IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 5, Issue 5, June 2025
Impact Factor: 7.67

Review on the Integration of Deep Learning and Natural Language Processing for Intelligent Crop Management

Lavanya N Moger, Navya Y R, Nikitha Shetty, Sukanya Patil
Information Science and Engineering(VTU)
Alva's institute of Engineering and Technology (VTU), Dakshina, Kannada, India

Abstract: *The rapid advancement in artificial intelligence, particularly in deep learning and natural language processing (NLP), has significantly transformed the landscape of smart agriculture. One of the most promising applications of these technologies lies in plant growth monitoring systems, which are critical for ensuring optimal crop health, yield prediction, and sustainable farming practices. This review paper explores the convergence of deep learning techniques—such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs)—with NLP approaches to develop intelligent and adaptive plant monitoring frameworks. We examine existing methodologies, analyze their performance across various datasets, and highlight the limitations of conventional image and sensor-based systems. Furthermore, we discuss how NLP can facilitate seamless model-to-model communication, automate the interpretation of plant growth data, and generate meaningful insights for end users. By synthesizing current trends, research gaps, and emerging innovations, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI-powered solutions can revolutionize plant growth analysis and contribute to the future of precision agriculture*

Keywords: Plant Growth Monitoring, Deep Learning, Natural Language Processing, Model-to-Model Communication, Image-Based Analysis, Intelligent Crop Management, Semantic Interpretation, Convolutional Neural Networks, Precision Agriculture, Automated Feedback Systems

I. INTRODUCTION

The global demand for sustainable agriculture and food security has led to an increasing interest in intelligent technologies that can optimize crop production while minimizing environmental impact. Among these, plant growth monitoring systems play a pivotal role in assessing the health, development, and yield potential of crops throughout their lifecycle. Traditional methods relying on manual observation and sensorbased data collection are often labor-intensive, inconsistent, and limited in scalability. Recent breakthroughs in artificial intelligence (AI)—particularly in Deep Learning (DL) and Natural Language Processing (NLP)—have opened up new opportunities to revolutionize plant monitoring systems. Deep learning models, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), have demonstrated exceptional performance in tasks like plant disease detection, leaf segmentation, and growth stage classification using image datasets. At the same time, NLP techniques have enabled the extraction, interpretation, and generation of contextual information from large volumes of unstructured data, including sensor logs, user queries, and model outputs. This convergence of DL and NLP enables model-to-model communication—an emerging paradigm where different AI models collaborate intelligently. In the context of plant growth monitoring, this can lead to systems that not only analyze visual plant data but also translate those findings into semantic feedback and actionable insights for users. For instance, a CNN may classify plant growth stages while an NLP engine generates a natural-language report such as "The plant growth is slower than expected based on seeding date and leaf development rate." In this paper, we present a comprehensive review of state-of-the-art approaches that integrate deep learning and natural language processing in plant growth monitoring. We explore the techniques, architectures, datasets, challenges, and future opportunities associated with these AI-driven systems. The goal is to provide researchers and practitioners with a consolidated foundation for designing intelligent, scalable, and interpretable solutions in precision agriculture.

II. INTRODUCING NLP TO PLANT GROWTH DATA INTERPRETATION AND TRANSFORMATION:

In intelligent agriculture systems, particularly those involving plant growth monitoring, various models such as deep learning-based image classifiers, environmental sensor models, and crop simulation systems produce valuable yet complex data. However, interpreting this data in a way that is accessible and actionable to non-expert users remains a critical challenge. To address this, Natural Language Processing (NLP) is increasingly being leveraged to transform low-level model outputs into high-level, semantically meaningful insights. This transition from model-to-language transformation is similar in principle to model-to-model transformations used in software engineering, where intermediate representations are converted into more usable formats. A typical plant monitoring pipeline might involve a convolutional neural network (CNN) classifying plant health as ”delayed growth,” followed by a time-series model analyzing soil moisture trends. NLP techniques can synthesize these insights and generate a natural language summary such as: “The plant’s growth is lagging behind the expected pattern for this stage. Current soil moisture levels are below optimal, which may be contributing to the delayed development.”Such NLP-driven transformation enhances human interpretability and supports better decision-making in real-world agricultural contexts.

