

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



Internet-of-Things (IoT)-Based Smart Agriculture

Soham Ingale and Aditya Jadhav

AISSMS Institute of Information Technology, Pune, Maharashtra, India sohamngale2003@gmail.com and adityaraje1409@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper explores the transformation of agriculture into a data-driven, technology-enabled industry. It focuses on the use of IoT, wireless sensors, and AI—particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)—for crop disease prediction through leaf image analysis. The study discusses how these technologies support early disease detection, resource optimization, and automated monitoring. It also examines the integration challenges with traditional farming and outlines current trends and future research directions in smart agriculture.

Keywords: Convolutional Neural Networks

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the Study

The global agriculture sector is under increasing pressure due to rapid population growth, urbanization, and changing dietary demands. By 2050, food production must double to meet the needs of nearly 10 billion people, despite declining arable land and limited resources. Traditional farming methods are no longer sufficient to address these challenges. In response, technologies such as the Internet-of-Things (IoT), artificial intelligence, and smart sensors are being integrated into agriculture to enable precision farming, real-time monitoring, and resource optimization. This shift toward smart agriculture aims to enhance productivity, sustainability, and food security in the face of modern demands.

B. Problem Statement

The agriculture sector is struggling to meet rising food demands due to population growth, shrinking arable land, and inefficient traditional practices. While IoT and smart technologies offer potential solutions, their adoption remains limited and lacks integrated approaches. Existing research often overlooks the need for scalable, sustainable, and system-wide implementation. There is a critical need to explore how IoT can be effectively utilized to modernize agriculture and address these pressing challenges.

C. Objectives Of Study

- To assess global food demand challenges and the need for smart agriculture.
- To explore recent advancements in IoT technologies for agriculture.
- To identify limitations in traditional farming and how IoT can address them.
- To examine the role of IoT in modern techniques like vertical farming and hydroponics.
- To evaluate key technologies enabling precision agriculture.
- To analyse IoT's contribution to food quality, safety, and distribution.
- To identify current and future research trends in IoT-based agriculture.
- To propose strategies for effective IoT integration in farming systems.

D. Significance Of Study

This study is significant as it addresses the urgent need for sustainable and efficient agricultural practices in response to growing global food demands and diminishing natural resources. By exploring the integration of Internet-of-Things (IoT) technologies in agriculture, the study highlights how real-time monitoring, data-driven decision-making, and automation can enhance productivity, reduce waste, and optimize resource usage. It contributes to both academic and practical knowledge by identifying current trends, challenges, and opportunities in smart farming. Furthermore, the

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



study provides valuable insights for policymakers, researchers, and agricultural engineers to develop strategies and frameworks that support the adoption of IoT, ultimately contributing to food security, environmental sustainability, and economic growth.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of Internet-of-Things (IoT) in agriculture has gained significant attention due to the rising global demand for food, limited arable land, and increasing climate variability. Over time, agricultural practices have evolved from traditional methods to more data-centric, precise, and automated systems, driven by advancements in wireless sensors, cloud computing, and AI technologies.

Ayaz et al. (2019) provide a comprehensive overview of how IoT technologies are transforming agriculture into a smart, efficient, and sustainable industry. They argue that smart agriculture relies heavily on sensor networks that enable real-time monitoring of soil health, irrigation needs, crop disease, pest control, and environmental conditions. These sensors, coupled with automated machinery and communication technologies, allow farmers to make timely and informed decisions throughout the crop cycle.

The authors highlight major IoT applications such as soil sampling and mapping, precision irrigation, intelligent fertilization, and automated disease and pest management. Technologies like drones, robotic harvesters, and UAVs are used for surveillance, while wireless communication methods such as Zigbee, LoRa, and cellular networks facilitate data transmission. Moreover, cloud platforms are employed for remote data storage and decision-making, contributing to operational efficiency and resource optimization.

