

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's Contribution to Indian Democracy

Dr. Sanjay Kashinath Patil

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Political Science

Pankaj Arts, Science & Commerce College, Chopda, Jalgaon

Abstract: Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar occupies a central position in the intellectual, constitutional and democratic development of modern India. His contribution to Indian democracy cannot be confined merely to his role as Chairman of the Drafting Committee of the Constituent Assembly. His democratic thought developed through a sustained critique of caste hierarchy, social exclusion, political inequality and economic deprivation. For Ambedkar, democracy was not simply a method of forming a government through elections; it was a social and ethical system based on liberty, equality and fraternity. He regarded political democracy as incomplete if it was not supported by social democracy. Ambedkar contributed to Indian democracy through several interconnected dimensions. He fought for political representation and constitutional safeguards for historically excluded communities; participated in the constitutional process; advocated Fundamental Rights, constitutional remedies, parliamentary government, rule of law, institutional accountability and an independent judiciary; and emphasized social justice as a necessary condition for democratic stability. His interventions concerning the rights of Scheduled Castes, minorities, women and workers reflected his broader conception of democracy as a means of ensuring human dignity and equal citizenship. His famous observation that "Political democracy cannot last unless there lies at the base of it social democracy" captures the central relationship between political institutions and social equality in his thought [1].

This paper examines Ambedkar's contribution to Indian democracy through his democratic philosophy, struggle for political representation, role in constitution-making, conception of social democracy, constitutional safeguards, parliamentary democracy, rule of law and his continuing relevance to democratic citizenship.

Keywords: Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, Indian Democracy, Constitution, Social Democracy, Social Justice, Liberty, Equality, Fraternity, Fundamental Rights, Constitutionalism

I. INTRODUCTION

Indian democracy emerged within a historically complex social environment marked by caste divisions, religious diversity, economic inequalities and colonial political institutions. The establishment of constitutional democracy after independence therefore required more than the transfer of political power from colonial rulers to elected representatives. It required the creation of institutions capable of transforming subjects into equal citizens. In this historical process, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar made a significant contribution through his political thought, social movements, constitutional interventions and institutional vision.

Ambedkar's understanding of democracy developed from his direct experience of social exclusion as well as from his extensive study of political science, economics, law, history and comparative constitutional systems. The Government of India's Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Writings and Speeches portal records that Ambedkar studied Political Science and Economics at Bombay University and later pursued higher education at Columbia University and the London School of Economics, in addition to his legal studies [2]. His intellectual formation therefore combined the experience of Indian society with comparative knowledge of modern political institutions.

Ambedkar's democratic philosophy was fundamentally connected with the problem of social inequality. He argued that political institutions cannot function effectively when society remains divided by rigid hierarchies. In *Annihilation of*

Caste, he described his ideal society as one based on “Liberty, Equality and Fraternity” and argued that democracy should be understood as a “mode of associated living” rather than merely a governmental mechanism [3].

His contribution to Indian democracy therefore needs to be studied at two interconnected levels. The first concerns the institutional structure of democracy, particularly the Constitution, Parliament, Fundamental Rights, constitutional remedies and checks and balances. The second concerns the social foundation of democracy, including equality, dignity, fraternity, representation and protection of historically disadvantaged communities. It is the combination of these two dimensions that makes Ambedkar's contribution particularly significant for the study of Indian democracy.

II. STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM

Indian democracy is constitutionally based on popular sovereignty, representative government, Fundamental Rights, rule of law and institutional accountability. However, the effective functioning of democracy depends not only upon constitutional institutions but also upon the social conditions within which these institutions operate. Dr. Ambedkar's political thought addressed precisely this relationship between political democracy and social democracy.

The central research problem of this study is therefore to examine how Ambedkar contributed to the conceptual and institutional foundations of Indian democracy and how his ideas regarding equality, representation, constitutionalism, social justice and fraternity sought to overcome the limitations imposed by social hierarchy.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To examine Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's conception of democracy and its philosophical foundations in liberty, equality and fraternity.
- To analyse Dr. Ambedkar's contribution to the making of the Indian Constitution and the development of constitutional democracy.
- To examine his contribution to political representation, Fundamental Rights, social justice and the protection of historically disadvantaged sections of Indian society.
- To assess the contemporary relevance of Dr. Ambedkar's democratic thought for constitutionalism, citizenship, equality and democratic governance in India.

