International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal



Volume 5, Issue 9, June 2025

Research on A Comparative Analysis between UPFC and DPFC in a Grid Connected Wind Turbine System

Ashish Lahane¹ and Vaishali Chavhan² PG. Student, Electrical, GH Raisoni University, Amravati, India¹ Assistant Professor, Electrical, GH Raisoni University, Amravati, India²

Abstract: In the evolving landscape of renewable integration, voltage instability and reactive power mismatch pose significant challenges, particularly in wind-integrated power systems. To address these issues, Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) devices offer a robust solution. This paper presents a comparative analysis between two advanced FACTS controllers: the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) and the Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC), integrated within a grid-connected wind turbine setup. The simulation is carried out using MATLAB/Simulink, focusing on voltage stabilization, power quality enhancement, and harmonic mitigation. The UPFC model, utilizing 48-pulse converters and centralized control, demonstrates its traditional strength in dynamic voltage control and reactive power compensation. Conversely, the DPFC architecture decentralizes the functionality of UPFC by replacing the common DC link with distributed single-phase D-STATCOMs and a central series converter, enhancing modularity and reducing installation costs and electromagnetic interference. Performance metrics such as voltage profile regulation, total harmonic distortion (THD), active and reactive power flow control, and system stability under transient conditions are thoroughly analysed. Results highlight that DPFC outperforms UPFC in terms of modularity, cost-efficiency, and fault tolerance, while UPFC still shows superior centralized control for sharp reactive compensation. This comparative study provides insights into the appropriate selection of FACTS devices for enhancing grid reliability in wind-dominated energy systems.

Keywords: Wind Energy, UPFC, DPFC, FACTS Devices, Power Quality, Voltage Stability, Simulink, Harmonics, Smart Grid.

I. INTRODUCTION

The global energy paradigm is rapidly shifting towards sustainable and renewable energy sources, with wind energy playing a pivotal role due to its scalability and minimal environmental footprint. However, the intermittent and unpredictable nature of wind power introduces considerable complexity in maintaining grid stability, particularly concerning voltage regulation, reactive power flow, and power quality. As a result, the integration of wind turbines into existing power grids demands advanced technological interventions to ensure reliable and stable operation. Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) have emerged as a transformative solution in addressing these integration challenges. Among the various FACTS devices, the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) has long been hailed as the most versatile device capable of independently regulating voltage magnitude, phase angle, and impedance. It employs a combination of shunt and series converters, connected via a common DC link, to simultaneously manage real and reactive power flow. While effective, UPFC's central control structure, high installation cost, and complexity can limit itsapplication in decentralized grid environments, especially where distributed generation like wind farms is prevalent. In response to these limitations, the Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC) has been introduced as a novel variant that decouples the traditional UPFC structure. By replacing the single DC link with multiple distributed shunt converters connected to the grid and one series converter, DPFC offers a more modular, scalable, and cost-effective

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in

IJARSCT

ISSN: 2581-9429



DOI: 10.48175/568





International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 9, June 2025



alternative. This distributed architecture not only improves reliability and fault tolerance but also minimizes electromagnetic interference, a key concern in high-voltage environments.

This paper explores and evaluates the performance of UPFC and DPFC when deployed in a MATLAB/Simulinkmodelled grid-connected wind turbine system. The simulations consider real-world parameters including variable wind speeds, grid disturbances, and load fluctuations. Key focus areas include:

• Voltage profile maintenance across transmission lines

IJARSCT

ISSN: 2581-9429

- Reactive power support and real power flow optimization
- Mitigation of harmonics and flicker due to wind variability
- Dynamic system stability during grid faults or wind gusts

The comparative analysis reveals each controller's strength in specific scenarios. While UPFC provides robust centralized control suited for large-scale grids, DPFC shows promise in distributed smart grid frameworks, offering cost and performance benefits with a decentralized approach. The findings from this analysis aim to guide future implementation strategies for renewable energy integration with enhanced resilience and power quality.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Abhilash Sen, Atanu Banerjee, and Haricharan Nannam present a comparative analysis between Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) and Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC) in grid-connected photovoltaic systems. Their 2019 IEEE paper evaluates how these FACTS devices affect system performance, particularly in voltage stability and power transfer capability. The study concludes that while both controllers improve performance, DPFC offers a more modular and cost-effective design. Simulation results demonstrate the efficiency of each device under varying load conditions. This comparative insight guides future controller selection in PV-integrated grids.

2. Mai Mahmood Aladani et al. explore power system transient stability enhancement using a novel algorithm based on catastrophe theory and FACTS devices. Published in IEEE Access, the study integrates mathematical modeling with FACTS like SVC and STATCOM to predict and prevent system instability. It emphasizes how real-time system response can be improved using theoretical bifurcation points. The method is validated on large-scale systems with complex contingencies. Their approach enables proactive system monitoring, enhancing reliability and robustness during disturbances.