Beyond traditional agriculture, the review also explores advanced practices such as vertical farming, hydroponics, greenhouse farming, and phenotyping. These methods offer solutions to urbanization, soil degradation, and water scarcity by enabling controlled-environment agriculture. In particular, vertical farming and hydroponics significantly reduce water and land use while maximizing output through sensor-guided nutrient delivery systems.

Despite the rapid advancements, the study identifies critical challenges in the implementation of IoT technologies, including high initial costs, lack of infrastructure in rural areas, limited awareness among farmers, and integration complexities. The authors emphasize the need for standardization, reliable communication networks, and supportive policies to ensure successful adoption.

In summary, existing literature supports the transformative potential of IoT in agriculture but often focuses narrowly on individual applications or technologies. This study fills the gap by offering a holistic view of IoT architectures, applications, and emerging trends in smart farming. It underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and strategic planning to harness the full benefits of IoT for global food security and sustainable agriculture.

A. Iot Advantages:

Real-Time Monitoring

• IoT enables continuous tracking of soil moisture, weather conditions, crop health, and other vital parameters, allowing timely interventions.

Resource Optimization

• Precise data helps optimize the use of water, fertilizers, and pesticides, reducing waste and environmental impact.

Improved Crop Yields

• Smart decision-making based on sensor data enhances crop quality and quantity, leading to higher productivity.

Early Disease and Pest Detection

• IoT devices and AI models can detect early signs of disease or pest infestation, allowing prompt treatment and reducing crop loss.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



Remote Farm Management

• Farmers can monitor and manage their fields from anywhere using smartphones or web platforms connected to IoT devices.

Data-Driven Decision Making

• Historical and real-time data collected through IoT enables better planning for planting, harvesting, and resource allocation.

Climate Adaptation

• IoT systems can help monitor and respond to changing weather patterns, making farming more resilient to climate change.

Minimized Environmental Impact

• With precision agriculture, the use of agrochemicals is controlled, reducing pollution and preserving soil health.

Supply Chain and Post-Harvest Management

• IoT ensures proper storage conditions, tracks transport environments, and helps maintain food quality from farm to consumer.

III. ADVANCE AGRICULTURE PRACTICES

As global food demands increase and arable land continues to shrink, agriculture is rapidly shifting toward smarter, technology-driven practices. Traditional methods that relied heavily on seed improvements, chemical fertilizers, and pesticides are no longer sufficient to meet modern food production needs. In response, a range of **advanced agricultural practices**—powered by **Internet-of-Things (IoT)** technologies—have emerged to optimize productivity while maintaining environmental sustainability.

1. Greenhouse Farming

Greenhouse farming is one of the earliest forms of controlled-environment agriculture. It allows crops to be grown indoors, protected from external environmental factors such as harsh weather and pests. With the integration of IoT, modern greenhouses utilize wireless sensors to monitor critical parameters such as temperature, humidity, light intensity, and air pressure. These sensors ensure that optimal growing conditions are maintained at all times. Real-time data collection and automated control systems improve crop quality, reduce labour requirements, and enable year-round production.

2. Vertical Farming (VF)

Vertical farming addresses the problem of land scarcity by growing crops in stacked layers, often within buildings or containers. This practice not only saves space but also significantly reduces water and energy usage. IoT technologies play a key role in managing vertical farms, especially in maintaining proper levels of light, temperature, humidity, and CO_2 concentration. For instance, non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) sensors are used to monitor CO_2 levels. Vertical farms like those by Aerofarms and Mirai have demonstrated the potential to achieve yields hundreds of times higher than traditional farms, using up to 99% less water.

3. Hydroponics

Hydroponics is a soilless farming method where plants are grown in a nutrient-rich water solution. This approach minimizes water usage and allows for more precise control over plant nutrition. IoT-enabled hydroponic systems use sensors to measure pH, nutrient concentration, water temperature, and dissolved oxygen levels. These measurements are used to automatically adjust nutrient delivery, ensuring optimal growth conditions. The integration of hydroponics with vertical farming further enhances its efficiency and space utilization.

