

# **A Critical Study on Fundamental Principles on Space Law**

**Pavithra. G<sup>1</sup> and Priya. K<sup>2</sup>**

**BA.,LLB (HONS), 4th Year<sup>1,2</sup>**

Hindustan School of Law, Hindustan Institution of Technology and Science (HITS), Chennai  
pavigovind12@gmail.com and priyashan0123@gmail.com

**Abstract:** *Nuclear power sources (NPS) for use in outer space have been developed and used in space applications where unique mission requirements and constraints on electrical power and thermal management precluded the use of non-nuclear power sources. Such missions have included interplanetary missions to the outer limits of the Solar System, for which solar panels were not suitable as a source of electrical power because of the long duration of these missions at great distances from the Sun. According to current knowledge and capabilities, space NPS are the only viable energy option to power some space missions and significantly enhance others. Several ongoing and foreseeable missions would not be possible without the use of space NPS. The objective of the paper is to study about the fundamental principles on space law. The research methodology used in the paper is empirical and doctrinal method. The important findings in the paper are the people neutral about the fundamental rights for space law is legally valid. The paper concludes that Past, present and foreseeable space NPS applications include radioisotope power systems (for example, radioisotope thermoelectric generators and radioisotope heater units) and nuclear reactor systems for power and propulsion. The presence of radioactive materials or nuclear fuels in space NPS and their consequent potential for harm to people and the environment in Earth's biosphere due to an accident require that safety should always be an inherent part of the design and application of space NPS applications, through the dispatch, activity and end-of-administration stages, are profoundly unique in relation to the conditions for earthbound applications.*

**Keywords:** Nuclear power, guidance, environment, thermoelectric generators, power systems

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Nuclear power sources (NPS) for use in external space have been created and utilized on shuttle where one of a kind crucial and imperatives on electrical power and warm administration blocked the utilization of non-atomic power sources.(Scharf) Such missions have included interplanetary missions to the external furthest reaches of the Solar System, for which sun powered boards were not appropriate as a wellspring of electrical power as a result of the long term of the strategic huge spans from the Sun.

Past, present and predictable space NPS applications incorporate radioisotope control frameworks (counting radioisotope thermoelectric generators and radio-isotope warmer units) and atomic reactor frameworks for power and propulsion.(Devlin)Space NPS have empowered a few continuous missions. As indicated by current information and capacities, space NPS are the main suitable vitality choice to control some predictable space missions and altogether improve others.(Maluwa) Both typical working and potential mishap conditions for space NPS applications, through the dispatch, activity and end-of-administration stages, are profoundly unique in relation to the conditions for earthbound applications. The dispatch and space situations make altogether different wellbeing structure and operational criteria for space NPS.(Goh) Moreover, space crucial lead to one of a kind strategic structures for space NPS, rocket, dispatch frameworks and mission activities.



**SCOPE**

The Safety Framework for Nuclear Power Source Applications in Outer Space centers around security for pertinent dispatch, activity and end-of-administration periods of room NPS applications.(Lyll and Larsen) Elevated level direction is accommodated both automatic and specialized parts of wellbeing, including the plan and use of room NPS. Be that as it may, point by point use of this direction relies upon the specific structure and application. Usage of the direction gave in the Safety Framework would enhance existing guidelines that spread different parts of room NPS applications.

**SAFETY OBJECTIVE**

The fundamental safety objective is to protect people and the environment in Earth's biosphere from potential hazards associated with relevant launch, operation and end-of-service phases of space nuclear power source applications.(Sandeepa Bhat)

**GUIDANCE FOR GOVERNMENTS**

This segment gives direction to governments and significant universal intergovernmental associations (for example local space organizations) that authorize, approve or direct space NPS missions. Administrative obligations incorporate building up wellbeing arrangements, prerequisites and procedures; guaranteeing consistence with those strategies, necessities and procedures; guaranteeing that there is satisfactory legitimization for utilizing a space NPS when weighed against different other options; setting up a proper crucial approval process; and getting ready for and reacting to crises.(Leepuengtham) For worldwide or multi authoritative missions, overseeing instruments ought to characterize unmistakably the portion of these responsibilities.Safety arrangements, necessities and procedures Governments that approve or affirm space atomic power source missions ought to set up wellbeing approaches, prerequisites and procedures. Governments and significant universal intergovernmental associations that approve or support space NPS missions, regardless of whether such missions are led by legislative offices or by non-administrative elements, ought to set up and guarantee consistence with their individual well being arrangements, prerequisites and procedures to fulfill the major security objective and satisfy their wellbeing requirements.

