IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 8, April 2024

An Analysis of Possible Dangers During the Construction Process

Priti Pramod Patil[1] and Dr. Sirna Santosh Kumar[2]
Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering[1]
Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering[2]
Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: *An essential component of project management in the construction sector is risk assessment. It entails locating, locating, and minimising hazards that might compromise project goals. An overview of the several risk assessment techniques, ranging from quantitative to fuzzy approaches, used in the construction sector is given in this article. Validation techniques such Monte Carlo simulation, sensitivity analysis, critical route analysis, fault tree analysis, failure models, effects, and critical analysis are introduced in this work. These adaptable techniques are useful tools in the field since they provide a summary of the probability of their occurrence and how it will affect the project's goals. The essay also looks at the concept of turbidity as a means of grading the degree of certain dangers associated with subterranean building projects. Additionally included are legislative frameworks and instruments for evaluating problems connected to land development that provide fresh approaches to risk management in challenging circumstances. Analysis revealed that there isn't a single risk assessment technique that applies to all activities and organisations. In conclusion, risk assessment is crucial to resource allocation, risk mitigation strategy formulation, and construction decision making. The risk assessment approach will be further refined and improved by ongoing research and development in this field, which will contribute to the overall success and sustainability of construction.*

Keywords: Construction, risk, land, mitigation and management.

I. INTRODUCTION

A nation's overall economic development is significantly influenced by its economic development. The degree of infrastructure, industry, and regional development determines the country's actual growth. The construction sector is predicted to have added Rs 11 billion to the GDP of the nation in 2018–19. The sector is divided into small and medium-sized contractors that operate in this area as labourers, medium-sized firms that specialise in certain activities, and a few major companies that handle several building projects.

When it comes to funding, planning, building, and maintaining buildings, the construction business might encounter several dangers. Because it uses a lot of resources, the building industry is riskier than other industries. Every endeavour has benefits and drawbacks. Improper risk management may lead to uncertainty over the construction investment's timeline and cost, ultimately compromising the building's safety and quality. To accomplish the goals within the project's allocated time and budget, risk management is crucial. Risk may be described as "an event, a problem or problem that arises in the form of its probability and severity of impact" .

"Risk" may mean many different things, and terms like "danger" or "uncertainty" are also used to describe it. Every task we do has some element of danger. As a result, risk management is an unfamiliar idea to many companies. As a result, organisations and parties often use risk management techniques in their operations to boost productivity and meet cost, quality, and safety goals. The building sector has always been seen as dangerous.

To minimise negative consequences without compromising quality and safety, risk management lowers risk and manages its intensity. The construction sector uses risk analysis, risk assessment, risk allocation, risk mitigation, and risk monitoring as part of its risk management procedures. The examination of the literature demonstrates that different construction project risks have varying effects on the project's success.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 8, April 2024

Fig.1: Construction Process

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

It is difficult to attempt to remove all risks from a project if there are no recognised hazards. Without documented risks, risks cannot be controlled, altered, or managed in any other way. Consequently, in order to manage any risk, a structured risk management approach is needed. A project's likelihood of success often hinges on the interaction of all the risks, the reaction plans used to lessen them, and the organization's capacity for risk management. Thus, efficiently managing risk is the central concept of risk management. Among the project and organisational objectives that risk management may help with are.

One of the nine primary components of project management according to the PMBOK is risk management, which is described as the process of identification, analysis, reaction, assessment, and control actions. Because of the nature of construction, the large number of individuals involved in the project's value chain, and the susceptibility of projects to risks that are unaffected by changes in the environment, risk management in the construction industry is challenging.

Because construction projects are intricate and distinct, there is a wide range of potential risk. Construction risk comes from a variety of sources, which are further classified as internal and external risk. Customers, consultants, designers, cost control, construction management, contractors, human production, and sales offices are examples of internal resources, sometimes referred to as control centres. Global market swings, erratic events, legal, regulatory, and governmental concerns, environmental limitations, health and safety concerns, and health difficulties that are beyond the group's control are examples of external elements that are uncontrollable.

Capital in large-scale building projects may be split into three categories: local, implementation, and corporate risk. Success risk is the term used to describe business risk that occurs both during and after a project is finished. Business risk is mostly caused by demand unpredictability. Political unpredictability is intrinsically linked to institutional risk.

The activities of detecting project uncertainties, estimating their effect, analysing their interactions, controlling them throughout execution, and even offering feedback to maintain intellectual capital are all part of the integrated process of risk management. The following five phases are used in risk management, according to the literature.

Risk identification is the first stage of risk management. It is necessary to comprehend risks before taking them. Recognise dangers before they affect operations and cause difficulties. It makes reference to recommendations based on prior experience or comparable circumstances that apply to the present work in order to reduce or enhance the likelihood of influencing the job's success.

Construction hazards may be categorised in a number of ways based on the risk's location, reaction level, or business level. The project's risk is separated into two categories based on its location: internal and external hazards. The project itself poses internal hazards, whereas the project environment poses external risks. Every internal and external risk has

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 8, April 2024

to be recognised throughout the risk analysis. After compiling a list of potentially hazardous work environments, these risks need to be assessed.

Identifying unfavourable occurrences, their likelihood of occurring, and the likelihood of such events is the primary goal of risk assessment. In order to determine the significance of each component of the project and to estimate the project's success risk, risk assessment involves both quantitative and qualitative methods. Still, the outcomes of these actions will help determine which course of action is preferable. Managers may allocate more resources to risks that are more critical in order to mitigate or lower the anticipated outcomes. This is made possible via improved forecasting.

Project success depends on the identification and evaluation of risk, which often plays a significant role in decision-making. The "risk assessment" phase is the term most writers use to describe the process of combining risk analysis and assessment.