3. N. G. Hingorani and L. Gyugyi, pioneers in the FACTS domain, provide an authoritative guide in their seminal book Understanding FACTS. They elaborate on the fundamental theory, operation, and control of various FACTS devices such as SVC, STATCOM, TCSC, and UPFC. The book lays the foundation for modern flexible AC transmission systems, presenting real-world implementations and control strategies. It's considered essential literature for engineers and researchers in power electronics. Their work bridges the gap between theoretical concepts and practical applications.

4. Yang Liu et al. investigate the application of a transformer-less UPFC for interconnecting synchronous AC grids with large phase differences. This 2016 study in IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics proposes a new UPFC topology that enhances interconnection without bulky transformers. The authors demonstrate how this design reduces losses and improves dynamic response. The system also ensures secure power exchange between grids under varying phase angles. The research marks a step forward in lightweight and efficient FACTS design.

5. Zhihui Yuan and Jan Braham Ferreira introduce the Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC) as an evolution of UPFC in their 2010 paper. The DPFC design decentralizes the control of power flow using multiple low-cost converters, enhancing modularity and redundancy. Their work outlines operational principles, benefits, and hardware setup for DPFC. Simulation and experimental results confirm superior power flow control with reduced costs. This innovation redefines the scalability and economic feasibility of FACTS technology.

6. Ahmad Jamshidi et al. conduct a case study on power quality improvement using DPFC. Presented at the IEEE ISIE in 2012, their work focuses on harmonic distortion, voltage sag, and reactive power compensation. The study integrates DPFC with simulation tools to assess power quality metrics under various disturbances. Results show notable

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/568





International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal





improvements in voltage profiles and harmonic reduction. Their practical insights affirm DPFC's versatility beyond just power flow control.

7. Habbati Bellia, Ramdani Youcef, and Moulay Fatima detail the mathematical modeling of photovoltaic modules using MATLAB. Their 2014 paper dives into the I-V and P-V characteristics under varying irradiance and temperature conditions. It presents a step-by-step model based on physical parameters, ideal for simulation environments. The study serves as a reference for validating PV system performance before deployment. This foundational model aids engineers in designing efficient and accurate solar power systems.

8. Brigitte Hauke from Texas Instruments offers a technical report on basic power stage calculation for boost converters. This 2014 document provides the formulas and design process for sizing inductors, capacitors, and switching elements. It simplifies the converter design phase for engineers working with power electronics in PV systems. The report also includes real-world examples and error considerations. Hauke's work is a go-to guide for practical boost converter design in solar applications.

9. Sheik Mohammed, D. Devaraj, and T.P. Imthias Ahamed propose a hybrid MPPT algorithm combining Perturb & Observe and Learning Automata. Published in ENERGY, their 2016 paper enhances MPPT tracking under fluctuating irradiance. Their technique avoids local maxima and improves tracking speed and efficiency. Simulation results show higher energy yields compared to conventional methods. This hybrid method optimizes PV output, particularly under partial shading.

10. Aliaga et al. discuss a STATCOM using predictive and fuzzy logic control in their 2016 paper. The proposed VSCbased STATCOM stabilizes voltage under dynamic load conditions. Fuzzy control enhances adaptability, while predictive control provides better response time. The combination allows precise reactive power compensation. This dual-control strategy makes the STATCOM smarter and more resilient in practical grids.

11. Rajiv K. Verma and Reza Salehi introduce a novel control method using PV-STATCOM for SSR (Sub-Synchronous Resonance) mitigation. Their 2017 study proves how PV inverters can be repurposed as reactive power support units. This dual functionality allows solar farms to aid in grid stability without additional hardware. Simulation results validate its performance in damping SSR and improving voltage stability. Their idea is futuristic—turning solar plants into active grid players.

12. P.M. Awasthi and V.A. Huchche analyze D-STATCOM for reactive power compensation in the 2016 ICEETS conference. Their work models D-STATCOM behavior under voltage dips and surges. Simulation results show improvements in voltage profiles and reduced losses. The study highlights the D-STATCOM's role in maintaining power factor and voltage levels in distribution networks. It's a practical demonstration of FACTS utility at the distribution level.

13. A.J.F. Keri and K.K. Sen compare field results with digital simulations of VSC-based FACTS devices. Their 2003 IEEE paper bridges the simulation-to-reality gap, showing close alignment between modeled and actual system performance. This comparison validates simulation tools for FACTS research. Their work supports confident deployment of VSC-based devices, reducing the need for extensive field testing. It reaffirms the reliability of digital twin models in power systems.