Additional NLP-based transformations may include:

A. Semantic Feedback Generation:

NLP enables automatic generation of context-aware feedback by mapping structured outputs—such as class labels or growth metrics—to natural language descriptions. Techniques such as template-based generation, sequence-tosequence models, and transformer architectures like T5 or BART are particularly effective for this task. For example, growth deviation patterns detected by the model can be translated into warnings like “Leaf size and color index suggest possible nutrient deficiency. Consider testing nitrogen levels.” This kind of automated interpretation bridges the gap between technical analysis and user comprehension .

B. Multimodal Data Fusion and Interpretation:

Modern plant growth systems often integrate multiple data sources including visual data (RGB, NDVI images), environmental sensors (temperature, humidity), and metadata (seeding date, plant type). NLP models can be trained to fuse and interpret this heterogeneous data, producing cohesive insights

. For example, correlating delayed growth with abnormal weather patterns can produce insights like: “Abnormal temperature drops during early vegetative stage may have caused stunted growth.” Such multimodal interpretations require attention mechanisms and knowledge grounding to effectively link cause and effect.

C. Growth Phase Classification Explanation:

While deep learning models can classify plant growth stages, they often act as black boxes. NLP techniques can be used to explain why a specific classification was made. For instance: “The plant is classified as ‘vegetative’ due to the presence of five or more true leaves and absence of flowering buds.” This aligns with the growing trend toward Explainable AI (XAI), which is crucial for trust in AI-assisted agriculture.

D. Automated Alerts and Recommendation Systems:

Another critical application of NLP is in generating realtime alerts and actionable suggestions. Using rule-based systems or pretrained transformer models, these systems can evaluate conditions and generate advice, e.g.: “High humidity and low sunlight for the past 3 days increase the risk of powdery mildew. Consider adjusting irrigation schedules.” Such automated advisories can dramatically enhance real-time responsiveness in farm management .

Our proposed system leverages cutting-edge Natural Language Processing (NLP) and deep learning techniques to bridge the gap between complex model outputs and user-interpretable insights in plant growth monitoring. By integrating imagebased analysis, time-series environmental data, and linguistic models, our approach transforms raw

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 5, Issue 5, June 2025
Impact Factor: 7.67

outputs into meaningful, actionable feedback for end-users such as farmers, agronomists, and researchers. This review explores key NLP domains—such as semantic parsing, text generation, and information extraction—that are instrumental in enabling such data-to-language transformations. While this work highlights core NLP applications relevant to intelligent agriculture, it also opens up several avenues for future exploration, including domain-specific language modeling, multimodal reasoning, and real-time feedback systems.

III. ADVANTAGES OF DEEP LEARNING-BASED INFORMATION EXTRACTION FOR PLANT GROWTH MONITORING

In recent years, the application of artificial intelligence in agriculture has revolutionized traditional practices. Among these advancements, deep learning stands out as a powerful tool for extracting meaningful insights from complex, highdimensional agricultural data. In plant growth monitoring systems, where visual data, environmental factors, and timeseries sensor readings play a critical role, information extraction becomes both challenging and essential. Traditional rule-based systems or manually engineered pipelines struggle with scalability, adaptability, and real-time feedback generation. Deep learning models, when combined with Natural Language Processing (NLP), offer a robust solution to these challenges by automatically learning patterns, interpreting contextual signals, and generating actionable insights in natural language. The following subsections explore the key benefits of adopting deep learning for information extraction in plant growth monitoring systems.

A. Automated Feature Extraction from Visual Data

• Traditional Approaches:Manually engineered features such as color histograms, shape descriptors, and texture metrics depend heavily on expert knowledge and handcrafted rules, making them inflexible and error-prone under varying lighting and environmental conditions. These models struggle to capture subtle visual variations in plant health, often missing early signs of disease or stress, which limits their reliability in real-world scenarios.

• Deep Learning-Based Methods: CNNs automatically learn multi-level, hierarchical representations from raw plant images, enabling detection of complex features like leaf deformation, disease spots, and color changes without manual intervention. This automatic feature extraction allows models to adapt to diverse plant species and environmental settings, improving accuracy and reducing the need for domain-specific feature engineering.

