

Talking Tech: Practitioner Insights into Effective Team and Client Communication in IT Firms

Dr. Monika Jain¹, Mr. Abhay Jain², Ms. Archana Pandey³

Assistant Professor, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies and Research, Nagpur¹

Director, Sunray Consulting²

Vice President Operations, SmartData Enterprises(l) Ltd.³

monika_jain@daimsr.edu.in, anhayjn@gmail.com, archana_tewari@hotmail.com

Abstract: *A keystone of success in the information technology (IT) sector is Effective communication, where self-motivated teamwork and client collaboration are vivacious. IT organizations regularly encounter issues such as vagueness, misinterpretation, and feedback gaps that disrupt project timelines and client satisfaction regardless of the availability of numerous communication tools, this study explores the practical communication strategies adopted by IT professionals through a qualitative analysis of insights obtained from interviews with CEOs and managers of IT companies. The research identifies some practical challenges to team and client communication and presents practical solutions for these. The findings are expanded with the shared experience and practices of leaders in the industry underlining the importance of cultural awareness, clarity, empathy, and structured feedback loops. He is bridging the gap between academic theory and industry practice, this study proposes a unique framework for communication approaches, specifically for the IT realm; providing new insights about improving organisational performance.*

Keywords: Workplace Communication, Communication Challenges in IT, Communication Barriers, Team Communication, Client Communication, IT projects

I. INTRODUCTION

Effective communication is not just a soft talent in today's highly dynamic and globally connected IT sector; it is a key competency that has a big impact on organisational success. Communication is the glue that holds together technical procedures, stakeholder relationships, and team dynamics in IT projects, which frequently involve geographically scattered teams, intricate workflows, and high client expectations (Maznevski & Chudoba, 2000; Pauleen & Yoong, 2001).

Teamwork, client updates, feedback loops, reporting mechanisms, and dispute resolution are just a few of the many interactions that fall under the broad category of exchanges in IT environments. Any of these levels of miscommunication can result in misunderstandings, hold-ups, overspending, and damaged relationships. In more than one-third of situations, poor communication is the main cause of project failure, according to the Project Management Institute (PMI, 2013). Conversely, it has been discovered that prompt, clear, and open communication increases client satisfaction, decreases rework, and improves decision-making (Kerzner, 2017).

The communication difficulties that are specific to IT teams have been highlighted in a number of studies. These include hierarchical restrictions that hinder free communication, emotionally charged project pressures, cross-cultural obstacles in international teams, and technical jargon that may not be understood by everyone (Kanki et al., 2019; Gudykunst, 2005). Interpersonal clarity is even more important now that digital transformation and remote work have added layers of complexity to communication patterns (Leonardi et al., 2013).

Even though its significance is acknowledged, formal communication strategies are still lacking in many organisations. Few studies provide insights based on the real-world experiences of IT leaders, despite the fact that there is a wealth of literature on communication methods. In small and mid-sized IT companies, where communication techniques are



frequently influenced more by short-term demands than by long-term strategy, this disparity is particularly noticeable (McChesney et al., 2018).

By investigating the communication experiences and tactics used by CEOs and managers of IT organisations, this study seeks to address that gap. The study employs a qualitative methodology, utilising semi-structured interviews and secondary data analysis to pinpoint common obstacles, effective strategies, and opportunities for enhancement in internal team communication and client engagement. The objective is to offer a workable framework that businesses may use to improve communication efficiency and, consequently, project success in general.

By focusing on industry leaders' real-world perspectives, this research contributes a unique voice to the field—one that aligns theoretical understanding with actionable insights. By doing this, IT workers looking to improve their communication tactics in a company environment that is becoming more complicated can benefit practically as well as academically.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In IT project management, good communication is essential since it has a direct effect on client satisfaction, team cooperation, and project success. According to Monteiro de Carvalho (2014), communication is essential to project success since it influences stakeholder participation and decision-making procedures. According to the Project Management Institute (PMI, 2013), poor communication accounts for over one-third of project failures, underscoring the importance of communication in influencing project results.

