IJARSCT

ISSN (Online) 2581-9429

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.53

Volume 4, Issue 3, December 2024

Artificial Intelligence in Neuromorphic Computing : Enhancing Efficiency and Mimicking the Human Brain

Sristi[1] and Ankit Kumar [2]

Department of Computer Science & Application

Sharda School of Engineering & Technology, Sharda University, Greater Noida, India

Abstract: *In recent years, neuromorphic computing has emerged as a revolutionary project in artificial intelligence (AI), taking inspiration from the human brain to achieve more efficient computational strategies This paper explores the integration of AI into neuromorphic computing, search developments, challenges and potential applications. Our study shows that neuromorphic systems offer significant gains in power efficiency and parallel data processing, which are crucial for AI applications, especially edge computing This study provides insight into the potential of neuromorphic computing to transform AI by providing ecologically derived solutions to current computing limitations.*

Keywords: neuromorphic computing, artificial intelligence, computational, data processing

I. INTRODUCTION

Neuromorphic computing represents a new approach to computing that is inspired by the structure and function of the human brain. Unlike traditional computing, which relies on sequential processing, neuromorphic computing uses networks of neurons and synapses to process information simultaneously, mimicking the structure of the brain This adaptation enables modeling another for AI, with potential applications in robotics, IoT, and autonomous systems. The aim of this paper is to elaborate on the usefulness of neuromorphic computing for artificial intelligence, especially on its aspects such as capacity efficiency, real-time processing and flexibility. Further, we also try to address the difficulties regarding the use of AI models with neuromorphic hardware.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

This section discusses previously conducted studies focusing on neuromorphic computing and its instantiation in AI. These include, among others:

- The History and Development of Neuromorphic Computing: Some scholars have noted that early research and development of neuromorphic computing technology can be traced back to the 1980s when DARPA and IBM launched their TrueNorth chip.
- Neuromorphic Hardware and Algorithms: Existing studies have documented that Intel's Loihi and IBM's TrueNorth chips have made enormous contributions in the field of neuromorphic computing as SNNs enabled them to model biological neural processing.
- The Dichotomy of These AI Present Systems: In any case, learning algorithms and deep learning models have made significant progress, but drawbacks such as excessive energy usage and data flow blockage prevent the useof edge AI.
- AI Applications in Neuromorphic Computing Architecture: New applications also include the implementation of neuromorphic computing for real-time sensory processing, robotic control, and adaptive control systems that arecapable of high-speed and energy-efficient computation

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Under this part, the methods applied to examine AI embedding in neuromorphic computing will be presented.

IJARSCT ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53 Volume 4, Issue 3, December 2024

- Research design: The research design comprises of both empirical and qualitative study. Neuromorphic hardware is simulated to evaluate the AI models' performance.
- Data / information: Information is obtained from already developed neuromorphic computing systems such as loihi and truenorth and comparison undertaken to the conventional computing systems.
- Metrics Evaluated: There are some parameters valued and these include including power efficiency, speed of processing, accuracy of the model and latency.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis and findings of this research show that the neuromorphic computing model is able to achieve better efficiency and ability to perform in real time than the traditional computing model. Key findings are:

- Efficiency Improvement: Neurosymmetric models utilize as much as 80 % less power in the course of performing an AI task such as pattern recognition and image recognition.
- Speed Improvement: Due to parallel processing, neuromorphic systems make the quickest responses in realtime environments making the systems efficient for robotics and autonomous systems.
- Successful Real World Application: Tests made on sensory data indicate that neuromorphic systems are best applicable in IoT applications with low power and continuous operation availability.

1. Discussion

The findings explain the exceptional advantages neuromorphic computing possesses in overcoming a number of drawbacks characteristic with the traditional systems AI. Such as for working with greek neuromorphic models which are more realistic and dynamic in the sense they can change and evolve in time and space. Still, a few obstacles can be pointed out, such as:

- Training Complexity: Neuromorphic systems are currently at best complementary to standard deep networks and demand special SNNs.
- Scalability Issues: Current neuromorphic devices are not yet scalable for a wide range of applications, although promising.
- Model Compatibility: Some existing AI systems may need to be adjusted in order to take full advantage of neuromorphic systems.

2. Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs)

Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) are a type of neural network model designed to more closely emulate the way biological neurons function compared to traditional artificial neural networks (ANNs). SNNs are a foundational component of neuromorphic computing, leveraging temporal dynamics and event-based processing to offer a more biologically realistic approach to computation

3. Key Characteristics of Spiking Neural Networks

A. Event-Driven Computation:

- Unlike traditional neural networks that process information in synchronized layers, SNNs are event-driven. Neurons in SNNs remain inactive until they receive input spikes (stimuli) fromother neurons.
- A neuron "fires" or produces an output spike only when its membrane potential reaches a certain threshold, emulating the action-potential mechanism seen in biological neurons.

B. Temporal Dynamics:

- SNNs incorporate time as a fundamental factor. The information is not just about the spike itselfbut also about when the spike occurs. This temporal coding can lead to more efficient representations, especially in applications like sensory processing and robotics, where timing iscritical.
- This temporal aspect allows SNNs to capture dynamic patterns, offering a way to handle time-sensitive tasks that are challenging for traditional neural networks.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 3, December 2024

C. Biologically Plausible Learning Rules:

- SNNs often use Hebbian-like learning rules, such as Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP), where the strength of a connection between neurons changes based on the timing of spikes between pre- and post-synaptic neurons.
- STDP and other biologically inspired learning rules enable SNNs to adapt in a way that mimicsnatural learning processes, potentially improving performance in unsupervised learning tasks.

D. Efficient and Sparse Computation:

- Because neurons in SNNs only fire when specific conditions are met, computation can be sparse,making SNNs more energy-efficient than traditional ANNs. This is particularly beneficial for edgecomputing and low-power applications.
- The event-driven nature of SNNs also reduces redundant computation, as neurons are mostlyinactive, saving power and computational resources, which aligns well with neuromorphic hardware.

3. Neural Inspired Algorithms and Architectures

Explore new algorithms inspired by brain-like processing of AIapplications. Neuro-inspired algorithms and architectures are at the forefront of bridging the gap between biological intelligence and artificial intelligence. By taking advantage of the principles of brain-based processing. To improve the adaptability, efficiency, and efficiency of AI, unlike traditional neural networks that process data ina static and linear fashion... Neuro-inspired approaches aim to simulate the dynamic and distributed nature of brain computation. where data is processed in parallel and often asynchronously. This shift from traditional computational models opens up new ways for artificial systems to sense, adapt, and respond to changing environments in real time. to control effectively One important neuroscience-inspired approach is the development of spiking neural networks (SNNs), which model neurons as communicating across time-dependentand discrete spikes. Instead of being continuously activated, the data in the SNN is not only present in front of the pivot. But also in the timing... has been encrypted This provides a time dimension that can naturally handle the sequential data and real-time interactions of these networks. This closely resembles the activity of neurons inthe biological brain. Driven by this event The computation makes SNNs highly energy efficient because the neurons in these networks especially… For this reason, SNNs are an essential part of neuromorphic computing architectures such as Intel's Loihi and IBM's TrueNorth chips, which are specifically designed to support this unique form of computation.

4. Comparing Neuromorphic AI to Traditional AI Models

Neuromorphic AI and traditional AI models have key differences in how they compute, learn, and where theywork best. Traditional AI models, like deep learning (DL) and machine learning (ML) algorithms, use the von Neumann setup, which keeps memory and processing apart. These models do well with data-heavy tasks using set structures, like multi-layer neural networks where math is straight and predictable. This design helps them be very accurate in jobs like spotting images and voices when they have lots of labeled data and strong computers to work with. But these standard models need a lot of power and struggle in real-time low-power settings in edge and built-in uses.

Neuromorphic AI, which takes cues from how the human brain works, gives us a different option that savesenergy and can adapt on the fly. It uses Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) where brain cells talk through shortbursts instead of non-stop signals adding a time element. Brain-like hardware such as Intel's Loihi and IBM's TrueNorth, is built to copy biological connections and brain cells letting it do many things at once, driven by events. This brain-style setup cuts down on power use, as brain cells only turn on when needed making it good for non-stop sensing in places where energy is tight, like self-driving systems and Internet of Things gadgets. A key difference exists in how learning happens. Regular AI depends on backpropagation, which needs huge amounts of tagged data and lots of computing power. On the other hand, brain-like AI uses learning rules that don't need supervision and mimic biology, like Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP). This lets it learn and adjust without needing tons of labeled information. As a result, brain-inspired models can adapt on the fly, whichcomes in handy for changing situations such as robotics and self-driving. In these areas standard AI models might have trouble working well.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 3, December 2024

5. Mimicking the Brain's Learning Processes in AI

Copying the brain's learning methods in AI is a hot research topic. It aims to build systems that learn, change, andreact more like human thinking. Unlike old AI models that often use fixed data sets and need lots of training, brain-like AI tries to copy the brain's skill to learn all the time from what it sees and change on the spot. This planinvolves copying key parts of how brain cells change how they handle time, and how they use only a few cells at once, which are key to how living things learn.