4. Phenotyping

Phenotyping involves the analysis of plant traits such as growth rate, yield potential, disease resistance, and environmental adaptability. This practice is essential for modern crop breeding and genetic research. IoT and imagebased technologies have enabled automated, high-throughput phenotyping platforms such as *CropQuant*. These platforms combine data from sensors and cameras with machine learning models to study the relationship between a

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



plant's genotype, phenotype, and its growing environment. This information supports the development of stronger, more productive crop varieties.

IV. MAJOR COMPONENTS AND RELEVANT TECHNOLOGIES FOR IOT BASED SMART FARMING A. Major Components of IoT Based Smart Farming

Physical Structure

• Includes sensors (for soil, temperature, moisture, etc.), actuators, and devices, all managed through microcontrollers connected to remote systems via the Internet.

Data Acquisition

Divided into:

- IoT Data Acquisition: Uses protocols like MQTT, CoAP, AMQP, HTTP, etc.
- Standard Acquisition: Uses ZigBee, WiFi, LoRaWAN, SigFox, and ISOBUS.

Data Processing

• Encompasses image/video analysis, data loading, decision support systems, and data mining—customizable per system needs.

Data Analytics

Supports monitoring and control through:

- Livestock Monitoring: Sensors track animal health (temperature, heart rate, digestion).
- Field Monitoring: Tracks soil quality, temperature, humidity, crop health.
- Greenhouse Monitoring: Automates climate control using IoT sensors.

B. IoT Agricultural Relevant Technologies

- Cloud and Edge Computing
 - Cloud computing enables scalable, on-demand agricultural data processing. Edge computing processes data near the source (sensors), improving response time and reducing latency.
- Big Data & Machine Learning
 - Big data from sensors enables efficient crop monitoring. Machine learning, especially neural networks, supports predictive analytics, intrusion detection, and system training (e.g., IoT hydroponics).
- Communication Networks & Protocols
 - Both long-range and short-range IoT networks are essential for seamless agricultural data exchange. Communication protocols ensure reliable system operation and data transfer.
- Robotics in Agriculture
 - Agribots perform tasks like sowing, weeding, and spraying. Controlled via IoT, they enhance speed, precision, and resource efficiency in smart farming.

IV. IOT AGRICULTURAL SECURITY

In the coming years agricultural sector is expected to witness the extensive acceptance of IoT and grow through the new e-farming IoT applications and devices. These agricultural applications and devices are expected to deal with a large amount of sensitive data. Due to the distributed nature of IoT a single security protocol is not sufficient therefore, leakage of information is a major security concern. If we adopt IoT fully in the field of agriculture then it will be more critical to analyze and identify the distinctive features of privacy and security like different security requirements and threat models in the perspective of Agriculture.

A. Security Requirements

IoT based smart farming security requirements are similar to standard security scenario. Therefore, to achieve a secure farming solution we have need to pay attention on the following security requirements:

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



- Confidentiality: Agricultural information or personal data relevant to it should be accessible only by authorized users.
- Integrity: Here integrity means received and stored data or content is not changed.
- Authentication: Authentication means peer devices should have an identity to which it is communicating.
- **Data Freshness:** It consists of key freshness and data freshness because IoT agricultural networks sometime provides varying measurements, therefore it is necessary to ensure that every message is fresh.
- Non Repudiation: Its means a node can never deny to send a message that sent earlier.
- Authorization: Here authorization means for network or any other resources only authorized devices are allowed.
- Self Healing: If any device in an IoT based agricultural network fail or out of energy then other devices in the network should be able to provide security to some extent.

