

The Role of Power in Effective Leadership: A Review

Dr. Monika Sharma¹ and Mr. Manoj Prakash Jaguri²

Assistant Professor, Department of Home Science, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun

Assistant Professor, Department of History, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun

monni9278@gmail.com and manojjaguri@sgrru.ac.in

Abstract: *Power and leadership are deeply interwoven: power gives leaders the capacity to influence others and shape outcomes. Classic theorists defined power in terms of influence – for example, Weber described it as “the probability that one actor... will be in a position to carry out his own will despite resistance”, and Dahl defined it as getting “B to do something that B would not otherwise do”. In leadership, this influence is the engine of change. Effective leaders harness power to inspire positive change, align teams with a vision, and achieve goals; they build trust and use authority ethically. By contrast, leaders who use power for personal gain may command short-term compliance but erode morale. This review examines how power operates in leadership: we survey definitions of power, explore why people seek it, and outline the various bases of power that leaders use. We argue that truly effective leadership comes from wielding power constructively – emphasizing personal influence (expertise, relationships, information) and communication – rather than relying solely on coercion or position. In the end, leadership is judged not by title but by how power is used to empower others and advance collective success.*

Keywords: Power and leadership

I. INTRODUCTION

Power is the capacity to influence others and direct the course of events. Indeed, the Oxford Dictionary defines power as “the capacity or ability to direct or influence the behavior of others or the course of events”. In an organization, formal authority gives a leader the *license* to use power, but having a title alone does not guarantee true leadership. In fact, as the Center for Creative Leadership notes, “*an individual can’t be a leader without having power,*” even though anyone can exert power without being a leader. Thus power is a prerequisite for leadership, but leadership hinges on *how* that power is used.

Scholars long ago observed that leadership and power are inseparable. French & Raven’s work, for instance, presumes that different forms of power affect a person’s success as a leader. Consistent with this, modern research shows leaders who rely on personal influence (expertise, relationships) are perceived as more empowering. For example, Peyton, Zigarmi, and Fowler (2019) found that when followers see their leader using *soft* power (expert, referent, informational), they report higher intrinsic motivation, whereas use of *hard* power (coercion, reward, formal authority) often correlates with sub-optimal motivation. In practice, this means that simply holding power is not enough: a leader must *exercise* power wisely. Leaders who build trust, listen well, and align people around shared goals use power to uplift the team. In contrast, those who wield power primarily for personal gain risk becoming tyrannical. As one study warns, leaders who seek power to dominate may “*use their power in self-serving ways*” rather than for the group’s good. The key distinction is clear: **effective leaders amplify their team’s strengths with power, while ineffective ones use power to push people around.**

Effective leadership depends on personal influence more than position. As Kanter (1977) observed, successful leaders tend to “*rely more on personal power than [their] job title or credentials*” to mobilize others and inspire confidence. In other words, authority alone does not guarantee influence – genuine expertise, credibility, and strong relationships often matter more. In this review, we delve into this dynamic relationship: how power is defined, why leaders seek it, and

how different power bases can be used to motivate followers and achieve organizational aims. Our goal is to show that power itself is neutral, but when leaders use it constructively, it becomes a tool for positive change and collective success.

II. DEFINITIONS AND THEORETICAL UNDERSTANDINGS OF POWER

Understanding power begins with defining it. Weber famously defined power as the chance one person has within a social relationship to carry out his own will even against resistance. In simpler terms, it's the ability to make one's preferences prevail. Dahl (1957) captured this idea with a memorable phrasing: "*A has power over B to the extent that he can get B to do something that B would not otherwise do.*". Parsons (1951) offered another perspective, describing power as "*the realistic capacity of a system-unit to actualize its 'interests'... and in this sense to exert influence on processes in the system.*". Parsons' view emphasizes power as a resource that lets a unit achieve goals within a larger social system.

These definitions share a common thread: power is about bringing change or compliance in a social context. It isn't just raw force but includes subtle influence. For example, Verderber and Verderber (1992) define **social power** as the potential to change someone else's attitudes, beliefs, or behavior. Likewise, French and Raven (1959) described social power in terms of an agent's *potential* to influence another's beliefs or actions. Such definitions highlight that power often involves persuasion, information, or resources – not just authority.

In practical terms, power can be formal or informal. Formal power comes from a position (e.g., a manager's authority), while informal power derives from personal attributes or networks (e.g., expertise, charisma, relationships). Where one party can compel another (Dahl's example of a professor making a student read a book) power is at play. But power can also manifest in more cooperative ways, such as a leader sharing knowledge or inspiring loyalty. These theoretical views remind us that power is dynamic and context-dependent: it is the *capacity to influence* – whether through force, persuasion, or example – that underlies all leadership.

III. THE PSYCHOLOGICAL NEED FOR POWER

Why do people seek power? Psychologists view the drive for power as a fundamental human motive. McClelland's "need theory" identifies three core needs: achievement, affiliation, and power. The **need for power** reflects an individual's desire to influence or control others. McClelland himself defined it as a desire "either to control other people (for [one's] own goals) or to achieve higher goals (for the greater good)". In other words, people high in nPow are driven to direct group efforts – some for selfish reasons, others for collective benefit.