Distributed teams experience unique communication problems, owing to cultural differences, time zone differences and geographic distance. Bano et al. (2016) note that in dispersed teams real-time collaboration is difficult due to both timing and coordination issues. Khalid et al. (2021) observe that distributed teams are increasingly utilizing asynchronous communication platforms to facilitate distant collaboration, while adding that this type of communication can hinder the timely resolution of problems or decision making.

Cultural differences can also complicate communication between IT teams. Mokline (2017) addresses how cultural differences, both nationally or from their organisations or professional practice can influence communication style and team dynamics. Shachaf (2008) notes these challenges are compounded by language problems which can lead to misunderstandings and conflict. In international IT teams where misunderstandings can have dire consequences, the importance of cultural awareness in communication is notable.

Trust is a fundamental component of effective communication, particularly in virtual teams. Kimble (2014) argues that knowledge alignment, which is critical to teamwork, is inhibited if there is no trust. According to Edmondson (1999), psychological safety is defined as the degree to which members of a team feel comfortable taking risks with one another; for example, sharing ideas or concerns. When potential risks arise, it is important that IT teams develop a sense of psychological safety so that each member feels free and open to engage in conversations and problem solving.

Effective communication with clients ensures that all project specifications are understood and achieved. During the project duration, good communication is crucial because as Hasnat and Philbin (2020) note clients reporting poor communication with project managers likely will experience scope creep and dissatisfaction. Gudykunst (2005) stresses the importance of cultural awareness when developing relationships with clients which may be an issue in international projects where transferring information sharing may be thwarted by different cultural expectations.

IT team collaboration has changed as a result of the use of communication platforms like Slack, Microsoft Teams, and Asana. Stray and Moe (2020) indicate that these technologies facilitate better task management and team coordination by launching both formal and informal communication. However, Leonardi et al. (2013) warn that an over-reliance on digital technology, without suitable management, could lead to communication overload and reduced communication quality.

Standardized frameworks are commonly employed to mitigate miscommunication and help ensure clarity around the communication. Koi-Akrofi et al. (2019) gave an example of how agile approaches that encourage candour and openness within IT teams through frequent stand-ups, retrospectives, and ongoing feedback. This cultivates a culture that values ongoing progress. Implementing these kinds of frameworks contributes to ensuring clear and efficient communication throughout the project's life cycle.



Leadership is fundamental for shaping communication in a team. Nemhard and Edmondson (2006) argued that inclusive leadership behaviours enhance psychological safety by allowing team members to feel free to present ideas and concerns. Leaders who create spaces for open dialogue from team members where criticism is welcomed also lead to greater performance and satisfaction from the team. One other area in a leadership style includes communication. Bass and Avolio (1994) demonstrated that transformational leaders that promote a room for open conversation and motivate a shared vision showed more effective behaviours of communication.

Continuous training in communication skills is necessary to improve team chemistry. Carmeli et al. (2009) state that several teams which participated in training programs that focused on interpersonal communication saw substantial improvements in team dynamics and project outcomes. Interpersonal communication training helps teams learn to work together with colleagues and address hurdles in an intense IT project.

Learning about previous communication failures can present critical insights on how to improve communication. For example, Zimmermann (2011) records that unresolved differences due to faulty communication can fester, harming the morale of the team. Paul et al. (2004) also indicate that uncertainty around role definitions and responsibilities often resulted in project delays. These findings underscore the importance of having preemptive conflict deals and clear communication protocols.

A range of communication models have been suggested in addressing communication in organizational contexts to enhance understanding. While they have played an important role in understanding communication processes, traditional models particularly Berlo's SMCR Model (1960) and Shannon and Weaver's (1949) Mathematical Model of Communication often fail to grapple with the complexity of communication in workplaces today. The Transactional Model of Communication (Barnlund, 1970) is much more suited to information technology (IT) teams and other contexts that are dynamic and involve real-time communication in which feedback and engagement are continual and reciprocal.

The complexities of communication in IT organisations, which include digital technologies, cross-cultural relationships, and hierarchy, are often not fully represented by these models (Clampitt, 2016). Communication in IT organisations is different from other organisations because communication in IT is technical; a remote collaboration medium; and often chaotic in terms of constantly shifting project scopes. IT virtual teams are heavily dependent on digital communication in order to bridge the gap during times when they have difficulty establishing trust and remaining synchronized when not communicating face-to-face (Maznevski & Chudoba, 2000).