A risk assessment may assist in identifying certain risk factors and help management create treatment strategies. In order to assist contractors and subcontractors in identifying and evaluating the best projects in order to ascertain which projects are riskier, several researchers have put forward and used a range of techniques.

Mathematical models ranging from straightforward classical approaches to fuzzy ones are used in risk assessment techniques. The majority of building risk assessment techniques now in use are sophisticated instruments. Classical quantitative techniques for assessing risk in the construction sector include Monte Carlo simulation, sensitivity analysis, critical path, fault tree analysis, event tree analysis, failure modes, impacts, and critical analysis.

To be able to employ these approaches, one must possess a certain level of understanding since they need more information. Few contracts and projects manage risk in a trustworthy and suitable manner; several testing are crucial. As a result, several instructional approaches include qualitative and quantitative elements.

Risk assessment techniques have also been adopted by certain studies. The notion of risk assessment is scrutinised, and categorisation standards for diverse building hazards are formulated. They evaluated the real construction risk using the Analytical Hierarchy Process. For the purpose of integrating risk strategies, a three-level hierarchical risk collection model has been created.

For this integration's assessment, use the assessment Hierarchy approach. It has been determined that there is another hierarchical risk model that works well for risk assessment. In their paper, the link between certain risk areas and the project's assessment is identified and assessed via the use of fuzzy techniques and different combinations.

Offers an additional means of using the notion of turbidity to include uncertainty into risk assessment models used in the construction sector. A fuzzy risk assessment approach is suggested for subterranean building. To evaluate and address concerns associated to land development, legal frameworks and related instruments have been devised.

The four stages of the suggested risk assessment process include identification, analysis, analysis, and management of the risks that are specific to building projects. Provide a strategy for handling construction-related risks in challenging circumstances.

A risk assessment model that can be evaluated directly using the language used in the risk assessment and that incorporates technical expertise, engineering judgement, and historical data. In order to assist practitioners in evaluating and tracking the importance of road risk in China, an AHP-based model was proposed.

a technique for quantifying risk variables' beneficial benefits. These approaches are often compared, and each has benefits and drawbacks. Since every business and project has unique benefits, there is no one optimum risk assessment approach that works for all of them. Instead, the management team and organisation must choose the method that best suits their needs. Selecting a Risk Assessment Model is the subject of this discussion.

III. CONCLUSION

To sum up, risk assessment in the construction sector uses a broad range of mathematical models, including fuzzy and quantitative approaches. Construction risk identification, identification, and management are significantly aided by this procedure. To evaluate construction risk, a number of methods have been developed and put to use, including sensitivity analysis, critical path analysis, Monte Carlo simulation, and tree analysis. Although these techniques are useful tools for risk assessment, using them effectively calls for a high level of expertise. There are several problems that need to be resolved, and not all risk management contracts and initiatives are trustworthy. As a result, several instructional strategies use quantitative and qualitative techniques to enhance the risk assessment process.

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 8, April 2024

Scholars have investigated several techniques for risk assessment, including fuzzy approaches, the Heuristic Process and the notion of turbidity. This procedure is intended to provide ambiguity to the risk assessment model and offer perception for evaluating the project's particular risks and advantages. It is important to understand that there isn't a single risk assessment method that works for everyone. Selecting the best risk assessment approach requires careful consideration of the distinctive features of each project and organisation. The organization's capacity and the management team's expertise should guide the decision-making process. All things considered, the risk assessment method is a crucial instrument for construction decision-making, resource allocation, and risk mitigation techniques. Organisations may enhance risk management and project success by using a suitable risk assessment methodology. The construction sector as a whole will gain from the improvement and improvement of risk assessment that comes from ongoing research and development in this field.

REFERENCES

[1]. Abdou, A., Lewis, J., & Alzarooni, S. (2004). Modelling risk for construction cost estimating and forecasting: A review. 20th Annual ARCOM Conference, 1, 141–152.

[2]. Adams, F. K. (2006). Expert elicitation and Bayesian analysis of construction contract risks: An investigation. Construction Management and Economics, 24(1), 81–96.

[3]. Akintoye, A. S., & MacLeod, M. J. (1997). Risk analysis and management in construction. International Journal of Project Management, 15(1), 31–38.

[4]. Albogamy, A., & Dawood, N. (2015). Development of a client-based risk management methodology for the early design stage of construction processes: Applied to the KSA. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 22(5), 493–515.

[5]. Bahamid, R. A., & Doh, S. I. (2017). A review of risk management process in construction projects of developing countries. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 271(1), 012042.

[6]. Carr, V., & Tah, J. H. M. (2001). A fuzzy approach to construction project risk assessment and analysis: Construction project risk management system. Advances in Engineering Software, 32(10–11), 847–857.

[7]. Chatterjee, K., Zavadskas, E. K., Tamošaitienė, J., Adhikary, K., & Kar, S. (2018). A hybrid MCDM technique for risk management in construction projects. Symmetry, 10(2), 46.

[8]. Dey, P. (2009). Managing risks of large scale construction projects. Cost Engineering, 51(6), 23.

[9]. Ganbat, T., Chong, H.-Y., Liao, P.-C., & Lee, C.-Y. (2019). A cross-systematic review of addressing risks in building information modelling-enabled international construction projects. Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 26, 899–931.

[10]. Hillson, D. (2002). Extending the risk process to manage opportunities. International Journal of Project Management, 20(3), 235–240.

[11]. Kishan, P., Bhatt, R., & Bhavsar, J. J. (2014). A study of risk factors affecting building construction projects. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, 3(12), 831–835.

[12]. Mills, A. (2001). A systematic approach to risk management for construction. Structural Survey, 19(5), 245–252.