Studies show that people with a strong power motive often end up in leadership roles. Those high in nPow tend to be assertive in groups and actively seek positions where they have authority over others. For example, McClelland's research found that managers in high-morale teams typically had a strong need for power (alongside high motivation to lead). These individuals are comfortable making decisions and taking responsibility, and they use power to accomplish goals. However, the effect of power motivation depends on *how* it is expressed. McClelland later distinguished between *personalized* power (using authority for self-aggrandizement) and *socialized* power (using influence for the group's welfare).

In organizational settings, formal authority and informal influence often intertwine. Many leaders find that simply holding a higher rank or title does not automatically make them feel powerful. A survey of managers found that while most believed their organizations empowered people broadly, over half of respondents said power still concentrated in the hands of a few, and about 28% felt top leaders misused power. This suggests leaders at higher levels often crave more authority to feel effective, yet also highlights a risk of abuse. Thus, a leader's *position* gives them formal power, but a leader's *personal* power – earned through expertise, trust, and relationships – determines how effectively they can motivate others.

IV. LEADER EFFECTIVENESS AND THE USE OF POWER BASES

Having power is only useful if it is applied effectively. A powerful person is not automatically an effective leader; it depends on how they wield that power. The classic model of French and Raven outlines five bases of power: **coercive**,

reward, legitimate, referent, and expert. Raven later added **informational power**. (Many modern writers also mention **relationship power** – influence arising from a leader’s network of strong, trusting relationships.) In broad terms, these power bases include both “hard” and “soft” sources of influence:

Coercive Power: Based on the ability to administer punishment or negative consequences (e.g. firing, demotion, social exclusion). Coercion can force compliance, but often at the cost of resentment and low morale.

Reward Power: Stems from the ability to give valued rewards (e.g. pay raises, promotions, praise). Fair and well-communicated rewards can motivate behavior, but extrinsic rewards alone may have only short-term effects.

Legitimate Power: Comes from a formal position or title within an organization. A manager’s rank or official authority grants them this power. It can reliably command attention initially, but over-reliance on position alone may breed resistance if not backed by competence or fairness.

Expert Power: Derives from specialized knowledge, skills or expertise. When a leader is seen as highly competent or experienced in an area, others trust their judgment and willingly follow their guidance. This form of power endures beyond any title.

Referent (Charismatic) Power: Based on personal charisma, trust and respect. Leaders with strong referent power are admired role models; followers identify with them and want to emulate or please them. This relational influence grows through loyalty and shared values.

Informational Power: Relies on controlling or sharing key information. A leader who has exclusive access to data or knows important facts can influence outcomes by choosing what information to reveal and when.

Relationship Power: Arises from strong networks and alliances. A leader who cultivates positive relationships and collaborates effectively draws on the collective knowledge and goodwill of their network, increasing their influence.

Recent research highlights the impact of these power styles on followers. For instance, Peyton *et al.* (2019) found that when leaders use “hard” power tactics (coercion, reward, legitimacy), followers tend to experience more controlling or extrinsic motivation. In contrast, leaders perceived as using “soft” power bases (expertise, referent appeal, information sharing) tend to foster higher *intrinsic* motivation and commitment in followers. In practice, leaders often lean on a combination of bases. According to a Center for Creative Leadership report, leaders most frequently leverage **expertise, information, and relationships** to influence others, while punitive power (coercion) is used least. This reflects a broader trend: *effective leaders tend to favor soft, influence-based power over harsh authority.*

V. THE DUAL NATURE OF POWER

Power itself is neither good nor bad – its moral value depends on how a leader uses it. Leaders motivated by self-interest or ego can become dangerous. As Maner and Mead (2010) warn, providing leaders with power can make followers vulnerable to exploitation: *“Instead of wielding their power for the greater good, leaders might be tempted to use their power in self-serving ways...leaders may also be motivated to enhance their personal capacity for power and domination.”* In other words, the very things that make leadership possible (control of resources, decision-making authority) can lead to self-serving behavior. Numerous accounts show that when leaders prioritize personal gain over group goals, trust erodes and organizational performance suffers. For example, one survey found that 28% of managers believed top leaders often *misuse* their power in ways that hurt the company.

By contrast, the *positive* or “socialized” face of power creates remarkable outcomes. When leaders use power to serve the group – by defining shared objectives, providing resources, and helping team members grow – they build trust and inspire effort. Such leaders empower others rather than dominate them. They act as mentors and coaches, not bullies. Research on leadership behavior suggests these leaders engage followers by focusing on group welfare and giving members the support and confidence to succeed. Over time, this constructive use of power cultivates a strong organizational culture. In short, **the same power that can corrupt can also empower**: it depends on whether the leader uses it to *raise others up* or to *push others down*.