Effective communication has a strong reliance on emotional intelligence (EI), which is defined as the ability to identify, understand, and manage emotions. This is particularly true for those in high-stress situations, as often found in necessary IT roles. Positing this, Goleman (1998), cites leaders high in emotional intelligence, leads to improved conflict management, loss of empathy in more communication situations, and improved team trust contains strong emotional intelligence. EI groups resulted in improved communication, when faced with conflict, troops with a higher collective emotional intelligence (Jordan and Troth, 2004) better resolved conflict.

Communication patterns in IT teams are greatly influenced by organisational culture and leadership styles. Participatory leadership, which promotes open communication, improves team performance and job satisfaction, according to Yukl (2013). Schein (2010) contends that employee communication, feedback, and conflict resolution are all influenced by organisational culture. Emerging norms for informal communication are often assumed informally and happen quickly in IT companies, especially startup companies, and if not managed well, can create serious misunderstanding and conflict.

Hofstede's cultural aspects theory (2001) provides information about how organisational and country cultures impact communication, especially in multinational IT companies or multicultural teams. Improved communication and fewer misunderstandings in diverse teams depend on a knowledge of these cultural factors.

The literature reveals that while communication is a well researched topic in IT project management, gaps remain in understanding the complex dynamics of communication within distributed, culturally diverse, and digitally-driven IT teams. The usefulness of communication techniques and tools, the significance of emotional intelligence, and the influence of organisational culture and leadership on communication results must also be investigated. These gaps offer a chance for additional study, especially when considering the practical difficulties faced by IT workers.



Research Gap

Although communication tools, leadership, and emotional intelligence are well-documented, there is still a lack of practical, experience-based models for IT companies. Much extant literature has been rigorously theoretical, while the applied experience of participants doing this work is rarely included, particularly the first-hand experiences of senior professionals (e.g., CEOs, project leads, project managers, team leads, etc) managing these situations daily while executing an agile focused, digitally first IT project. In addition, there is a lack of research on working with theories of communication as they relate to adaptive, situationally appropriate behaviours and informal communication communication. This gap will not only be filled by the combination of academic models with lived experience and interviews with IT leaders, the study will also lead to a hybrid communication model which informs practice based on theory and practice.

Research Questions

- How are communication issues in internal teams and client contacts perceived by IT leaders, including CEOs, managers, and system analysts?
- In both team-based and client-facing situations, what techniques do IT workers employ to deal with and bounce back from communication breakdowns?
- Which procedures, instruments, and forms of communication are thought to be most successful in guaranteeing timeliness, transparency, and clarity in the communication of IT projects?
- What can be done to improve communication in next efforts based on the lessons learnt from previous IT project communication failures?

Research Objectives

- To identify common communication barriers within IT teams and during client interactions, particularly in small to mid-sized IT firms.
- To explore real-world communication challenges and failures faced by IT professionals and the strategies they employ for resolution and recovery.
- To assess the effectiveness of communication tools, practices, and structures in enhancing collaboration and project execution.
- To understand the impact of communication breakdowns on project outcomes, team performance, and client satisfaction.
- To develop a practical, experience-driven framework of communication strategies tailored for use in IT organizations.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to investigate communication issues, tactics, and resources in small to mid-sized IT companies, this study used a qualitative research design. To obtain deep insights into the real-world experiences and practical knowledge of IT professionals—perspectives that are sometimes overlooked in quantitative analysis—a qualitative approach was selected (Creswell, 2014). Twelve people purposefully selected for semi-structured interviews, including CEOs, project managers, and senior team leads from Central India. All participants came from companies that operated within the realms of IT consulting, Software development, and digital marketing. Each interview lasted 30 to 45 minutes and may be done in person, over video chats, or over the phone. Open-ended questions were developed to explore communication barriers, breakdowns, strategies used, and insights gained from past experiences.