**MISSION LAUNCH AUTHORIZATION**

A mission launch authorization process for space nuclear power source applications should be established and sustained.The government that oversees and authorizes the launch operations for space NPS missions should establish a mission launch authorization process focused on nuclear safety aspects.(Pearson and Riley) The process should include an evaluation of all relevant information and considerations from other participating organizations. The mission launch authorization process should supplement the authorization processes covering non nuclear and terrestrial aspects of launch safety. An independent safety evaluation (i.e. a review, independent of the management organization conducting the mission, of the adequacy and validity of the safety case) should be an integral part of the authorization process.(Simpson and Weeden) The independent safety evaluation should consider the entire space NPS application including the space NPS, spacecraft, launch system, mission design and flight rules in assessing the risk to people and the environment from relevant launch, operation and end-of-service phases of the space mission.(Kayser)Emergency preparedness and response Preparations should be made to respond to potential emergencies involving a space nuclear power source.

**GUIDANCE FOR MANAGEMENT**

This section provides guidance for the management of the organizations involved in space NPS applications. In the context of the Safety Framework, management should comply with governmental and relevant intergovernmental safety policies, requirements and processes to satisfy the fundamental safety objective.(National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine et al.) Management responsibilities include accepting prime responsibility for safety, ensuring the availability of adequate resources for safety and promoting and sustaining a robust safety culture at all organizational levels.(Keiter)



### **RESPONSIBILITY FOR SAFETY**

The prime duty regarding security should rest with the association that leads the space atomic power source mission. The association that directs the space NPS strategic the prime obligation regarding wellbeing. (Zheng et al.) That association ought to incorporate, or have formal game plans with, every significant member in the crucial (supplier, dispatch vehicle supplier, NPS supplier, dispatch site supplier and so on.) for fulfilling the wellbeing prerequisites built up for the space NPS application. (Alizander et al.) Explicit security duties regarding the executives ought to incorporate the following:

- (a) Establishing and keeping up the important specialized skills;
- (b) Providing sufficient preparing and data to every single pertinent member;
- (c) Establishing techniques to advance security under all sensibly predictable conditions;
- (d) Developing explicit wellbeing prerequisites, as fitting, for missions that utilization space NPS;
- (e) Performing and recording security tests and investigations as contribution to the administrative crucial approval process;
- (f) Considering tenable contradicting sees on security matters;
- (g) Providing pertinent, precise and auspicious data to people in general.

### **LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT FOR SAFETY**

Successful leadership and the board for security ought to be built up and continued in the association that directs the space atomic power source mission. Leadership in wellbeing matters ought to be exhibited at the most significant levels in the association that leads the mission. (Chen et al.) The executives of wellbeing ought to be coordinated with the general administration of the mission. The executives ought to create, actualize and keep up a wellbeing society that guarantees security and fulfills the necessities of the administrative crucial approval process. The wellbeing society ought to incorporate the accompanying:

- (a) Clear lines of power, obligation and correspondence;
- (b) Active input and nonstop improvement;
- (c) Individual and aggregate responsibility to security at all hierarchical levels;
- (d) Safety responsibility of the association and of people at all levels;
- (e) A scrutinizing and learning demeanor to demoralize carelessness as to security.

Specialized guidance. This area gives specialized direction to associations engaged with space NPS applications. This direction is relevant to the plan, improvement and mission periods of room NPS applications. (Lin et al.)

### **TECHNICAL COMPETENCE IN NUCLEAR SAFETY**

Specialized fitness in atomic wellbeing ought to be built up and kept up for space atomic power source applications. Having specialized skill in atomic security is fundamental for fulfilling the security objective. From the most punctual point in the improvement of a space NPS application, associations ought to set up, steady with their obligations, atomic security configuration, test and examination capacities, including qualified people and offices, as fitting. Those abilities ought to be kept up for the length of the applicable periods of the space NPS missions. (Bieder et al.)

### **SAFETY IN DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT**

Design and development processes should provide the highest level of safety that can reasonably be achieved. The underlying approach to satisfying the safety objective should be to reduce the risks from normal operations and potential accidents to as low a level as is reasonably achievable by establishing comprehensive design and development processes that integrate safety considerations in the context of the entire space NPS application (i.e. space NPS, spacecraft, launch system, mission design and flight rules) (International Atomic Energy Agency)



## **RISK ASSESSMENTS**

Hazard evaluations ought to be led to describe the radiation dangers to individuals and the environment. The radiation dangers to individuals and nature from potential mishaps during significant dispatch, activity and end-of-administration periods of room NPS applications ought to be surveyed and vulnerabilities measured to the degree conceivable. Hazard evaluations are fundamental for the strategic approval process. (*Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*)

**The aim of the research paper is to provide high-level guidance in the form of a model safety framework.**

## **OBJECTIVES**

To study on Developing specific safety requirements, To study on the overall system involved in conducting a space mission.