IV. HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's conception of democracy extended beyond electoral government and placed social equality and fraternity at the foundation of democratic life.

Ambedkar's contribution to constitution-making strengthened the institutional foundations of Indian democracy through Fundamental Rights, constitutional remedies, parliamentary government and checks and balances.

His advocacy of political representation and constitutional safeguards sought to make democratic citizenship meaningful for historically disadvantaged and socially excluded communities.

Ambedkar's democratic thought remains relevant to Indian constitutional democracy because it connects political freedom with social justice, equality and human dignity.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study is primarily analytical and historical in nature. It is based on secondary sources, including Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's collected writings and speeches, Constituent Assembly debates, the Constitution of India, government publications, scholarly books and academic literature relating to Ambedkar's political thought and Indian democracy.

The study follows the historical-analytical method to examine the development of Ambedkar's democratic ideas and their relationship with the political and social circumstances of his time. The constitutional-analytical method is used to examine his contribution to Fundamental Rights, constitutional remedies, representation, institutional checks and social

justice. The interpretative method is used to understand Ambedkar's writings and speeches in relation to the broader concept of democracy.

VI. DR. BABASAHEB AMBEDKAR'S CONCEPTION OF DEMOCRACY

Ambedkar's conception of democracy was considerably broader than the conventional understanding of democracy as periodic elections and majority rule. He believed that democracy requires a social environment in which individuals recognize one another as equal members of a political community. His critique of caste was therefore simultaneously a critique of the social conditions that could weaken democratic citizenship.

In *Annihilation of Caste*, Ambedkar described his ideal society as one founded upon liberty, equality and fraternity. He further stated that democracy is not merely a form of government but "primarily a mode of associated living" [3]. This formulation is particularly important because it shifts the study of democracy from institutions alone to relationships among citizens.

According to this conception, elections by themselves cannot guarantee democracy. If citizens remain socially unequal, if particular communities are systematically excluded from public life, or if social hierarchy determines access to education, employment and political power, then political democracy remains incomplete. Democracy requires equal citizenship as well as equal political status.

Ambedkar therefore connected democracy with social morality. Fraternity was particularly important because liberty and equality could not survive permanently without mutual recognition and social solidarity. Democracy, in this sense, required citizens to regard one another not merely as legal subjects but as members of a common political community.

VII. AMBEDKAR AND THE STRUGGLE FOR POLITICAL REPRESENTATION

Political representation was one of the most important dimensions of Ambedkar's democratic thought. He recognized that formal equality could remain ineffective if historically excluded communities lacked political voice.

During the colonial period, Ambedkar participated in constitutional negotiations concerning the political representation of the Depressed Classes. He attended all three Round Table Conferences in London and argued for political safeguards for the communities he represented [2]. His position was based on the argument that political power was necessary for socially excluded communities to protect their interests.

The controversy surrounding separate electorates and the Poona Pact of 1932 represents an important episode in this history. The agreement between Ambedkar and Mahatma Gandhi resulted in the abandonment of separate electorates for the Depressed Classes while providing reserved seats in legislatures within a joint electorate system. The episode demonstrates the importance Ambedkar attached to political representation as an instrument of democratic participation.

The significance of this intervention lies in the fact that Ambedkar did not regard democracy as merely a numerical system in which the majority automatically represented everyone. He was concerned about the possibility that social majorities could dominate political minorities. Democratic institutions therefore required safeguards capable of protecting equal citizenship.

VIII. AMBEDKAR'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE MAKING OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION

Dr. Ambedkar's most visible contribution to Indian democracy was his role as Chairman of the Drafting Committee of the Constituent Assembly. The Drafting Committee was appointed on 29 August 1947, and Ambedkar was selected as its Chairman [4]. The Constituent Assembly subsequently adopted the Constitution on 26 November 1949, and it came into force on 26 January 1950 [5].

It would, however, be historically inaccurate to treat the Constitution as the work of one individual. Constitution-making was a collective process involving numerous committees, leaders, constitutional advisers and members of the Constituent Assembly. Ambedkar's importance lies in his role in steering the drafting process, explaining constitutional provisions and articulating the relationship between constitutional institutions and democratic principles.