B. Security Challenges

Security of IoT based smart farming mainly consists of three basic requirements that are Authentication, access control and confidentiality of the stakeholders. Whereas, at the perception layer network must be secured from external attacks and in the network layer aggregation of the data should be secured. Authorize specific entities ensures that only authorized user can access data from application layer. The most common issue of security in the perception layer is physical security that is the security of hardware and information acquisition security. Here physical security is very important because all the devices are deployed in an open field. That's why a single security protocol is not enough because IoT devices may be implemented in a diverse environment. Another major security issue is leakage of information, this information consist of location and sensitive data. Security countermeasures consist of data encryption, jamming, blocker tags use, modification in tag frequency, and tag destruction strategy. There is a difference between sensor nodes and RFID tags that's' why while implementing encryption algorithm, intrusion detection policies, key distribution and routing policies, hardware restrictions should keep in mind . In IoT concept data flows from an end device towards gateway, during this process data also uploaded to other platform such as cloud infrastructure. There are multiple security policies exist for sensor nodes like identity authentication, data filtering, cryptographic algorithm, data flow control mechanisms etc. Cheating, wiretapping, replay attacks and tampering are also security threats. Due to which, confidentiality, authentication and integrity should be must employed while data acquisitions phase

VI. IOT AGRICULTURAL CHALLENGES

Many researchers have worked on IoT agriculture system and solve multiple technological issues and architectural problems by implementing and designing various IoT agricultural solutions. Moreover, according to the research point of view in the literature, there are also several open issues and challenges which are needed to address successfully.

A. Hardware Challenges

Several challenges arise in IoT agricultural setup. First of all the equipments which exists at the perception layer are directly expose to harsh environmental experience such as, rain, high level temperature, extreme humidity, hard winds, and many other possible dangers which destroy electronic circuits. End devices works consistently for a long period by depending on inadequate batteries power resources. So, a suitable programming tools and less power potential is necessary because in case of any program failure instantly battery replacement is complicated especially in a large scale open field.

B. IoT Agricultural Platforms

IoT agricultural architecture is more complicated as compare to other IoT end devices and requires real time monitoring system with additional stringent requirements. For this a tailored computing platform is needed with run time libraries. A service oriented approach (SOA) can also take to build a suitable platform; such services can be exploited by using

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



different API's. In addition, appropriate frameworks and libraries should be developed so that agricultural developers can make resourceful use of available document, classes, codes and other useful data.

C. Networking Challenges

These challenges are not only for the hardware implementations, but also exist at the network layer. Due to high cost of wiring, wireless communication is most important for the deployment of IoT based agriculture. Physical deployment shows that accepted transceivers performance is exaggerated by human presence, temperature, humidity and many other barriers inside the space where wireless device or node wants to communicate. Due to which there should use most reliable and robust technologies to transfer data according to the environmental challenges and rural conditions . A detailed analysis on IoT agriculture networking challenges and issues is given .

D. Other Technical Issues

Interference

Unlicensed spectrum use (e.g., WiFi, ZigBee, LoRa) can cause signal interference, reducing reliability and causing data loss.

Reliability

IoT devices exposed to harsh outdoor conditions are prone to environmental damage and unauthorized access, affecting communication and performance.

Scalability

Growing numbers of IoT devices require robust networks, scalable databases, and intelligent security systems to handle increased complexity.

Resource Optimization

Diverse farm needs require careful planning of sensors, gateways, and storage, demanding advanced algorithms for efficient resource allocation.

High Costs & Lack of Awareness

Implementation and maintenance costs are high. Lack of technical knowledge among rural farmers slows adoption of IoT solutions.

LPWA Technology Deployment

Managing power efficiency in Low Power Wide Area (LPWA) networks is challenging due to device heterogeneity and communication demands.

Need for a Universal Platform

There is a demand for a flexible, standardized platform to support multiple smart farming applications across various regions.

Mobility Support

Farmers need IoT systems that allow remote access and real-time connectivity from anywhere.

Quality of Service (QoS)

Ensuring uninterrupted and prioritized data transmission remains an open challenge, especially for critical agricultural data.

VII. METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the approach adopted to investigate the role and effectiveness of IoT-based technologies in smart agriculture. The methodology includes research design, data collection methods, sampling techniques, data analysis strategies, and tools and techniques used throughout the study.