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Based on information gleaned from interviews with senior executives, developers, and project managers, this study investigated the communication difficulties encountered by IT teams. The results complement and add to the body of knowledge already available on communication in software development settings. Six key theme areas that affect the



efficacy of communication in project teams and client contacts were identified from the replies of leaders in the IT sector. These results build on earlier studies and offer practitioner-driven perspectives on what functions well in hectic, real-world IT environments.

1. Communication Challenges in IT Projects

Across all responses, common communication issues include

- **Ambiguity in Requirements:** The unclear client requirements are one of the most commonly mentioned problems by IT executives. Project scopes that are unclear or change during implementation can result in misconceptions that frequently necessitate rework and cause delays. When needs are given orally or in fragmented formats without written confirmation, this problem is particularly noticeable. According to earlier research, project performance and deliverable alignment can be adversely affected by unclear or changing customer expectations (Bano & Zowghi, 2013; Agarwal & Rathod, 2006).
- **Assumptions and Lack of Clarification:** The negative effects of assumptions in team and client communication were emphasised by a number of practitioners. Project risks may increase as a result of misalignments that occur when team members go forward without verifying requirements or expectations. The study's leaders underlined time and again how crucial it is to record all conversations and get stakeholder approval to ensure comprehension. This is consistent with other research showing that formal communication minimises project failure and enhances team coordination, including requirement documentation and sign-offs (Verner, Sampson, & Cerpa, 2008).
- **Language and Cultural Barriers:** For IT companies, especially those that serve clients from throughout the world, language and cultural limitations present communication challenges. Because various people may interpret terms or words quite differently, team members may encounter misunderstandings easily, especially if they are cross-cultural. The issues are compounded by the fact that non-technical clients may not understand the tech-savvy technical jargon. Studies show that in globally dispersed software teams, linguistic and cultural incompatibilities may have ramifications on trust and collaboration (Hossain, Babar, & Verner, 2009; Boden et al., 2009).
- **Tool Overload and Fragmented Communication:** Leaders observed that simultaneous use of several systems, including Jira, Trello, Teams, Slack, and emails, might occasionally lead to misunderstandings over the location of the most recent choices or updates. Missed instructions and redundant work are the results of fragmented communication. This is in line with research warning against the overuse of tools without integration, which can impede the flow of knowledge and lower the visibility of a project (Jiang, Klein, & Balloun, 1996; Herbsleb & Mockus, 2003).
- **Time Zone Differences and Feedback Delays** Coordinating across time zones is extremely difficult for distributed teams, which frequently results in delayed decision making and response times. According to leaders, asynchronous communication can cause work priorities to become out of alignment and cause problems to take longer to resolve. These difficulties have been identified as major obstacles to cooperation and project synchronisation and are typical in international software development (Carmel & Agarwal, 2001; Herbsleb & Moitra, 2001).

2. Addressing Miscommunication

Respondents shared multiple conflict-resolution and communication alignment techniques that contribute to maintaining team cohesion and project momentum:

- **Daily Stand-ups and Scrum Meetings:** All teams suggested these were essential processes that allowed for real-time updates, quick clarification of doubts, and early blocker identification. They maintain the team's focus on objectives and deliverables and keep the team aligned on goals and deliverables.
- **Escalation Protocols and One-on-One Meetings:** Respondents emphasised the need of polite, private conversations over confrontations in public when resolving interpersonal issues, particularly those between



junior and senior employees. These one-on-one sessions foster trust and respect among team members by providing a secure environment for discussion and problem-solving.

- **Emergency Sync-Ups:** Emergency meetings were called when there were serious misunderstandings or miscommunications about objectives or requirements. Before delays could worsen, these fast turnaround sync-ups helped refocus stakeholder expectations, clarify tasks that were misunderstood, and get the project back on track.