14. Sheik Mohammed, D. Devaraj, and T.P. Imthias Ahamed examine PV performance under partial shading in their 2016 IJST paper. They simulate various shading scenarios and propose an efficient modeling approach. Their findings underscore the drastic impact of even small shaded areas on output. The paper advocates for MPPT methods that can overcome mismatch losses. It reinforces the need for dynamic shading-aware strategies in PV system design.

15. U.P. Mhaskar and A.M. Kulkarni delve into power oscillation damping using FACTS devices. Their 2006 IEEE paper investigates modal controllability and the influence of local signals on damping behavior. They analyze transfer function zeros to identify optimal FACTS placement. Their study enhances understanding of FACTS-based damping strategies in dynamic power systems. It's a mathematically rich exploration that feeds directly into controller design for real-time grid oscillations.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in

IJARSCT

ISSN: 2581-9429



DOI: 10.48175/568



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal



Volume 5, Issue 9, June 2025

III. METHODOLOGY

The base model simulates a 500 kV transmission system integrated with a wind turbine generator. This setup is representative of a modern grid infrastructure where renewable energy sources are interfaced with the main power system. The wind turbine is linked to the grid through a step-up transformer and power electronic converters that ensure compatibility with grid frequency and voltage standards. The load is distributed across the network, and the system is exposed to faults and fluctuations to observe its natural response, both with and without FACTS devices.



Fig 1 System architectures



Fig 2 UPFC Simulation Process chart



Fig 3 DPFC Simulation Process chart



IJARSCT

ISSN: 2581-9429



DOI: 10.48175/568





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 9, June 2025



IV. SYSTEM REQUIREMENT

SOFTWARE REQUIREMENT

1. MATLAB Software

V. IMPLEMENTATION & RESULT

Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)

The simulation of the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) was conducted on a 500 kV transmission system rated at 100 MVA, incorporating a wind turbine as a dynamic power source. The system consisted of three transmission lines of lengths 200 km, 75 km, and 180 km, connected through buses B1 to B4. The UPFC was strategically placed between B1 and B2 to regulate power flow and stabilize voltage fluctuations caused by the variability in wind power generation.



The UPFC model utilized two 3-level, 48-pulse Gate Turn-Off Thyristor (GTO)-based voltage source converters: a shunt converter to manage reactive power and maintain DC link voltage, and a series converter to inject a controlled voltage in series with the transmission line, thereby controlling the active power flow. The control system generated pulses to operate the converters based on inputs from bus voltages and currents. Initially, the system operated without compensation, and voltage instability and power flow disturbances were observed, particularly under the influence of wind power fluctuations. Upon activation of the UPFC, there was a notable improvement in voltage regulation across buses, enhanced stability in active and reactive power flow, and a significant reduction in system oscillations. The simulation clearly demonstrated the UPFC's effectiveness in simultaneously controlling both real and reactive power, improving the power factor, and supporting stable integration of renewable energy into the high-voltage transmission network.

Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC)

The simulation of the Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC) was executed within a wind-integrated high-voltage transmission system using MATLAB/Simulink. The setup includes a wind turbine generator that feeds into the grid, supplying three-phase power to the transmission line. The DPFC architecture implemented in the model is a modified version of the traditional UPFC, where the single DC link is eliminated, and instead, multiple distributed series converters are used along with a separate shunt converter.

In the model, the DPFC comprises three main components: the series converters inserted via single-phase transformers to inject voltage in series with the transmission line; the shunt Active Power Filter (APF) to inject current into the grid for voltage support and reactive power compensation; and a third-harmonic link to enable power exchange between shunt and series converters. The control system computes voltage references and ensures synchronization among the converters. The simulation results confirm that the DPFC efficiently stabilizes voltage, improves power factor, and regulates both active and reactive power flow despite variations in wind power generation. It enhances the overall dynamic performance of the grid by distributing the control burden and providing flexibility, fault tolerance, and better

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/568





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 9, June 2025



modular integration. This setup validates the DPFC's role as a robust FACTS device for modern renewable-integrated power systems.



Fig 5 shows the DPFC model

VI. RESULT

Single-Phase Voltage Compensation

The unaltered voltage waveform (Figure 1 - Top Panel) shows a standard sinusoidal pattern with no compensation. The peak voltage reaches approximately ± 230 V.



Fig 6 shows the Comparison Of Single-Phase Voltage

Compensation of UPFC vs DPFC.

UPFC Compensation (Centralized Injection)

With a centralized injection of 57.5V, the UPFC introduces a compensation that alters the voltage profile significantly (Figure 1 - Middle Panel). The red-shaded region indicates the magnitude of voltage injection. The waveform stays largely in phase with the original but with slight improvements in voltage amplitude and stability, reducing deviation from ideal sinusoidal shape.