## **HYPOTHESIS :**

**HO:** There is no significant relation between the Fundamental Principles and Conduct of space activities.

**HA:** There is significant relation between the Fundamental Principles and Conduct of space activities.

## **II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

The Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space is the forum for the development of international space law. The Committee has concluded five international treaties and five sets of principles on space-related activities. These five treaties deal with issues such as the non-appropriation of outer space by any one country, arms control, the freedom of exploration, liability for damage caused by space objects, the safety and rescue of spacecraft and astronauts, the prevention of harmful interference with space activities and the environment, the notification and registration of space activities, scientific investigation and the exploitation of natural resources in outer space and the settlement of disputes. Each of the treaties stresses the notion that outer space, the activities carried out in outer space and whatever benefits might be accrued from outer space should be devoted to enhancing the well-being of all countries and humankind, with an emphasis on promoting international cooperation. The exploration and use of outer space shall be carried on for the benefit and in the interests of all mankind. Outer space and celestial bodies are free for exploration and use by all States on a basis of equality and in accordance with international law. Outer space and celestial bodies are not subject to national appropriation by claim of sovereignty, by means of use or occupation, or by any other means. The activities of States in the exploration and use of outer space shall be carried on in accordance with international law, including the Charter of the United Nations, in the interest of maintaining international peace and security and promoting international co-operation and understanding. States bear international responsibility for national activities in outer space, whether carried on by governmental agencies or by non-governmental entities, and for assuring that national activities are carried on in conformity with the principles set forth in the present Declaration. The activities of non-governmental entities in outer space shall require authorization and continuing supervision by the State concerned. When activities are carried on in outer space by an international organization, responsibility for compliance with the principles set forth in this Declaration shall be borne by the international organization and by the States participating in it. In the exploration and use of outer space States shall be guided by the principle of co-operation and mutual assistance and shall conduct all their activities in outer space with due regard for the corresponding interests of other States. If a State has reason to believe that an outer space activity or experiment planned by it or its nationals would cause potentially harmful interference with activities of other States in the peaceful exploration and use of outer space, it shall undertake appropriate international consultations before proceeding with any such activity or experiment. A State which has reason to believe that an outer space activity or experiment planned by another State would cause potentially harmful interference with activities in the peaceful exploration and use of outer space may request consultation concerning the activity or experiment. The State on whose registry an object launched into outer space is carried shall retain jurisdiction and control over such object, and any personnel thereon, while in outer space. Ownership of objects launched into outer space, and of their component parts, is not affected by their passage through outer space or by their return to the earth. Such objects or component parts found beyond the limits of the State of registry shall be returned to that State, which shall furnish identifying data upon request prior to return. Each State



which launches or procures the launching of an object into outer space, and each State from whose territory or facility an object is launched, is internationally liable for damage to a foreign State or to its natural or juridical persons by such object or its component parts on the earth, in air space, or in outer space. States shall regard astronauts as envoys of mankind in outer space, and shall render to them all possible assistance in the event of accident, distress, or emergency landing on the territory of a foreign State or on the high seas. Astronauts who make such a landing shall be safely and promptly returned to the State of registry of their space vehicle.

### III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This paper used both primary and secondary information which are collected from the general public through the simple random sampling method. The research paper is done in both doctrinal and non-doctrinal method. The questions related to the was also taken into account. The survey was limited to 1175 samples because of the time constraint. The primary sources of information are taken from the books and statutes and secondary sources of information are taken from the articles of the journals, working papers, thesis and presentation papers. The dependent variable is whether the fundamental rights for space law is legally valid. the independent variable is age. The analysis of the survey is done by using chi-square.

### IV. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

#### FREQUENCY TABLE

**TABLE 1**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 11-20	240	20.4	20.4	20.4
21-45	670	57.0	57.0	77.4
46-60	252	21.4	21.4	98.9
above 60	13	1.1	1.1	100.0
Total	1175	100.0	100.0	

From the above table it is understood that age group between 11 and 20 are of frequency 240 and percent of 20.4 and valid percent of 20.4 and cumulative percent of 20.4. age group between 21 and 45 are of frequency 670 and percent of 57 and valid percent of 57 and cumulative percent of 77.4. The age group between 46 and 60 are of frequency 252 and percent of 21.4 and valid percent of 21.4 and cumulative percent of 98.9. the age group above 60 are of frequency 13 and percent of 1.1 and valid percent of 1.1 and cumulative percent of 100.

