

Relevance of Percentile and Gradation System in Today's Era

Dr. Sheetal Nafde¹, Sanjana Bedi², Janhvi Thombre³

Assistant Professor, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies and Research, Nagpur¹

B.Com (Computer Application)-NEP –SEM I, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies and Research, Nagpur^{2,3}
sheeddhi@gmail.com

Abstract: *This study critically examines the relevance of traditional evaluation methods—such as percentile rankings and letter grades—in today's evolving educational and professional landscape. While these systems historically offered standardized assessment, they now appear inadequate in measuring creativity, emotional intelligence, and real-world skills. Based on surveys from 300 students, educators, and employers across five Indian universities, and supported by global educational reports, the research highlights growing dissatisfaction with conventional grading. Findings reveal significant academic stress, limited skill recognition, and a disconnect from job market expectations. A paired sample t-test ($t(299) = 4.26, p < 0.01$) confirms that alternative assessments—like project-based and portfolio evaluations—lead to better academic and developmental outcomes. The study concludes that while traditional grading remains useful for standardization, it should be integrated into a broader, hybrid model. Recommendations include policy reform, teacher training, and greater stakeholder awareness to foster more inclusive, skill-based, and student-centric education systems..*

Keywords: Grading system, percentile ranking, student development, educational evaluation, academic stress, holistic education, skill-based learning, project-based assessment, education reform

I. INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving educational and professional field, the relevance of traditional evaluation methods such as percentile and gradation systems has become a subject of critical scrutiny. Historically, these systems have been pivotal in providing standardized academic assessment and comparison among students. However, the rise of holistic, skills-based education and the increasing importance of socio-emotional intelligence challenge their sufficiency. With mounting pressure on learners and growing emphasis on lifelong learning and creativity, it becomes essential to reassess whether percentile rankings and letter grades continue to serve educational and societal needs effectively.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To evaluate the current significance of percentile and gradation systems in academic and professional settings.
- To examine the psychological and behavioural impact of these systems on students.
- To compare traditional evaluation methods with modern alternatives.
- To offer suggestions for more comprehensive and equitable assessment models.

III. HYPOTHESIS

H₀ (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant difference in educational outcomes or student development between traditional percentile/gradation systems and modern alternative assessment methods.

H₁ (Alternative Hypothesis): Alternative assessment methods offer more comprehensive evaluation and lead to better educational and developmental outcomes compared to traditional percentile and grading systems.



IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Data Collection

- **Primary data** was collected via structured surveys distributed to 300 participants, including students, teachers, and academic counsellors across five universities in India.
- **Secondary data** was drawn from educational journals, reports from OECD, UNESCO, and studies published in peer-reviewed education journals.

4.2 Data Analysis

- Responses were analyzed using SPSS for descriptive statistics.
- A paired sample t-test was conducted to compare perceived effectiveness of traditional and alternative assessment systems.

V. FINDINGS AND HYPOTHESIS TESTING

5.1 Descriptive Statistics and Observations

The study involved 300 participants across various academic and professional backgrounds. The following key insights were gathered:

a. Student Perspective (n = 150)

68% of students admitted experiencing **moderate to high stress** due to the pressure of achieving high percentiles.

52% stated that the percentile system discouraged **collaborative learning**, as it often pits peers against one another.

61% felt that **grades do not reflect their full range of capabilities**, especially in areas like creativity, communication, and problem-solving.

b. Educator Perspective (n = 100)

72% of faculty members agreed that the current grading systems fail to measure **21st-century skills** such as adaptability, critical thinking, and teamwork.

48% indicated they felt **pressured to conform** to standardized testing and grading curves even when alternative assessments could be more insightful.

Many educators noted that **grade inflation** has become a challenge, distorting academic merit and evaluation fairness.

c. Employer Perspective (n = 50)

65% of employers mentioned they are less interested in applicants' GPA or percentile ranks, and more in their **portfolios, internships, and communication skills**.

Employers found that **academic grades often fail to predict job performance**, especially in roles requiring creativity or interpersonal engagement.

5.2 Hypothesis Testing

To statistically validate the hypothesis, a **paired sample t-test** was applied to compare the effectiveness of traditional grading systems versus alternative assessments (e.g., project-based, peer-reviewed, and portfolio evaluations) based on student performance metrics:

Variables Measured:

- Academic performance
- Creativity
- Engagement
- Stress levels
- Confidence in learning

Results:

- $t(299) = 4.26$



- $p\text{-value} < 0.01$

This test reveals that students performed **significantly better**—both academically and emotionally—under **alternative assessment systems** compared to traditional grading.

Interpretation:

The **null hypothesis (H_0)** that there is no significant difference is **rejected**.

The **alternative hypothesis (H_1)** is accepted: **modern assessment methods provide better outcomes** in key areas like understanding, motivation, and overall student development.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study underscores a critical reality: while the **percentile and gradation systems** have historically provided a foundation for evaluating academic achievement, they are increasingly **misaligned with modern educational goals**.

Key Conclusions:

Traditional systems focus heavily on memorization and test-taking abilities.

They fail to capture broader dimensions like creativity, emotional intelligence, and collaborative ability.

Grading systems have been linked with high levels of **academic stress, anxiety, and even burnout**, particularly in high-pressure environments such as competitive exams.

Students often **modify their learning styles to match testing formats** rather than exploring subjects deeply.

This discourages intellectual curiosity and risk-taking, which are essential in a knowledge-driven society.

Employers increasingly value **skills and experiences** that are not reflected in grades or percentile ranks.

The rise of project-based hiring, hackathons, and digital portfolios suggests a growing gap between academia and industry expectations.

Leading international education models (e.g., Finland, IB curriculum) are moving toward **holistic assessments**, project-based learning, and continuous feedback.

These systems have demonstrated **higher student satisfaction and better life outcomes**.

Final Thought

Percentile and gradation systems still serve important purposes, especially in large-scale admissions and standardization processes. However, their role must evolve from being the **sole measure of merit** to one of many **complementary tools** within a more **inclusive, skill-driven, and student-centric education system**.

VII. SUGGESTIONS

- **Hybrid Assessment Models:** Institutions should combine percentile/grading systems with qualitative evaluations.
- **Policy Reform:** National education policies should promote alternative assessments to ensure equitable learning.
- **Teacher Training:** Equip educators with the skills to implement and assess through non-traditional methods.
- **Student Support:** Incorporate emotional and mental health support to counter grade-induced stress.
- **Stakeholder Awareness:** Educate parents, employers, and universities about the limitations of current systems.

REFERENCES

- [1]. OECD (2020). *Student Assessment: Measuring Progress and Informing Practice*. OECD Publishing.
- [2]. UNESCO (2021). *Futures of Education: Learning to Become*. Paris: UNESCO.
- [3]. Kohn, A. (2011). *The Case Against Grades*. Educational Leadership, 69(3), 28–33.
- [4]. Andrade, H. & Brookhart, S. (2016). *The Role of Classroom Assessment in Student Learning*. Harvard Education Press.



- [5]. Chappuis, J. (2014). *Seven Strategies of Assessment for Learning*. Pearson.
- [6]. Kumar, R. (2022). *Evaluation Systems in Indian Higher Education: A Critical Review*. International Journal of Education Policy, 9(2), 112–130.