1. Research Design

A **mixed-methods research design** was employed, combining both qualitative and quantitative approaches to gain comprehensive insights into the adoption, impact, and challenges of IoT in agriculture. The study was exploratory in nature to identify emerging trends and explanatory to evaluate the effectiveness of various IoT applications in real-world farming scenarios.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



2. Data Collection Methods

Primary Data:

- Structured Surveys: Administered to farmers, agricultural engineers, and IoT solution providers.
- Interviews: Conducted with experts in agriculture technology and IoT systems integration.
- Field Observations: On-site visits to smart farms using IoT devices.

Secondary Data:

- Literature from scholarly journals, government reports, and industry white papers.
- Existing datasets and case studies from agricultural research institutions and IoT application developers.

3. Sampling Techniques

Sampling Method: Purposive sampling was used to select participants with direct experience or involvement in IoTbased agricultural practices.

Sample Size: A total of 100 respondents were selected, including:

- 50 farmers using smart technologies
- 30 agricultural consultants/engineers
- 20 IoT technology providers

4. Data Analysis

Quantitative Data: Analyzed using **descriptive statistics** (mean, percentage, standard deviation) and **inferential statistics** (correlation and regression analysis) to determine the impact of IoT on productivity and resource use. **Qualitative Data**: Processed through **thematic analysis** to extract key themes from interviews and open-ended survey responses.

Research And Timeline

The research plan is organized to guide the implementation, evaluation, and analysis of IoT technologies in smart agriculture. It follows a structured timeline over a **12-month period**, divided into **six key phases**. These phases involve literature review, data collection, system design, technology application, evaluation, and final reporting.

Research Phases

Copyright to IJARSCT

www.ijarsct.co.in

Phase 1: Literature Review and Background Study (Month 1–2)

- Conduct a comprehensive review of existing literature on IoT applications in agriculture.
- Identify current challenges, gaps, and limitations in IoT adoption for smart farming.
- Define the research problem, objectives, and hypotheses based on the literature.

Phase 2: Data Collection and Preliminary Analysis (Month 3-4)

- Collect primary data via surveys, interviews, and field visits involving farmers, agri-tech experts, and IoT vendors.
- Gather secondary data from published studies, agricultural reports, and IoT implementation case studies.
- Conduct preliminary analysis to understand existing IoT applications, resource usage, and productivity patterns.

Phase 3: System Design and Model Development (Month 5-6)

- Design an IoT-based smart agriculture framework (e.g., for greenhouse, irrigation, or crop monitoring).
- Select appropriate sensors, communication protocols, and data processing models.
- Develop a conceptual or prototype system integrating IoT, machine learning, or data analytics for smart decision-making.

Phase 4: Implementation and Field Testing (Month 7–8)

- Deploy the system in a real or simulated agricultural environment.
- Use tools like Arduino, Raspberry Pi, or IoT platforms to collect and process data.

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024







International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



• Conduct multiple testing scenarios to assess performance, resource optimization, and accuracy.

Phase 5: Evaluation and Performance Analysis (Month 9–10)

- Compare system outputs with traditional methods or benchmarks.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of the IoT model using key metrics such as yield improvement, water/fertilizer usage reduction, and operational efficiency.
- Analyze challenges faced during implementation and refine the model accordingly.

Phase 6: Final Report and Recommendations (Month 11-12)

- Prepare a detailed research report including methodology, results, and interpretations.
- Provide practical recommendations for IoT adoption in agriculture, considering scalability and cost.
- Submit findings for publication in relevant journals and present at academic or industry forums.

B. Expected Deliverables

A thorough literature review summarizing current trends and gaps in IoT-based agriculture.

- A developed IoT-based smart farming framework or prototype.
- Real-world or simulated results showcasing improvements in efficiency, resource use, and productivity.
- A comprehensive research paper highlighting contributions, implications, and future directions for smart agriculture.

XI. FINAL CONCLUSION

In the face of increasing global food demand and shrinking arable land, agriculture must evolve through smarter and more efficient practices. This study underscores the urgent need to adopt innovative, technology-driven solutions to enhance crop production, sustainability, and food security. The integration of the Internet of Things (IoT), along with supporting technologies such as wireless sensors, UAVs, cloud computing, and advanced communication protocols, represents a significant step toward transforming traditional farming into smart agriculture.