3. Strategies to Enhance Communication

IT experts highlighted a number of proactive tactics that have greatly increased the efficacy of communication, especially during project periods with high pressure:

- **Regular Syncs and Documentation:** Every respondent highlighted how crucial it is to hold frequent sync meetings with client, either once a week or at significant project milestones. These gatherings ensure regular client involvement and offer a forum to examine deliverables & taking feedback, talking about possible risks, and defining scope. In order to align expectations and prevent ambiguity, leaders underscored the importance of proper documentation, such as requirement summaries, email confirmations, and minutes of meetings (MoMs).
- **Empathetic Listening and Feedback Loops:** The significance of creating a psychologically secure space where team members and clients feel free to voice issues was covered by a number of executives. It was believed that constructive responses, active listening, and addressing criticism were all crucial elements of good communication. These feedback loops were employed to continuously enhance operations and connections in addition to resolving recurring issues.
- **Clear Role Assignments:** Several leaders discussed the need of establishing a psychologically safe environment where team members and clients feel comfortable discussing concerns. It was believed that confronting criticism, listening carefully, and providing constructive responses were all essential components of effective communication. In addition to fixing ongoing issues, these feedback loops were used to continuously improve operations and relationships

4. Tools and Technologies Used

IT professionals listed a wide range of digital tools and platforms as crucial for ensuring documentation accuracy, increasing visibility, and simplifying communication in project workflows. These technologies are essential for client interaction as well as corporate cooperation.

- **Communication Tools:** Teams used Slack, Microsoft Teams, Zoom, and Google Meet, among other real-time communication technologies. These capabilities make it easier to share screens, conduct video conferences, and send instant messages all of which are very important in remote and hybrid work settings (Kraut et al., 2002). During crucial stages of project delivery, its application has been observed to promote timely clarity and avoid decision-making delays.
- **Project Management Tools:** Tools for effective sprint planning, work assignment, and progress monitoring were listed, including Jira, Trello, Azure Boards, Basecamp, and Asana. These platforms assist team leaders monitor bottlenecks and manage resources effectively by giving them a visual overview of workloads, dependencies, and priorities (Dingsoyr & Dyba, 2012).
- **Documentation Tools:** Confluence, Notion, Figma, and Microsoft Copilot have been highlighted by respondents as essential tools for centrally managing wireframes, design guidelines, documentation, and meeting minutes. In knowledge management, these tools facilitate working together, revision management, and transparency, guaranteeing that everyone involved are constantly informed (Bjork & Raisanen, 2003).



5. Lessons Learned from Past Failures

Across all interviews, IT professionals underlined the value of proactive communication and accountability as crucial takeaways from previous project failures. These lessons underline how important it is to develop open, transparent, and confirmed communication processes in demanding IT environments.

- **"Don't Assume, Always Clarify":** Using assumptions rather than verifying information early in the project cycle was one of the most commonly mentioned reasons for misunderstandings. Even seemingly small misunderstandings can result in significant project delays or deliverables that are not aligned, according to leaders. Confirming expectations is therefore crucial, particularly in the early phases of requirement gathering (De Janasz et al., 2018).
- **Value of Documentation:** Maintaining comprehensive documentation, such as email threads, meeting minutes, phone recordings, and updates on project management platforms like Jira, was emphasised by several respondents. This documentation facilitates smoother project handovers by serving as an audit trail, safeguarding teams and clients in the event of misunderstanding or dispute (Kerzner, 2017).
- **Importance of Asking Questions:** Building a psychologically secure workplace atmosphere where team members feel free to raise questions, even simple or clarifying ones, was a recurrent subject. Particularly in projects with technically complicated or changing requirements, such a culture fosters better understanding and minimises the possibility of silent errors (Edmondson, 1999).
- **Demo Scheduling and Review Frequency:** Team leaders also considered how crucial it is to plan customer demos and review sessions according to the complexity and breadth of the project. More stable or longer development cycles might just need monthly evaluations to save team capacity without sacrificing visibility, but fast-moving projects benefit from weekly or bi-weekly demos to guarantee alignment and continuous input. This emphasises the importance of strategic communication planning that is adapted to the project's pace and peculiarities.

Emergent Themes from Practitioner Perspectives

A number of constant trends and insights emerged from the thematic analysis of senior IT professional interviews conducted across several organisations. Although specific tactics and resources differed, the fundamental communication theories were very consistent. Deeper values and cultural changes in how IT teams handle communication, settle disagreements, and conform to client expectations in dynamic project environments are reflected in these emerging themes. The following themes highlight the most important factors in promoting successful team and client communication and synthesise the shared expertise of practitioners.

