agarwal, P. (2021). NEP 2020 and Higher Education in India: Opportunities and Challenges. Higher Education Review, 5(3), 45-67.

[3]. Agarwal, P. (2022). Skill Development and Employability in NEP 2020. Journal of Vocational Education.

[4]. Altbach, P. G. (2014). The International Imperative in Higher Education. Springer.

[5]. Azim Premji University. (2020). NEP 2020: Analysis and Perspectives. Azim Premji University.

[6]. Basu, S. (2022). Digital Transformation in Higher Education: NEP 2020 Perspective. Educational Technology Review.

[7]. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). Principles of biomedical ethics (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.

[8]. Bhatnagar, A., & Sharma, R. (2023). Industry-Academia Linkages in NEP 2020. Journal of Business Education.

[9]. Bouchard, P. (2022). The Impact of NEP 2020 on Research and Innovation in India. International Review of Education.

[10]. Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-44.

[11]. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.

[12]. Bryman, A. (2016). Social research methods (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

[13]. Chakraborty, D. (2021). Equity and Inclusion in Higher Education: NEP 2020 Analysis. Social Inclusion Studies.

[14]. Chakraborty, P. (2021). National Education Policy 2020: A Critical Analysis. Journal of Educational Planning and Administration, 35(2), 123-140.

[15]. Chaudhary, N. (2022). Faculty Development in the Context of NEP 2020. Journal of Teacher Education.

[16]. Cochran, W. G. (1977). Sampling techniques (3rd ed.). Wiley.

[17]. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). Sage publications.

[18]. Das, R. (2023). Governance Reforms in Higher Education: NEP 2020. Public Administration Review.

[19]. Desai, S., & Dubey, A. (2022). Accessibility and Inclusion in NEP 2020. Inclusive Education Journal.

[20]. Field, A. (2018). Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics (5th ed.). Sage publications.

[21]. Flick, U. (2018). An introduction to qualitative research (6th ed.). Sage publications.

[22]. Fowler, F. J. (2013). Survey research methods (5th ed.). Sage publications.

[23]. Ghosh, P., & Roy, T. (2023). AI and Data Analytics in Higher Education: NEP 2020. Data Science in Education.

[24]. GOI. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Human Resource Development.

[25]. Government of India (GOI). (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Human Resource Development. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/ nep2020

[26]. Greene, J. C. (2007). Mixed methods in social inquiry. Jossey-Bass.

[27]. Gupta, A. (2020). Regulatory reforms in higher education: An analysis of NEP 2020. Higher Education for the Future, 7(2), 187-202.

[28]. Gupta, A., & Rao, M. (2023). "Challenges and Opportunities in NEP 2020 Implementation." Higher Education Review, 15(1), 76-90.

[29]. Gupta, D. (2021). The Role of Digital Learning in Higher Education under NEP 2020. Journal of Educational Research.

[30]. Gupta, M., & Singh, A. (2023). Pedagogical Innovations in NEP 2020. Journal of Educational Innovations.

[31]. Higher Education Commission of India, Ministry of Education, Government of India. (n.d.). Higher Education Commissions of India. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/heci

[32]. Higher education in the United States, November 18, 2005- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Higher_education_in_the_United_States?utm_source=

[33]. Israel, M., & Hay, I. (2006). Research ethics for social scientists: Between ethical conduct and regulatory compliance. Sage publications.

[34]. Iyer, K. (2021). Digital Infrastructure and NEP 2020. Information Technology in Education.

[35]. Jayaram, N. (2015). Quality and Excellence in Higher Education in India: Issues and Challenges. Journal of Educational Policy.

[36]. Jha, S. (2023). Marginalized Communities and Higher Education in NEP 2020. Community Education Review.

[37]. Joshi, M. (2022). The role of technology in implementing National Education Policy 2020. Journal of Educational Technology, 19(1), 78-93.

[38]. Joshi, V. (2021). Research Culture and Faculty Development. Higher Education Research Journal.

[39]. Kapur, D. (2021). Economic Imperatives and Higher Education Reforms. Economic and Political Weekly.

[40]. Kasturirangan, K. (2020). Impact of New Education Policy 2020 on Higher Education. ResearchGate.

[41]. Kingdon, G. G. (2020). The private-public school debate in India. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 36(4), 716-745.

[42]. Kish, L. (1965). Survey sampling. Wiley.

[43]. Krishnan, R. (2022). Institutional Autonomy and NEP 2020. Journal of Higher Education Governance.

[44]. Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2014). Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research (5th ed.). Sage publications.

[45]. Kumar, K. (2020). The Privatization of Higher Education in India: Issues and Concerns. Economic & Political Weekly.

[46]. Kumar, N. (2022). Implementation of National Education Policy 2020: Challenges and Opportunities. International Journal of Educational Management, 36(3), 387-402.

[47]. Kumar, P., & Singh, N. (2020). Gender Equality in Higher Education: NEP 2020. Gender and Education Studies.

[48]. Kumar, R. & Sharma, M. (2022). Digital Learning and the Future of Higher Education in India Post-NEP 2020. Journal of Educational Research, 8(2), 120-135.

[49]. Kumar, R. (2021). "Higher Education Transformation under NEP 2020." Journal of Indian Education Policy, 34(2), 45-58.

[50]. Kumar, S. (2021). National Education Policy: How does it Affect Higher Education in India. Retrieved from Taylor & Francis Online.

[51]. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/nep-2020

[52]. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

[53]. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2021). National Education Policy 2020 Implementation Plan. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Implementation_Plan_2021.pdf

[54]. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (n.d.). National Research Foundation. Retrieved