The paper explores how these technologies contribute to real-time monitoring, precise resource management, and improved decision-making. It also highlights the growing interest among younger, tech-savvy individuals entering agriculture, the shift toward sustainable food production, and the strengthening of collaborations across the agricultural value chain—from farmers to retailers.

By reviewing various IoT-based platforms, architectures, and recent research trends, the study offers a comprehensive guide for future innovation in agriculture. It emphasizes that maximizing productivity on every inch of farmland is not just desirable but essential—and achieving this requires the integration of sustainable, smart technologies. Therefore, the adoption of IoT is not merely an option but a necessity for the future of global agriculture.

REFERENCES

- [1]. World Agriculture: Towards 2015/2030 by FAO. Accessed: Apr. 15, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.fao.org/3/a-y4252e.pdf
- [2]. S. Navulur and M. N. Giri Prasad, "Agricultural management through wireless sensors and Internet of Things," Int. J. Elect. Comput. Eng., vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 3492–3499, 2017.
- [3]. A Khanna and S. Kaur, "Evolution of Internet of Things (IoT) and its significant impact in the field of precision agriculture," Comput. Electron. Agricult., vol. 157, pp. 218–231, Feb. 2019.
- [4]. Tzounis, N. Katsoulas, T. Bartzanas, and C. Kittas, "Internet of Things in agriculture, recent advances and future challenges," Biosyst. Eng., vol. 164, pp. 31–48, Dec. 2017.
- [5]. Z. Zulkifli and N. N. Noor, "Wireless sensor network and Internet of Things (IoT) solution in agriculture," Pertanika J. Sci. Technol., vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 91–100, Jan. 2017.
- [6]. G.-Z. Hong and C.-L. Hsieh, "Application of integrated control strategy and Bluetooth for irrigating romaine lettuce in greenhouse," IFACPapersOnLine, vol. 49, no. 16, pp. 381–386, 2016.

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26024





International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025



- [7]. Jonathan, "Evaluation of Bluetooth low energy in agriculture environments: An empirical analysis of BLE in precision agriculture," M.S. thesis, Malmö Högskola Univ., Malmö, Sweden, 2016
- [8]. A J. S. McGonigle, T. C. Wilkes, T. D. Pering, J. R. Willmott, J. M. Cook, F. M. Mims, and A. V. Parisi, "Smartphone spectrometers," Sensors, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 223, 2018.
- [9]. N. Moonrungsee, S. Pencharee, and J. Jakmunee, "Colorimetric analyzer based on mobile phone camera for determination of available phosphorus in soil," Talanta, vol. 136, pp. 204–209, May 2015.
- [10]. A Camacho and H. Arguello, "Smartphone-based application for agricultural remote technical assistance and estimation of visible vegetation index to farmer in Colombia: AgroTIC," Proc. SPIE, vol. 10783, Oct. 2018, Art. no. 107830K.
- [11]. M. Prosdocimi, M. Burguet, S. Di Prima, G. Sofia, E. Tero, J. R. Comino, A. Cerdà, and P. Tarolli, "Rainfall simulation and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry for the analysis of soil water erosion in Mediterranean vineyards," Sci. Total Environ., vol. 574, pp. 204–215, Jan. 2017.
- [12]. P. Han, D. Dong, X. Zhao, L. Jiao, and Y. Lang, "A smartphone-based soil color sensor: For soil type classification," Comput. Electron. Agricult., vol. 123, pp. 232–241, Apr. 2016.
- [13]. X. Wan, J. Cui, X. Jiang, J. Zhang, Y. Yang, and T. Zheng, "Smartphone based hemispherical photography for canopy structure measurement," Proc. SPIE, vol. 10621, Jan. 2018, Art. no. 106210Q.
- [14]. R. Stiglitz, E. Mikhailova, C. Post, M. Schlautman, J. Sharp, R. Pargas, B. Glover, and J. Mooney, "Soil color sensor data collection using a GPS-enabled

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in