- **Culture of Clarity:** Avoiding ambiguity at the beginning of a project was emphasised throughout the interviews. During the initial phase, leaders underscored the significance of precisely defining the project's dimension, timeframes, deliverables, roles, and dependencies. This helps teams match expectations with clients and internally while also reducing rework. Structured kick-off meetings, scope sign-offs, and written confirmations have been highlighted as vital components of this culture of clarity.
- **Feedback-Driven Development:** Teams often used feedback mechanisms that were dynamic to ensure they were in sync. Effective tactics included the use of visual aids like wireframes, mock-ups, and process diagrams in addition to regular walkthroughs and customer demos. These resources assist in clearing up misunderstandings, especially in programs that are dynamic or move quickly. Feedback was considered more than just a normal task; it was seen as an essential component of correctness and teamwork throughout the software development life cycle.
- **Collaboration over Hierarchy:** Many responders emphasised that breaking down inflexible hierarchies within teams is essential to efficient communication. It was observed that open communication, respect for one another, and the ability to ask questions even by those who have different degrees of experience improve the efficacy of the project. Fostering a transparent and cohesive team environment was thought to rely upon senior



members staying accountable and encouraging junior members to address issues. Teams who adopted this change in culture reported higher morale and fewer bottlenecks.

V. CONCLUSION

From the viewpoints of senior professionals including CEOs, managers, and system analysts, this study reveals the complex and useful fact of communication in IT project environments. It is clear from thematic analysis of qualitative interviews that human and organisational factors, such as ambiguity in requirements, assumptions, cultural differences, and fragmented use of communication tools, contribute to communication challenges in IT firms in addition to technological limitations. The issue of inconsistency between internal teams and clients remains despite the increasing adoption of agile approaches and sophisticated communication channels.

Findings also show that effective IT teams invest in ongoing feedback, encourage dialogue, and adopt a culture of clarity. In order to avoid breakdowns, it is thought that documentation, consistent client interaction, and emotionally secure spaces for questioning are essential. Moreover, good communication is a culture that needs to be fostered rather than just a technique that needs to be controlled. Organisations may encourage improved cooperation and accountability by implementing techniques including role clarity, escalation procedures, and smart utilisation of technology. These practitioners led ideas build upon and support current intellectual conceptions of agile practices and organisational communication.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

The findings give rise to a number of practical recommendations. In order to prevent miscommunications, IT teams should first streamline requirements documents and guarantee stakeholder approval. To avoid making silent mistakes, a lot of effort must be put into creating an environment at work where asking questions is welcomed and unafraid of critique. Jira, Trello, Slack, and Teams constitute essential tools, but their use needs to be carefully designed and coordinated to avoid confusion and effort duplication. Additionally, client reviews and demo scheduling should be in line with the project's pace, for more stable projects, these should be done monthly; for agile environments, they should be done weekly. Because technical abilities alone are not enough for effective collaboration, regular soft skill training in empathy, listening, and handling feedback should be imparted.

Organizations should also define clear escalation protocols and allow space for private conflict resolution to address interpersonal tensions constructively. Lastly, breaking hierarchical barriers and encouraging inclusive collaboration is crucial; team members must feel valued and accountable regardless of their experience level. By embedding these practices into everyday workflows, IT firms can create a communication culture that enhances team cohesion, boosts client engagement, and ultimately leads to more successful project outcomes.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Agarwal, N., & Rathod, U. (2006). Defining 'success' for software projects: An exploratory revelation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(4), 358–370.
- [2]. Bano, M., & Zowghi, D. (2013). A systematic review on the relationship between user involvement and system success. *Information and Software Technology*, 55(7), 863–875.
- [3]. Barnlund, D. C. (1970). A transactional model of communication. In K. K. Sereno & C. D. Mortensen (Eds.), *Foundations of Communication Theory* (pp. 83–102). Harper & Row.
- [4]. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. SAGE Publications.
- [5]. Berlo, D. K. (1960). *The process of communication*. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- [6]. Bjork, L., & Raisanen, C. (2003). Strategizing communication in software development: A case study. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 46(4), 208–223.
- [7]. Boden, A., Avram, G., Bannon, L., & Wulf, V. (2009). Knowledge management in distributed software development teams – Does culture matter? *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 68(4), 223–234.