B. Temporal Pattern Analysis

• Conventional Methods:Traditional time-series models, including moving averages and linear regressions, provide limited ability to handle noisy or irregular plant growth data and fail to model complex temporal dependencies effectively. Such methods typically assume stationarity and linearity, which are often violated in natural plant growth processes influenced by varying environmental factors.

• Deep Learning Approaches: LSTMs and RNNs excel in capturing long-term dependencies and nonlinear temporal dynamics in plant growth, integrating multiple sequential inputs such as historical images and sensor data. These models enable early detection of abnormal growth patterns, forecast future growth stages, and help in proactive decision-making by learning from temporal environmental variations.

C. Semantic Interpretation Using NLP:

• Rule-Based Systems:Earlier systems output raw sensor or image-derived metrics requiring expert interpretation, limiting accessibility to users without technical backgrounds and reducing practical usability. Fixed templatebased reporting fails to adapt to diverse contexts or generate nuanced explanations tailored to specific plant conditions.

• Modern NLP Techniques:Transformer-based NLP models translate complex multimodal data into clear, natural language summaries that are understandable to farmers and agronomists, facilitating rapid decision-making. These models can generate personalized recommendations by interpreting sensor readings and growth patterns, bridging the gap between data analysis and actionable insights.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 5, Issue 5, June 2025
Impact Factor: 7.67

D. Generalization and Robustness

• Traditional Models: Models trained on limited or homogeneous datasets tend to overfit and perform poorly when deployed in diverse field conditions, different crop varieties, or under varying environmental stresses. Manual feature engineering further restricts adaptability, requiring extensive retraining or fine-tuning to new domains.

• Deep Learning Models: Leveraging large-scale, diverse datasets along with transfer learning techniques allows deep models to generalize across multiple crops and environments with minimal additional training. Their robustness to noise and variation in image quality or sensor data ensures reliable performance in real-world agricultural applications, reducing maintenance overhead.

E. Multimodal Data Fusion

• Classical Approaches: Conventional fusion methods often use simple concatenation or weighted averaging of features from separate data streams (images, temperature, humidity), failing to capture complex interdependencies. This simplistic fusion limits the system's ability to fully exploit complementary information from diverse sensors, reducing prediction accuracy and interpretability.

• Deep Learning Fusion Models: Advanced architectures jointly process and integrate heterogeneous data sources using attention mechanisms and joint embeddings, capturing intricate relationships among visual, temporal, and environmental inputs. This results in comprehensive, context-aware plant health assessments that improve prediction reliability and support more informed agricultural interventions.

In summary, deep learning-based models offer significant improvements over traditional approaches across multiple aspects of plant growth monitoring. From automated feature extraction and temporal analysis to semantic interpretation, generalization, and multimodal data fusion, modern techniques provide greater accuracy, adaptability, and usability. These advancements enable more intelligent, robust, and user-friendly systems, making plant health monitoring more efficient and scalable in real-world agricultural settings.

IV. ROLE OF NLP IN PLANT GROWTH MONITORING SYSTEMS

Natural Language Processing (NLP) plays a pivotal role in bridging the gap between complex data-driven models and human interpretability in plant growth monitoring systems. While deep learning models process image and sensor data for detecting growth trends, nutrient deficiencies, or disease symptoms, NLP ensures that the outcomes are effectively communicated to users—especially non-technical stakeholders like farmers and agronomists.

A. Data Interpretation and Text Generation

NLP techniques such as sequence-to-sequence models and transformers help translate quantitative data and model predictions into human-readable language. For instance, a detected slowdown in plant growth may be expressed as: "Plant height growth is 25% below the average for this stage." This capability removes the need for expert analysis, enabling users to act quickly based on clear, contextual feedback from the system.

B. Semantic Understanding of User Inputs

NLP also allows users to interact with the system using simple natural language commands or queries, such as "How healthy is the plant today?" or "What caused the yellowing of leaves?" Using intent recognition and named entity extraction, the system can understand these inputs and retrieve or generate appropriate responses from the underlying models and databases.