DPFC Compensation (Distributed Injection)

The DPFC, on the other hand, uses two distributed components – an 11.5V injection along with a 34.5V harmonic (Figure 1 - Bottom Panel). It maintains the waveform shape more precisely, with less overshoot and distortion compared to UPFC. The compensation appears more responsive and adaptable, especially at the waveform extreme, suggesting finer voltage control.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/568



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 9, June 2025





Three-Phase Voltage Compensation

Original Three-Phase System

IJARSCT

ISSN: 2581-9429

As depicted in Figure 2, the original system has balanced three-phase voltages (Phases A, B, and C) maintaining a 120° phase difference and symmetric sinusoidal profiles, as expected in an ideal grid-connected wind turbine setup.



Fig 6 shows the Comparison of Three-Phase Voltage

Compensation of UPFC vs DPFC.

UPFC Compensation (Three-Phase)

With UPFC the compensation (solid lines) shifts each phase slightly from its original position (dashed lines). While it injects a uniform 57.5V compensation across phases, some distortions are visible near the zero-crossings and peaks, indicating possible issues in centralized phase synchrony or harmonics.

DPFC Compensation (Three-Phase)

Figure 2 shows the DPFC's performance. Unlike UPFC, the DPFC's distributed nature allows phase-wise tailored compensation, resulting in better preservation of waveform symmetry and improved harmonic suppression. Notably, thesolid lines align more cleanly with the ideal sinusoidal profile across all three phases.

The table below summarizes the effectiveness of both OFFC and DFFC based on the observed results.				
	Parameter	Original System	UPFC (57.5V)	DPFC (11.5V + 34.5V)
	Voltage Profile Stability	Moderate	Improved (centralized)	Highly Improved (distributed)
	Harmonic Distortion	High	Moderate	Low
	Phase Compensation Accuracy	N/A	Partial (less balanced)	High (well-balanced)
	Voltage Deviation	±230V	±250V	±245V
	Implementation Complexity	Low	High	Moderate
	Compensation Flexibility	None	Centralized control	Distributed & adaptive

Comparative Performance Summary

The table below summarizes the effectiveness of both UPFC and DPFC based on the observed results.

From the analysis of both single-phase and three-phase systems, DPFC outperforms UPFC in most critical performance metrics. While UPFC offers a strong centralized response, it suffers from potential phase imbalance and harmonic distortion issues. In contrast, DPFC's distributed and harmonic-aware approach provides better control, reduced distortion, and phase-wise precision, making it more suitable for modern, flexible grid-integrated systems, especially those with renewable sources like wind turbines.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/568



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

IJARSCT ISSN: 2581-9429

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 9, June 2025



VII. CONCLUSION

This study has effectively compared the performance of Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) and Distributed PowerFlow Controller (DPFC) in a grid-connected wind turbine system. The results indicate that while both controllers enhance voltage stability and power quality, DPFC exhibits superior performance in reducing harmonic distortion, providing phase-wise compensation, and maintaining voltage symmetry. Its distributed architecture allows for more flexible and responsive control, making it better suited for dynamic and renewable-integrated power grids. UPFC, though robust, is less adaptable and introduces higher implementation complexity. Overall, DPFC emerges as a more efficient and scalable solution for modern grid applications.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Abhilash Sen, Atanu Banerjee, Haricharan Namnam, "A Comparative Analysis between UPFC and DPFC in a Grid Connected Photovoltaic System," IEEE Conference, 2019.
- [2]. Mai M. Aladani et al., "Power System Transient Stability: Enhancement via Catastrophe Theory & FACTS," IEEE Access, Vol. 6, 2018.
- [3]. N.G. Hingorani, L. Gyugyi, Understanding FACTS, IEEE Press, 1999.
- [4]. Yang Liu et al., "Transformerless UPFC for Interconnecting AC Grids," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, 2016.
- [5]. Zhihui Yuan, Jan B. Ferreira, "A FACTS Device: Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC)," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, Vol. 25, No. 10, 2010.
- [6]. Ahmad Jamshidi et al., "Power Quality Improvement Using DPFC," IEEE ISIE, 2012.
- [7]. Habbati Bellia et al., "Detailed Modeling of PV Module Using MATLAB," National Research Institute Paper, 2014.
- [8]. Hauke, Brigitte, "Basic Calculation of a Boost Converter' s Power Stage," Texas Instruments Tech Report, 2014.
- [9]. Sheik Mohammed et al., "Hybrid MPPT Using P&O and Learning Automata," ENERGY (Elsevier), 2016.
- [10]. Aliaga et al., "STATCOM with Predictive and Fuzzy Control," IEEE ICA-ACCA, 2016.
- [11]. Rajiv K. Verma, Reza Salehi, "SSR Mitigation with PV-STATCOM," IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, 2017.



DOI: 10.48175/568

