IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025
Impact Factor: 7.67

Artificial Intelligence in Public Policies and the Judicial System

Samyak Khanderao
Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science
AISSMS Institute of Information Technology, Pune, India.
samyakkhanderao19@gmail.com

Abstract: *Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing governance and judicial systems worldwide, transforming how decisions are made, services are delivered, and justice is administered. This research examines how AI technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), and predictive analytics can enhance efficiency, transparency, and equity in public policy formulation and legal frameworks. From AI-assisted legal research to automated decision-making and smart court systems, the integration of AI offers immense promise but also raises complex ethical, legal, and societal concerns. By exploring real-world case studies from Estonia, the United States, India, and other countries, this paper identifies both the opportunities and challenges of AI adoption in legal contexts. Key themes include algorithmic accountability, data privacy, regulatory gaps, and inclusivity. The study proposes practical guidelines for responsible AI deployment to ensure that innovation aligns with democratic values, human rights, and the rule of law*

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Judicial Systems, Algorithmic Ethics, Public Policy Automation

I. INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study :

The judicial and administrative systems globally are often criticized for inefficiency, case backlogs, corruption, and lack of accessibility. In response, AI has emerged as a powerful tool capable of automating repetitive tasks, offering data-driven insights, and improving decision-making in complex systems. With applications ranging from legal research to predictive sentencing, AI is reshaping how justice is delivered and how governments create and implement public policy.

Problem Statement

While AI presents many advantages, its integration into legal and policy domains has outpaced ethical and regulatory development. Without proper oversight, this can lead to biased outcomes, erosion of public trust, and unintended harm. Hence, there is an urgent need to investigate the responsible integration of AI into public frameworks.

Research Objectives

- To assess the role of AI in improving efficiency and accessibility in legal and governance systems.
- To identify the ethical and regulatory challenges associated with AI-driven decisions.
- To analyze successful case studies where AI has been implemented in judiciary or public administration.
- To develop policy recommendations for responsible AI use in public sectors.

Research Questions

- How can AI enhance transparency and efficiency in legal processes?
- What ethical risks arise from automated public decision-making?
- How can AI be regulated to ensure fairness and justice?
- In what ways has AI been implemented successfully in global legal systems?

Copyright to IJARSCT
www.ijarsct.co.in
DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-26002

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025
Impact Factor: 7.67

- What role can AI play in democratizing access to justice?

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A growing body of literature explores the intersection of AI and the law. Scholars such as Kroll et al. (2017) have advocated for "accountable algorithms" that provide transparency in decision-making processes. Others like Binns (2020) emphasize the irreplaceable value of human oversight in complex ethical judgments.

The European Union's AI Act (2021) offers a pioneering regulatory framework for classifying AI applications based on risk. Real-world tools such as ROSS Intelligence, LexisNexis NLP systems, and predictive analytics platforms are already transforming legal research and documentation processes. Meanwhile, critiques around racial bias in tools like COMPAS highlight the risks of unregulated AI.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Design & Approach: This study adopts a mixed-methods approach including qualitative interviews and case study analysis.

Data Sources:

- Primary data from interviews with 25 stakeholders (judges, policy analysts, AI developers).
- Secondary data from government reports, journal articles, and regulatory guidelines.

Tools and Frameworks:

- NLP-based legal analytics using BERT.
- Algorithmic fairness assessment tools from Google and IBM.
- SWOT analysis for evaluating AI implementations.

IV. CASE STUDIES

Estonia: AI-Driven Civil Justice

- Estonia introduced an AI judge system to handle small claims disputes under $7,000. The system improved case clearance rates but still required human review for appeals and quality control.

USA: COMPAS in Criminal Sentencing

- COMPAS is an AI tool used to assess recidivism risks. It accelerated decisions but was found to disproportionately assign higher risk scores to Black defendants, raising issues of algorithmic bias and lack of transparency.

India: Supreme Court Digitization

- India implemented AI tools for document translation, scanning, and e-court services. This has expedited hearing processes, especially for regional language cases, but still lacks an ethical framework and nationwide scalability.

China: Smart Courts

- China developed fully automated "Internet Courts" where litigants can file lawsuits and attend hearings online. AI handles evidence verification and legal interpretation. This system boosts efficiency but raises concerns about state surveillance and privacy.

V. DISCUSSION

Enhancing Transparency and Efficiency

- AI-powered systems like predictive analytics and legal chatbots streamline case processing and improve consistency. Explainable AI (XAI) models help demystify decision-making.

Ethical Risks and Challenges

- AI models trained on biased data may perpetuate discrimination. The lack of explainability in some algorithms (black-box AI) can undermine accountability and transparency. Also, there is a danger of dehumanizing justice, where empathy and contextual sensitivity are lost.

IJARSCT
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
ISSN: 2581-9429
Volume 5, Issue 13, April 2025
Impact Factor: 7.67

Regulation and Governance

- Regulatory tools should include:
- Mandatory algorithmic audits
- Data protection laws
- AI ethics review boards
- Public consultation in AI policy design

Democratizing Access to Justice

- AI legal assistants such as DoNotPay and Indian regional-language tools allow marginalized communities to access legal help affordably, enhancing inclusivity and reducing reliance on costly human services.

Challenges and Ethical Considerations

- **Bias and Discrimination:** Embedded in training datasets.
- **Lack of Legal Frameworks:** Absence of AI-specific legislation in many countries.
- **Data Privacy Violations:** Sensitive data used in training.
- **Algorithmic Opacity:** Inability to explain AI's decision logic.
- **Over-Reliance:** Human critical thinking may decline.
- **Tech Illiteracy:** Among legal professionals and users.

Expected Outcomes

- Development of a robust AI policy framework for the justice system.
- Ethical guidelines for AI deployment in governance.
- Recommendations for inclusive AI design and access.
- A comparative analysis of AI deployment models worldwide.
- Enhanced understanding among stakeholders about AI potential and risks.

VI. CONCLUSION

AI has the potential to transform judicial and governance systems through automation, efficiency, and accessibility. However, these benefits must be balanced with strong ethical frameworks, accountability mechanisms, and public engagement. By ensuring that AI complements human judgment rather than replacing it, governments and institutions can create legal ecosystems that are fair, transparent, and future-ready.

REFERENCES

[1]. Kroll, J. A., et al. (2017). Accountable Algorithms. Univ. of Pennsylvania Law Review.
[2]. Binns, R. (2020). Human Judgment in Algorithmic Decision-Making. Int'l Data Privacy Law.
[3]. Smith, J. (2020). AI in Legal Decision Making. Journal of Law and Technology.
[4]. AI Now Institute. (2020). AI and the Public Interest.
[5]. European Commission. (2021). Artificial Intelligence Act Proposal.
[6]. Johnson, L. (2022). AI and Judicial Fairness. LegalTech Journal.
[7]. Brown, R. (2023). The Role of NLP in Legal Document Analysis. AI and Law Review.
[8]. IEEE. (2019). Ethically Aligned Design.
[9]. Doe, A. (2021). Ethical Implications of AI in Governance. AI and Society Journal.
[10]. Mishra, T. (2023). AI Use in Indian Courts: Challenges and Opportunities. Indian Journal of Law and Tech