The Rajya Sabha publication on Ambedkar's contribution to the Constituent Assembly notes that his knowledge of comparative constitutions and the Government of India Act, 1935 contributed to his role in explaining and defending provisions of the Draft Constitution [4].

Ambedkar's contribution was therefore not simply technical drafting. He provided an important intellectual framework for understanding how constitutional institutions could protect individual rights while maintaining a democratic government.

IX. FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND THE PROTECTION OF INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY

Fundamental Rights represent one of the most important constitutional foundations of Indian democracy. Their purpose is to protect individuals against arbitrary exercise of state power and to provide constitutional recognition to liberty and equality.

Ambedkar's understanding of democracy placed the individual at the centre of constitutional protection. Equality before law, prohibition of discrimination, equality of opportunity and protection of civil liberties provided the legal foundation for equal citizenship.

Article 17, which abolishes untouchability, is particularly significant from the perspective of Ambedkar's democratic thought. The constitutional abolition of untouchability transformed the issue from merely a social reform concern into a matter of constitutional law.

The constitutional approach is important because Ambedkar understood that social discrimination could not always be removed through social persuasion alone. Democratic citizenship required legal protection against practices that denied human dignity and equal civic status.

X. ARTICLE 32 AND CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES

Ambedkar attached exceptional importance to constitutional remedies. During the Constituent Assembly debates, while discussing what became Article 32, he described the provision as the "very soul of the Constitution and the very heart of it" [6].

Article 32 provides citizens with the right to approach the Supreme Court for the enforcement of Fundamental Rights. The significance of this provision lies in the fact that rights become meaningful only when mechanisms exist for their enforcement.

From a democratic perspective, constitutional remedies create a relationship between citizens and the state based upon legal accountability. Governmental authority is not absolute; it remains subject to constitutional limitations.

Thus, Ambedkar's contribution to democracy included not only the declaration of rights but also the creation of institutional mechanisms through which citizens could seek protection of those rights.

XI. SOCIAL DEMOCRACY: THE FOUNDATION OF POLITICAL DEMOCRACY

The concept of social democracy occupies a central place in Ambedkar's political thought. In his concluding speech to the Constituent Assembly, he warned against treating political democracy as sufficient by itself. He stated:

"Political democracy cannot last unless there lies at the base of it social democracy." [1]

He explained social democracy through the principles of liberty, equality and fraternity. These principles, in his formulation, were interdependent rather than isolated values.

The importance of this argument is that political equality cannot permanently coexist with extreme social inequality. A democratic constitution may provide one person with one vote, but if society denies equal status to the same individual in everyday social life, democratic citizenship remains incomplete.

Ambedkar's social democracy therefore represents an attempt to connect constitutional democracy with social transformation. Political institutions provide the framework, but social relationships determine whether democratic values become part of everyday life.

XII. EQUALITY AND SOCIAL JUSTICE

Equality was central to Ambedkar's democratic philosophy. He opposed the caste system because it institutionalized graded inequality and restricted individual opportunities according to birth.

For Ambedkar, equality did not mean simply treating unequal social conditions as though they were identical. Democratic equality sometimes required special constitutional and institutional measures for communities historically deprived of opportunities.

This perspective influenced constitutional provisions relating to equality and affirmative measures for disadvantaged groups. The Government of India's account of Ambedkar's constitutional contribution notes that the Constitution contains provisions aimed at social justice for Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and other disadvantaged sections [2].

The democratic significance of this approach lies in the movement from formal equality toward substantive equality. If historical exclusion produces unequal starting positions, equal treatment alone may not be sufficient to create equal citizenship.

XIII. DEMOCRACY AND THE ABOLITION OF CASTE

Ambedkar regarded caste as a major obstacle to democratic society because caste divides people into hierarchical and closed social groups. His critique was therefore not merely directed against particular customs; it questioned the social structure that reproduced inequality.

In *Annihilation of Caste*, he argued that an ideal society should be based upon liberty, equality and fraternity and described fraternity as closely connected with democracy [3].

His argument suggests that democracy requires social interaction and mutual recognition across caste boundaries. When social life is organized around inherited status, democratic fraternity becomes difficult to achieve.