- [8]. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- [9]. Carmel, E., & Agarwal, R. (2001). Tactical approaches for alleviating distance in global software development. *IEEE Software*, 18(2), 22–29.
- [10]. Clampitt, P. G. (2016). *Communicating for managerial effectiveness*. SAGE Publications.
- [11]. Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [12]. De Janasz, S. C., Dowd, K. O., & Schneider, B. Z. (2018). *Interpersonal skills in organizations* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- [13]. Dennis, A. R., & Kinney, S. T. (1998). Testing media richness theory in the new media: The effects of cues, feedback, and task equivocality. *Information Systems Research*, 9(3), 256–274.
- [14]. Dingsøyr, T., & Dybå, T. (2012). Team effectiveness in software development: Human and cooperative aspects. *Information and Software Technology*, 54(8), 797–810.
- [15]. Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383.
- [16]. Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.
- [17]. Gudykunst, W. B. (2005). An anxiety/uncertainty management (AUM) theory of effective communication: Making the mesh of the net finer. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Theorizing about intercultural communication* (pp. 281–322). SAGE Publications.
- [18]. Herbsleb, J. D., & Mockus, A. (2003). An empirical study of speed and communication in globally distributed software development. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 29(6), 481–494.
- [19]. Herbsleb, J. D., & Moitra, D. (2001). Global software development. *IEEE Software*, 18(2), 16–20.
- [20]. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [21]. Hossain, E., Babar, M. A., & Verner, J. M. (2009). Towards a framework for using agile approaches in global software development. In *Australian Software Engineering Conference* (pp. 1–10). IEEE.
- [22]. Jiang, J. J., Klein, G., & Balloun, J. L. (1996). Ranking of system implementation success factors. *Project Management Journal*, 27(4), 49–53.
- [23]. Jordan, P. J., & Troth, A. C. (2004). Managing emotions during team problem solving: Emotional intelligence and conflict resolution. *Human Performance*, 17(2), 195–218.
- [24]. Kanki, B. G., Helmreich, R. L., & Anca, J. (2019). *Crew resource management* (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
- [25]. Kerzner, H. (2017). *Project management: A systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling* (12th ed.). Wiley.
- [26]. Kraut, R. E., Fussell, S. R., Brennan, S. E., & Siegel, J. (2002). Understanding effects of proximity on collaboration: Implications for technologies to support remote collaborative work. In P. J. Hinds & S. Kiesler (Eds.), *Distributed Work* (pp. 137–162). MIT Press.
- [27]. Leonardi, P. M., Treem, J. W., & Jackson, M. H. (2013). The connectivity paradox: Using technology to both decrease and increase perceptions of distance in distributed work arrangements. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 41(3), 239–257.
- [28]. Maruping, L. M., & Agarwal, R. (2004). Managing team interpersonal processes through technology: A task-technology fit perspective. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(6), 975–990.
- [29]. Maznevski, M. L., & Chudoba, K. M. (2000). Bridging space over time: Global virtual team dynamics and effectiveness. *Organization Science*, 11(5), 473–492.
- [30]. McChesney, C., Covey, S. R., & Huling, J. (2018). *The 4 disciplines of execution: Achieving your wildly important goals*. Free Press.
- [31]. Pauleen, D. J., & Yoong, P. (2001). Relationship building and the use of ICT in boundary-crossing virtual teams: A facilitator's perspective. *Journal of Information Technology*, 16(4), 205–220.



- [32]. Project Management Institute (PMI). (2013). *The high cost of low performance: The essential role of communication*. PMI Pulse of the Profession®.
- [33]. Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- [34]. Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (1949). *The mathematical theory of communication*. University of Illinois Press.
- [35]. Verner, J. M., Sampson, J., & Cerpa, N. (2008). What factors lead to software project failure? *Information and Software Technology*, 50(6), 557–573.
- [36]. Zwijze-Koning, K., & de Jong, M. (2005). Auditing information structures in organizations: A review of data collection techniques. *Information & Management*, 42(5), 619–636.