C. Context-Aware Alerts and Recommendations

The system can automatically generate context-specific alerts based on the analysis of growth patterns or anomalies, e.g., "Warning: Growth appears stunted. Check for possible water stress or low nitrogen levels." These alerts can be personalized to the crop type, growth stage, and environmental conditions, improving their practical usefulness.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 5, Issue 5, June 2025 Impact Factor: 7.67

D. Support for Multilingual Interfaces

NLP models can be trained or fine-tuned to support multiple languages, allowing localized reports and alerts for farmers in diverse regions. This ensures inclusivity and broadens the impact of the technology, especially in regions where English is not the primary language of communication.

E. Knowledge Extraction from Agricultural Texts

In addition to generating language, NLP can be used to extract useful agricultural knowledge from scientific documents, farmer logs, or past records to support decisionmaking. Techniques like text summarization and entity linking can assist in integrating external knowledge with live plant monitoring data.

The integration of NLP in plant growth monitoring systems transforms complex analytical processes into accessible and understandable language. By enabling human-friendly communication, intelligent alerts, and interactive queries, NLP not only enhances usability but also empowers users to make informed and timely decisions, thereby increasing the practical value and reach of deep learning-based agricultural technologies.

V. DEEP LEARNING APPROACHES IN PLANT GROWTH MONITORING

Deep learning has emerged as a powerful paradigm for analyzing complex, high-dimensional data in precision agriculture. In the context of plant growth monitoring, it enables automatic extraction of meaningful patterns from images, environmental sensor data, and historical growth records. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are widely used for processing plant images to detect diseases, measure growth metrics, and classify developmental stages. These models eliminate the need for manual feature engineering by learning hierarchical representations directly from raw image inputs, significantly improving the accuracy and scalability of plant health analysis. Additionally, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and their modern variants like LSTMs and GRUs are applied to timeseries data to model plant growth over time, predicting trends and identifying anomalies based on sequential dependencies. More recently, Transformer-based architectures have been gaining attention for their superior capability in handling both spatial and temporal data. These models can integrate various input modalities—such as image sequences, soil readings, and weather parameters—while maintaining a global context of the data. The attention mechanism allows the model to prioritize critical regions or timeframes, enhancing interpretability and performance. When combined with Natural Language Processing, deep learning models can generate human-understandable summaries of growth performance, providing actionable feedback to end-users. This synergy between deep learning and NLP lays the foundation for intelligent, data-driven plant monitoring systems that are both robust and user-friendly.

VI. RELATED WORK

Recent advancements in deep learning and NLP have opened new possibilities in software-based plant growth monitoring systems. Deep learning techniques are used to analyze plant images and track growth stages, while NLP enables the generation of descriptive, human-readable insights from model outputs. Unlike sensor-based systems, this approach relies solely on image data and language models to interpret plant health. This section reviews key research contributions that support such software-driven, intelligent plant monitoring solutions.

• Deep Learning in Plant Image Analysis. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have revolutionized plant disease detection and classification tasks. For instance, Mohanty et al. (2016) trained CNN models on over 50,000 images of diseased and healthy plants, achieving classification accuracies exceeding 99/Advanced models like ResNet, DenseNet, and Inception networks have also been employed for more fine-grained plant part segmentation, growth stage classification, and even phenotyping in real-time. These methods outperform traditional vision algorithms in robustness and adaptability to different lighting and background conditions. Additionally, transfer learning and data augmentation have been widely used to improve performance with limited labeled agricultural data.

• Time-Series Forecasting for Plant Growth Monitoring. Deep learning models such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs) have been used to analyze continuous data from IoTbased agricultural sensors. These models predict plant growth rates, yield outcomes, and detect abnormal patterns by learning temporal dependencies in environmental variables like temperature, humidity, soil moisture, and light intensity. Hybrid

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429 Volume 5, Issue 5, June 2025 Impact Factor: 7.67

models that combine CNNs for feature extraction and LSTMs for sequential learning have been proposed for tracking plant height, leaf count, and canopy area over time.