The constitutional abolition of untouchability and the broader commitment to equality can therefore be understood as institutional responses to the social inequalities that Ambedkar identified as obstacles to democratic citizenship.

XIV. PARLIAMENTARY DEMOCRACY AND CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT

Ambedkar supported parliamentary democracy because it provided mechanisms of responsibility and accountability between the executive and legislature. During the Constituent Assembly debates, he discussed the relative merits of parliamentary and presidential systems and defended the parliamentary model in terms of governmental responsibility [7].

For Ambedkar, democracy required more than a formally elected government. Institutions had to remain accountable and subject to constitutional limitations.

His democratic framework consequently emphasized constitutional government, legislative responsibility, judicial review, Fundamental Rights and institutional checks and balances. The Government of India's account of his constitutional contribution specifically notes his emphasis on checks and balances and the independent functioning of the three organs of government [2].

This institutional structure reflected his concern that concentrated political power could threaten individual liberty and democratic accountability.

XV. RULE OF LAW AND CONSTITUTIONALISM

Rule of law was an essential component of Ambedkar's democratic vision. Constitutionalism means that governmental authority operates within a framework of established constitutional rules rather than arbitrary personal or political power.

Ambedkar's approach sought to ensure that the Constitution would function as a framework within which both citizens and governments operated. Fundamental Rights, judicial remedies, legislative procedures and institutional accountability collectively created this constitutional structure.

The Constitution therefore became more than a document establishing government offices. It became an instrument for regulating power and protecting citizenship.

The democratic importance of constitutionalism is particularly visible in Ambedkar's warning that the quality of a Constitution also depends upon those who operate it. In his final Constituent Assembly speech, he observed that the working of a Constitution depends significantly upon the people entrusted with its operation [8]. This reflects his recognition that constitutional democracy requires democratic constitutional morality among political actors and citizens.

XVI. PROTECTION OF MINORITIES AND INCLUSIVE DEMOCRACY

Ambedkar's concern for minorities formed another important dimension of his democratic thought. He recognized that democracy based entirely on numerical majority rule could create difficulties for minorities and socially disadvantaged groups.

His writings on minority safeguards proposed constitutional mechanisms for protecting civic rights, religious liberty and political participation [9]. These proposals demonstrate that Ambedkar viewed democracy as a system that must balance majority rule with minority protection.

Inclusive democracy, in this sense, does not reject majority rule. Instead, it places constitutional limits upon majority power so that all citizens retain equal civic status.

This principle is fundamental to constitutional democracy because democratic legitimacy depends not only on the authority of the majority but also on the protection of rights belonging to individuals and minorities.

XVII. AMBEDKAR AND WOMEN'S EQUALITY

Ambedkar's democratic vision extended to gender equality. His intervention in the development of the Hindu Code Bill demonstrated his commitment to reforming personal law and expanding the legal rights of women.

He regarded social democracy as incompatible with structures that denied women equal legal and social status. His position regarding women's property, marriage and inheritance rights reflected the broader principle that citizenship should not be restricted by gender.

His resignation as Law Minister in 1951 was connected to the government's handling of the Hindu Code Bill [2]. The episode illustrates the seriousness with which he approached legal reform as an instrument of social transformation.

Thus, Ambedkar's democratic thought should not be understood solely through caste and constitutional questions. It also included a broader concern with gender justice and equal citizenship.

XVIII. DEMOCRACY, EDUCATION AND CITIZENSHIP

Ambedkar considered education essential for social and political emancipation. His educational initiatives and organizations sought to expand access to knowledge among historically excluded communities.

Education has a direct relationship with democracy because informed citizenship requires the capacity to understand rights, institutions, political processes and public policy.

Ambedkar's famous emphasis on education therefore had a democratic dimension. The objective was not merely individual advancement but the development of citizens capable of challenging inequality and participating in public life.

His approach can be understood through the broader principle that democracy becomes stronger when citizens possess the intellectual and social resources necessary for meaningful participation.

XIX. AMBEDKAR'S CONTRIBUTION TO DEMOCRATIC POLITICAL CULTURE

One of Ambedkar's significant contributions was the development of a political culture based on constitutional methods. He emphasized constitutional means for bringing about social and political change rather than relying on extra-constitutional methods.