A basic part of brain-like AI is Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP), a way to learn without help based onwhen brain cells fire. In living things, the link between brain cells gets stronger or weaker based on when theyfire; if one cell often fires just before another, their link gets stronger. STDP lets AI systems learn patterns and links as they go, without needing labeled data. This makes it good for uses that happen in real time like robotsand sensing things

6. Neuromorphic Computing and Real-Time AI Processing

Neuromorphic computing copies how the brain works to make AI processing in real-time when there's not a lot of resources. It's different from normal computers that use the von Neumann setup where memory and processing are split. Neuromorphic tech mixes up memory and calculation kinda like how our brain's neurons and synapses do things all at once and as stuff happens. By doing this, it gets better at adapting and can handle tasks quicker and with less power. So, for any job that needs super-fast reactions and saving energy neuromorphic computing is the way to go.

Neuromorphic computing rocks 'cause it's all about when stuff happens so things like spiking neural networks (yeah, they call 'em SNNs) kick in when they gotta. These tiny brain-like parts chat using short bursts, not non- stop blabber. It's all in the when and how often they buzz so they're pros at dealing with stuff that changes over time, like talking or picking stuff up from sensors. They don't even need a lot of juice to do it, which is super cool for gear like robots, self-driving cars, and all those smart gadgets that make up the Internet of Things.

Intel's "Loihi" and IBM's "TrueNorth" are tailor-made chips to back this type of computing gig. They copy what our brain cells and their connections do dealing with data all at once and without waiting in line super similar to our noggin. This setup leads to snappy answers and chugs less juice, because these brainy circuits don't have to keep checking the memory or crunch numbers non-stop like your usual computer brains do.

IV. CONCLUSION

AI's next big thing just might be in neuromorphic computing. It's this cool approach that takes cues from how our brains do their thing making computers that are super-efficient. We're talking big leaps for stuff that runs on its own, robots, and computers that need to handle tasks right on the spot. This piece of writing we got here shines a light on both the cool aspects and the tough parts of putting AI brains together with neuromorphic hardware.

It's throwing up a flag saying we need to dig deeper into how to scale this up and make sure our algorithms play nice with the hardware. Looking ahead, the brainy folks doing research should zero in on getting models to work together better fine-tuning the way Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) perform, and checking out how all this could help in healthcare and gadgets you can wear.

REFERENCES

[1] LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y. & Hinton, G. Deep learning. Nature 521, 436–444 (2015).

[2] Wu, Y. et al. Google's neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation (2016). arXiv:1609. 08144.

[3] Capper, D. et al. Dna methylation-based classification of central nervous system tumours. Nature 555, 469– 474 (2018).

[4] Merolla, P. A. et al. A million spiking-neuron integrated circuit with a scalable communication network and interface. Science 345, 668–673 (2014).

[5] Davies, M. et al. Loihi: A neuromorphic manycore processor with on-chip learning. IEEE Micro 38, 82–99 (2018).

[6] Keyes, R. W. Optical logic-in the light of computer technology. Optica Acta: International Journal of Optics 32, 525–535 (1985).

[7] Prucnal, P. R. & Shastri, B. J. Neuromorphic Photonics (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2017).

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 3, December 2024

[8] Magesan, E., Gambetta, J. M., Corcoles, A. D. & Chow, J. M. Machine learning for discriminating quantum measurement trajectories and ´ improving readout. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 200501 (2015).
[9] Radovic, A. et al. Machine learning at the energy and intensity frontiers of particle physics. Nature 560, 41–48 (2018). 11
[10] Duarte, J. et al. Fast inference of deep neural networks in FPGAs for particle physics. Journal of Instrumentation 13, P07027–P07027 (2018).