• Natural Language Processing in Smart Agriculture. NLP has been applied to develop intelligent advisory systems capable of answering farmers' queries and summarizing data collected from the field. Rule-based NLP engines were initially used to interpret agronomic texts, such as soil testing reports, fertilizer usage manuals, and crop disease guides. These have now evolved into deep learningbased models like BERT and GPT, which can generate descriptive summaries and suggestions based on structured and unstructured data. Some recent studies have explored the use of NLP to generate natural language descriptions from structured sensor outputs or growth statistics, thus improving accessibility and interpretability for non-technical users.

• Model-to-Model Transformation Using NLP Techniques. In the field of model-driven engineering (MDE), researchers have used NLP to assist in transforming one model type (e.g., UML use case diagrams) into another (e.g., UML class diagrams). These approaches use syntactic parsing, part-of-speech tagging, and semantic role labeling to understand relationships and entities within textual annotations. While traditional transformation techniques are rigid and rule-based, modern approaches are increasingly incorporating NLP to allow more intuitive and flexible mappings based on semantic understanding of model elements.

• Multimodal Learning for Plant Growth Systems.Recent advancements in multimodal deep learning have led to the integration of multiple data types — such as images, tabular sensor data, and text annotations — within a single framework. Vision Transformers (ViTs), Multimodal BERT, and other attention-based models have been used to align visual and textual information, improving prediction accuracy and interpretability. Such systems support the generation of human-readable feedback (e.g., "Plant height is below average growth for Day 25") by mapping quantitative outputs to descriptive language, which is particularly useful in automated monitoring and user alert systems.

In summary, deep learning and NLP have shown strong potential in automating plant growth analysis using image data. Unlike sensor-based methods, our approach focuses on a software-only solution. Existing works support the feasibility of combining vision and language models for smart, interpretable monitoring.

VII. CONCLUSION

This review has examined a purely software-based plant growth monitoring system that combines the capabilities of deep learning and natural language processing (NLP). Unlike conventional systems that depend on hardware sensors or physical measurements, this approach operates solely on user-uploaded plant images and automated text interpretation. By using advanced computer vision techniques to analyze plant characteristics such as height, color, and structure, the system offers a non-invasive, cost-effective, and accessible alternative for tracking plant development. NLP modules then translate the model's predictions into user-friendly, descriptive feedback, making complex growth data understandable even to non-technical users.Throughout this work, we analyzed the differences between traditional model-to-model transformation techniques and the advantages offered by modern deep learning architectures, such as transformers. NLP plays a crucial role in bridging the gap between raw model outputs and human interpretation—whether by extracting key phrases, identifying semantic relationships, or generating context-aware feedback. The ability to interpret plant growth trends linguistically and visually supports a more holistic analysis, eliminating the need for manual data interpretation or real-time sensor input. As a result, the system can assist researchers, students, and agricultural practitioners in gaining quick, accurate insights into plant health without requiring specialized equipment.

In conclusion, this software-only approach demonstrates a scalable, intelligent solution for plant monitoring—paving the way for smarter, more inclusive agricultural technologies that rely entirely on image processing and automated language understanding.

REFERENCES

[1] Akila, I. S., Sivakumar, A., Swaminathan, S. (2017, March). Automation in plant growth monitoring using high-precision image classification and virtual height measurement techniques. In 2017 International Conference on Innovations in Information, Embedded and Communication Systems (ICIIECS) (pp. 1-4). IEEE. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8275862

ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 5, Issue 5, June 2025
Impact Factor: 7.67

[2] Zhao, J., Zhong, Y., Shu, H., Zhang, L. (2016). High-resolution image classification integrating spectral-spatial-location cues by conditional random fields. IEEE transactions on image processing, 25(9), 40334045. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7486129

[3] Georgescu, F. A., Vaduva, C., Raducanu, D., Datcu, M. (2016). Feature extraction for patch-based classification of multispectral earth observation images. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 13(6), 865-869.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7458845

[4] Shih, H. C., Liu, E. R. (2016). Automatic reference color selection for adaptive mathematical morphology and application in image segmentation. IEEE transactions on Image Processing, 25(10), 46654676.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7502090