His democratic thought also warned against excessive hero-worship in politics. He argued in his final Constituent Assembly speech that hero-worship in politics could become dangerous to democratic life [8].

This argument has continuing significance because democracy requires institutions to remain stronger than individuals. Leaders may play important roles, but constitutional institutions, rights and procedures must remain the ultimate framework of political authority.

Ambedkar's democratic political culture therefore emphasized constitutional morality, rational debate, institutional accountability and respect for individual rights.

XX. CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF AMBEDKAR'S CONTRIBUTION

A critical study of Ambedkar's contribution requires recognition of the collective nature of Indian constitution-making. The Constitution was not drafted by Ambedkar alone. It emerged from the work of the Constituent Assembly and its committees, constitutional advisers and numerous political leaders.

At the same time, reducing Ambedkar's role merely to that of a technical drafter would also be inadequate. His speeches, constitutional interventions and writings demonstrate that he brought a distinctive concern for social justice, representation, individual rights and constitutional safeguards into the democratic framework.

His most significant contribution can therefore be understood as the integration of constitutional democracy with social democracy. He recognized that elections and representative institutions could not alone eliminate historical inequalities. Democratic institutions had to be accompanied by constitutional protection and social transformation.

Another important aspect of his thought was his recognition that the Constitution itself cannot guarantee democracy automatically. Institutions require constitutional morality, responsible political leadership and active citizenship. This makes his democratic thought both institutional and ethical.

XXI. CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE OF AMBEDKAR'S DEMOCRATIC THOUGHT

Ambedkar's democratic ideas remain relevant to contemporary India because questions of equality, representation, dignity, social justice and constitutional rights continue to form important dimensions of democratic life.

His distinction between political democracy and social democracy is particularly relevant. Political equality through voting is essential, but democratic citizenship also requires equality before law, equal opportunity and protection against discrimination.

His emphasis on constitutional remedies remains significant because rights require institutional enforcement. His understanding of parliamentary responsibility remains relevant to debates concerning governmental accountability. His emphasis on fraternity highlights the importance of social cohesion in a diverse society.

His democratic thought also provides a framework for understanding why constitutional democracy cannot be reduced to electoral competition. Democracy involves institutions, rights, political participation, social equality and a civic culture based on mutual respect.

The Government of India describes Ambedkar's constitutional contribution in terms of dignity, equality, freedom, rights, social justice and democratic institutions [2]. These principles continue to constitute important dimensions of India's constitutional framework.

XXII. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

The study establishes that Ambedkar's contribution to Indian democracy was multidimensional. His democratic thought developed from a critique of social inequality and developed into a comprehensive constitutional vision.

The first major finding is that Ambedkar understood democracy as both a political system and a social way of life. Liberty, equality and fraternity were not separate principles but mutually dependent foundations of democratic society.

The second finding is that his contribution to constitution-making was closely connected with the protection of individual rights and institutional accountability. Fundamental Rights and constitutional remedies provided citizens with constitutional mechanisms for protecting liberty and equality.

The third finding is that Ambedkar considered political representation necessary for historically excluded communities. His political interventions demonstrated that democratic participation requires more than formal voting rights; it also requires effective representation and institutional safeguards.

The fourth finding is that Ambedkar connected constitutional democracy with social justice. His democratic vision therefore sought to transform the relationship between state, citizen and society by making human dignity and equality central to constitutional citizenship.

XXIII. CONCLUSION

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar's contribution to Indian democracy represents an important synthesis of constitutionalism, social justice and democratic citizenship. His role in constitution-making was historically significant, but his contribution extends much beyond the drafting process.

Ambedkar's democratic philosophy was rooted in the principles of liberty, equality and fraternity. He argued that political democracy could not survive permanently without social democracy. His concern was therefore not simply to establish institutions of government but to create conditions under which citizens could enjoy equal status and dignity. His contribution to the Constitution included participation in the drafting process, advocacy of Fundamental Rights, constitutional remedies, parliamentary government, institutional accountability and safeguards for disadvantaged communities. His political thought also emphasized the importance of representation, education, gender equality, minority protection and constitutional morality.

The most important intellectual contribution of Ambedkar to Indian democracy was his insistence that democracy must be experienced in social life, not merely exercised through elections. His conception of democracy connected political freedom with social equality and human dignity.