Effective leaders recognize this duality. They understand that coercive tactics might achieve a quick goal but ultimately breed cynicism. Instead, they consciously build legitimacy and loyalty: they communicate openly, reward achievements fairly, and hold themselves accountable as much as others. In doing so, they prevent power from becoming a poison. Indeed, Fritz Heider’s principle applies: power isn’t inherently evil – it is the person who wields it. By adopting clear

ethical standards and a culture of transparency, organizations can reinforce the positive side of power. Ultimately, leadership boils down to choice: to exploit power for narrow ends, or to use it as a tool for collective achievement.

VI. STRATEGIES TO LEVERAGE POWER EFFECTIVELY

Effective leaders actively cultivate the right kinds of power by using strategic behaviors. The literature offers concrete strategies that align goals, context, and influence tactics. Key strategies include:

Build and nurture relationships. Leaders should invest time in understanding team members' needs and building social capital. Strong, trusting relationships are the foundation of influence. For example, experts advise identifying whom you need to connect with and then investing effort to strengthen those ties. Repairing damaged relationships and honestly acknowledging others' perspectives can greatly expand a leader's informal power.

Communicate openly and share information. Transparency is crucial. Leaders should ensure information flows across the team rather than hoarding knowledge. Research suggests that withholding information can backfire, whereas sharing relevant data freely builds credibility. Actively expanding one's network and bringing new information into the loop helps a leader leverage informational power.

Demonstrate expertise and credibility. Building a reputation for competence increases influence. Leaders can enhance their expert power by highlighting their skills and knowledge – for instance, by publicizing relevant credentials or past successes. When followers perceive a leader as knowledgeable, they are more willing to accept direction. Similarly, consistently acting with integrity and delivering on promises builds referent power: people respect and trust leaders who “walk the talk.”

Empower others. Powerful leaders empower rather than dominate. They involve team members in decisions, recognize others' achievements, and delegate authority appropriately. As one study emphasizes, “*leaders become more powerful as they nurture the power of others.*” Empowering managers treat employees as partners, relying on influence and respect instead of commands. By giving team members autonomy and credit, leaders expand the organization's overall capability and in turn enhance their own legitimacy.

Use incentives and discipline judiciously. When using reward or coercive power, do so with transparency and fairness. Clearly communicate goals and consequences, and apply rewards or penalties consistently. Thoughtful use of reward (including recognition and non-monetary incentives) can motivate behavior, but it must match team members' values. Likewise, discipline should be a last resort and done in a way that preserves dignity. In all cases, align incentives with the group's objectives rather than arbitrary personal whims.

By combining these approaches – leveraging personal influence while maintaining clear communication and fairness – leaders can use all their power bases effectively. They adapt their tactics to the situation: relying on expertise and referent power to inspire, using positional authority only when needed, and minimizing coercion. In doing so, they foster a culture of trust, engagement, and high performance.

VII. CONCLUSION

Leadership is not about title or force, but about influence and responsibility. As Drucker observed, effective leadership is essential for any organization's success, but it is tested by *how* power is wielded. The literature reviewed here shows that an effective leader uses power to serve collective goals: they build others up, not break them down. Effective leaders increase their personal influence and expertise, exercise authority with sensitivity, and avoid domination. They empower followers and share credit, knowing that their power grows as their team grows.

In contrast, leaders who chase power for its own sake often become the weak link in an organization. Historical and modern examples make clear that power itself is not the enemy – rather, it is misuse of power that corrupts. Ethical leadership requires checks and balances: transparent rules, accountability, and a culture of integrity are vital to prevent abuse. Looking ahead, organizations should train leaders to recognize the positive face of power (the “socialized” use that McClelland (1970) described, focused on group goals and member support) and to resist its negative temptations.

In summary, power is a tool. When leaders use it skillfully – emphasizing expertise, relationships, and trust – it becomes a force for innovation, motivation, and sustainable success. Effective leadership means transforming power from a potential threat into an engine of teamwork and achievement.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Center for Creative Leadership. (2008). *The Role of Power in Effective Leadership*. Ideas2Action (I2A) Project.
- [2]. Dahl, R. A. (1957). The concept of power. *Behavioral Science*, 2(3), 201–215.
- [3]. Drucker, P. F. (1964). *Managing for results*. New York: Harper & Row.
- [4]. French, J. R. P., & Raven, B. (1959). Bases of power. In D. Cartwright (Ed.), *Studies in Social Power*. Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research.
- [5]. Kanter, R. M. (1977). *Men and women of the corporation*. New York: Basic Books.
- [6]. Maner, J. K., & Mead, N. L. (2010). The essential tension between leadership and power: When leaders sacrifice group goals for self-interest. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 99(3), 482–497.
- [7]. McClelland, D. C. (1971). *The achieving society*. Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand.
- [8]. Parsons, T. (1951). *The social system*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- [9]. Peyton, T., Zigarmi, D., & Fowler, S. N. (2019). Examining the relationship between leaders' power use, followers' motivational outlooks, and followers' work intentions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, Article 2620.
- [10]. Verderber, R. F., & Verderber, K. S. (1992). *Inter-act: Using interpersonal communication skills*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.