**TABLE 2**  
**CROSS TABLE**

		whether the fundamental rights for space law is legally valid?					Total
		Agree	Disagree	Neutral	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	
2.Age	11-20	70	40	50	28	52	240
	21-45	130	151	267	67	55	670
	46-60	40	107	75	14	16	252
	above 60	3	0	4	0	6	13
Total		243	298	396	109	129	1175





From the above table it is understood that people between the age group of 11 and 20 among 240, 70 agree and 40 disagree and 50 neutral and 28 strongly agree and 52 strongly disagree that fundamental rights for space law is legally valid. people between the age group of 21 and 45 among 670, 130 agree and 151 disagree and 267 neutral and 67 strongly agree and 55 strongly disagree that fundamental rights for space law is legally valid. people between the age group of 46 and 60 among 252, 40 agree and 107 disagree and 75 neutral and 14 strongly agree and 16 strongly disagree that fundamental rights for space law is legally valid. people above 60 among 13, 3 agree and 0 disagree and 4 neutral and 0 strongly agree and 6 strongly disagree that fundamental rights for space law is legally valid.

**TABLE 3**  
**CHI SQUARE TABLE**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	44.828 <sup>a</sup>	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	46.586	12	.000
N of Valid Cases	1175		

a. 4 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

From the above table it is clear that the null hypothesis is rejected and alternate hypothesis is accepted.

## V. DISCUSSION

Throughout the analysis, it is clear that the core substance for the continuation of the peaceful space exploration and exploitation is the international cooperation, but the Space Law is bifurcating, a development which emerged mainly from the commercial uses of space. In order to tackle this issue, the enactment and harmonization of domestic space legislation are essential to the creation of a secure environment for space activities regarding the legislative framework applicable to them. Domestic legislation should, however, be harmonized, following the developments of International Space Law. Ensuring the applicability of the Outer Space Treaty could also be a step in the right direction, since it will provide a common legal foundation for all states and enhancement of compliance.

## VI. CONCLUSION

As humans increase their presence in outer space, the law that govern human activities in that environment is becoming increasingly relevant and important to both States and the commercial sector. In this context, this analysis focused to provide a clear understanding of the international space regime that has emerged from within the United Nations. The OST has a critical role in this regime, which sets out the most fundamental principles and policies adopted by the international community to govern human activities in outer space and is the basis upon which all other instruments have been developed. (Weeks) However, the technological development has enabled space activities and private operators, which have not been integrated into the existing legal framework. This development raises the need for some international regulatory and policy changes with a view to maintaining orderly and peaceful space exploration and exploitation. Among the most significant challenges that the international community will face in the coming years are commercialization of outer space along with the space debris. Other issues, such as property rights to outer space resources, will grow in importance as the space technology and its applications matures. Furthermore, it is of essence to preserve the long-term sustainability of space activities even through the adoption of non-binding norms, since the fulfillment of the numerous issues, such as environmental concerns, is a challenging task. At last, it is worth noting that there is a strong need to strike a balance between the need to revise and reform the treaties and the preservation of matters that are at present apparently secure. Alternately, the possibility of the absence of a general agreement might lead the existing framework to fall apart.



# REFERENCES

- [1]. Alizander, Sher, et al. "Redefining Confined Space Safety: A Case Study." *SPE International Conference and Exhibition on Health, Safety, Security, Environment, and Social Responsibility*, 2016, doi:10.2118/179481-ms.
- [2]. Bieder, Corinne, et al. *Beyond Safety Training: Embedding Safety in Professional Skills*. Springer, 2017.
- [3]. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 1970.
- [4]. Chen, Silu, et al. "Corrigendum: Spiritual Leadership on Proactive Workplace Behavior: The Role of Organizational Identification and Psychological Safety." *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 10, Sept. 2019, p. 2056.
- [5]. Devlin, Alan. *Fundamental Principles of Law and Economics*. Routledge, 2014.
- [6]. Goh, Gérardine Meishan. *Dispute Settlement in International Space Law: A Multi-Door Courthouse for Outer Space*. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2007.
- [7]. International Atomic Energy Agency. *Safety of Nuclear Power Plants: Design*. 2012.
- [8]. Kayser, V. *Launching Space Objects: Issues of Liability and Future Prospects*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [9]. Keiter, Robert B. *To Conserve Unimpaired: The Evolution of the National Park Idea*. Island Press, 2013.
- [10]. Leepuengtham, Tosaporn. *The Protection of Intellectual Property Rights in Outer Space Activities*. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2017.