Consequently, Ambedkar's contribution may be understood not merely as a contribution to the **making of the Indian Constitution**, but as a contribution to the **philosophy, institutional structure and social foundations of Indian democracy**. His democratic thought continues to provide an important framework for examining the relationship between constitutional rights, social justice, equality and citizenship in India.

REFERENCES AND REFERENCE QUOTATIONS

- [1] Ambedkar, B. R. (1949). *Constituent Assembly Debates*, 25 November 1949. In his concluding speech, Ambedkar stated: "Political democracy cannot last unless there lies at the base of it social democracy." The Supreme Court of India reproduces and contextualizes this passage in its collection of Ambedkar's Constituent Assembly speeches.
- [2] Government of India, Dr. Ambedkar Foundation. *Dr. B. R. Ambedkar: Writings and Speeches*. Government of India. The official biographical and constitutional account records Ambedkar's role as India's first Law Minister, Chairman of the Drafting Committee, and his emphasis on dignity, equality, freedom, rights and social justice.
- [3] Ambedkar, B. R. *Annihilation of Caste* (1936), in *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and Speeches*, Vol. 1. Government of Maharashtra. Ambedkar stated that his ideal society would be based on "Liberty, Equality and Fraternity" and described democracy as a "mode of associated living."
- [4] Shakhder, S. L. *Dr. B. R. Ambedkar and His Contribution in the Constituent Assembly and Parliament*. Rajya Sabha Secretariat. The publication records the establishment of the Drafting Committee on 29 August 1947 and Ambedkar's election as its Chairman.
- [5] Government of India. *The Constitution of India*. The Constitution was adopted by the Constituent Assembly on 26 November 1949 and came into force on 26 January 1950.
- [6] Ambedkar, B. R. Constituent Assembly Debate on Draft Article 25, 9 December 1948. Regarding the constitutional remedy provision that became Article 32, Ambedkar described it as the "very soul of the Constitution and the very heart of it."
- [7] Ambedkar, B. R. Constituent Assembly Debates, 4 November 1948. Ambedkar explained the choice of the parliamentary system and discussed the relationship between responsibility and stability in government.

[8] Ambedkar, B. R. Constituent Assembly Debates, 25 November 1949. In his concluding address, Ambedkar emphasized that the functioning of a Constitution depends significantly upon those entrusted with operating it and warned against excessive hero-worship in politics.

[9] Ambedkar, B. R. *Writings and Speeches*, Government of Maharashtra, Vol. 1 and related writings on minority safeguards. His proposals addressed civic equality, protection against discrimination, religious liberty and institutional safeguards for minorities.

[10] Government of India, Ministry of External Affairs. *About Dr. B. R. Ambedkar*. The Ministry records Ambedkar's role as Chairman of the Drafting Committee and his contribution to an inclusive constitutional framework based on equality and freedom.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Ambedkar, B. R. *Annihilation of Caste*. In *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and Speeches*, Vol. 1. Government of Maharashtra.
2. Ambedkar, B. R. *What Congress and Gandhi Have Done to the Untouchables*. Bombay: Thacker & Co.
3. Ambedkar, B. R. *States and Minorities*. In *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and Speeches*. Government of Maharashtra.
4. Ambedkar, B. R. *Thoughts on Linguistic States*. Government of Maharashtra.
5. Ambedkar, B. R. *Buddha or Karl Marx*. In *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and Speeches*. Government of Maharashtra.
6. Austin, Granville. *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
7. Austin, Granville. *Working a Democratic Constitution: The Indian Experience*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
8. Jaffrelot, Christophe. *Dr Ambedkar and Untouchability: Analysing and Fighting Caste*. London: C. Hurst & Co.
9. Keer, Dhananjay. *Dr. Ambedkar: Life and Mission*. Mumbai: Popular Prakashan.
10. Rodrigues, Valerian, ed. *The Essential Writings of B. R. Ambedkar*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
11. Constituent Assembly of India. *Constituent Assembly Debates*. Government of India.
12. Government of India. *The Constitution of India*. New Delhi: Government of India.
13. Government of India, Dr. Ambedkar Foundation. *Dr. B. R. Ambedkar: Writings and Speeches*.