[5] Moser, G., Serpico, S. B., Benediktsson, J. A. (2012). Land-cover mapping by Markov modeling of spatial–contextual information in veryhigh-resolution remote sensing images. Proceedings of the IEEE, 101(3), 631-651. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6304904

[6] Zhong, Y., Zhu, Q., Zhang, L. (2015). Scene classification based on the multifeature fusion probabilistic topic model for high spatial resolution remote sensing imagery. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 53(11), 6207-6222. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1323134

[7] Paola, J. D., Schowengerdt, R. A. (2002). A detailed comparison of backpropagation neural network and maximumlikelihood classifiers for urban land use classification. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and remote sensing, 33(4), 981996.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/406684

[8] Tao, D., Li, X., Wu, X., Maybank, S. J. (2008). Geometric mean for subspace selection. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 31(2), 260-274. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4479477

[9] Zhou, T., Tao, D. (2012). Double shrinking sparse dimension reduction. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 22(1), 244-257. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6212355

[10] Fauvel, M., Tarabalka, Y., Benediktsson, J. A., Chanussot, J., Tilton, J. C. (2012). Advances in spectral-spatial classification of hyperspectral images. Proceedings of the IEEE, 101(3), 652675.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6297992

[11] Schindler, K. (2012). An overview and comparison of smooth labeling methods for land-cover classification. IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing, 50(11), 45344545.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6198886

[12] Kang, X., Li, S., Benediktsson, J. A. (2013). Spectral–spatial hyperspectral image classification with edge-preserving filtering. IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing, 52(5), 26662677.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6553593

[13] Kang, X., Li, S., Fang, L., Li, M., Benediktsson, J. A. (2014). Extended random walker-based classification of hyperspectral images. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 53(1), 144153.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6815639

[14] Zhong, Y., Zhao, B., Zhang, L. (2013). Multiagent objectbased classifier for high spatial resolution imagery. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 52(2), 841857.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6494281

[15] Tarabalka, Y., Fauvel, M., Chanussot, J., Benediktsson, J. A. (2010). SVM-and MRF-based method for accurate classification of hyperspectral images. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 7(4), 736740.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5464269

[16] Li, J., Bioucas-Dias, J. M., Plaza, A. (2011). Hyperspectral image segmentation using a new Bayesian approach with active learning. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 49(10), 39473960.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5766734

[17] Zhang, G., Jia, X. (2012). Simplified conditional random fields with class boundary constraint for spectral-spatial based remote sensing image classification. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 9(5), 856-860.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6158579

[18] Zhong, P., Wang, R. (2010). Learning conditional random fields for classification of hyperspectral images. IEEE transactions on image processing, 19(7), 1890-1907.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5431025

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-27765

[19] Zhong, P., Wang, R. (2010). Modeling and classifying hyperspectral imagery by CRFs with sparse higher order potentials. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 49(2), 688705.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5565443

[20] Zhong, Y., Zhao, J., Zhang, L. (2014). A hybrid objectoriented conditional random field classification framework for high spatial resolution remote sensing imagery. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 52(11), 70237037.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6762969

[21] Zhao, J., Zhong, Y., Zhang, L. (2014). Detail-preserving smoothing classifier based on conditional random fields for high spatial resolution remote sensing imagery. IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing, 53(5), 2440-2452.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4242402

[22] Villa, A., Benediktsson, J. A., Chanussot, J., Jutten, C. (2011). Hyperspectral image classification with independent component discriminant analysis. IEEE transactions on Geoscience and remote sensing, 49(12), 4865-4876.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5942156

[23] Landgrebe, D. A., Serpico, S. B., Crawford, M. M., Singhroy, V. (2001). Introduction to the special issue on analysis of hyperspectral image data. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 39(7), 13431345.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/934066

[24] Jackson, Q., Landgrebe, D. A. (2001). An adaptive classifier design for high-dimensional data analysis with a limited training data set. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 39(12), 26642679.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/975001

[25] Camps-Valls, G., Bruzzone, L. (2005). Kernel-based methods for hyperspectral image classification. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 43(6), 13511362.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1433032