IJARSCT ISSN (Online) 2581-9429

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal

Impact Factor: 7.53

Volume 4, Issue 6, April 2024

Sustainable Use of Demolished Waste Material in Highway Construction: A Comprehensive Review

Himanshu Raj[1] and Raushan Kumar[2]

Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering[1]

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering[2]

Eklavya University, Damoh M.P, India

Abstract: *The sustainable use of disposed of waste products in road building is investigated in this review paper. expanding interest in using building and demolition debris (C&D waste) for infrastructure development results from the expanding worldwide attention on sustainability and circular economy ideas. Focussing on material properties, processing methods, performance assessments, environmental effects, and economic viability, this study synthesises present research and methods in employing demolished waste materials for highway building. The study emphasises in several highway building uses the possibilities of several demolished waste materials, including concrete, asphalt, bricks, and mixed C&D waste. In this field, it also covers difficulties, prospects, and future paths of research*

Keywords: building and demolition debris.

I. INTRODUCTION

Among the biggest users of raw materials and creators of trash worldwide is the building sector. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimates that 600 million tonnes of building and demolition waste the United States produced alone in 2018 [1]. Concurrent with this growing need for fresh and renovated roadway infrastructure, which calls for enormous quantities of materials, is This situation offers both a possibility and a challenge: how can we meet the material needs of highway building while responsibly managing disposed of waste?

Examining the present level of knowledge on the sustainable use of destroyed waste material in highway building helps this review article to answer this question. We shall discuss several facets, including:

1. Types and characteristics of demolished waste materials
2. Processing and preparation techniques
3. Applications in highway construction
4. Performance evaluations and standards
5. Environmental impacts and sustainability assessments
6. Economic feasibility and life cycle cost analyses
7. Challenges and opportunities
8. Future research directions

II. TYPES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF DEMOLISHED WASTE MATERIALS

Demolished waste materials encompass a wide range of substances, each with unique properties and potential applications in highway construction. Table 1 summarizes the main types of demolished waste materials and their key characteristics.

Table 1: Types and Characteristics of Demolished Waste Materials

Material Type	Key Characteristics	Potential Highway Applications
Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA)	- Variable strength and durability- High water absorption- Angular particles	- Base and subbase layers- Concrete pavements- Embankments
Reclaimed Asphalt	- Contains aged bitumen- Variable gradation-	- Asphalt mixtures- Base layers-

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 6, April 2024

Pavement (RAP)	Good binding properties	Shoulder construction
Recycled Brick	- High porosity- Variable strength- Good thermal properties	- Subbase layers- Fill material- Embankments
Mixed C&D Waste	- Heterogeneous composition- Variable properties- Requires thorough sorting	- Fill material- Embankments- Subgrade improvement
Recycled Glass	- Angular particles- Inert nature- Good drainage properties	- Aggregate in asphalt mixtures- Backfill material- Embankments

2.1 Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA)

Demolition of concrete buildings generates RCA. Its characteristics change with the quality of the source concrete and the crushing technique. Generally speaking, RCA shows more angular particles, reduced density, and increased water absorption than natural aggregates [2]. These qualities affect its behaviour in road uses and call for particular attention in mix designs and building techniques.

2.2 Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)

Either milling or full-depth removal of current asphalt pavements results in RAP. It is a great supply of bitumen and aggregates, which are old and will help new asphalt mixtures. Original mix design, service circumstances, and milling technique all affect the characteristics of RAP [3]. Effective application of RAP in highway building depends on proper characterisation and processing of it.

2.3 Recycled Brick

Crushed brick refuse from abandoned structures can find usage in several different transportation projects. Based on the source material and production technique, recycled brick aggregates usually have significant porosity and varied strength [4]. In some uses, such layer insulation in road building, their thermal characteristics can be advantageous.

2.4 Mixed C&D Waste

Different elements from demolition sites—including concrete, wood, metals, plastics, and others—make up mixed C&D garbage. Processing and quality control find difficulties in its varied character. Mixed C&D trash, however, finds uses in non-structural components of highway building when appropriately sorted and treated [5].

2.5 Recycled Glass

Although not exactly a "demolished" waste, recycled glass from many sources—such as buildings, cars—can be utilised in road building. Unique qualities of crushed glass aggregates include good drainage and possible usage in reflecting surface treatments [6].

III. PROCESSING AND PREPARATION TECHNIQUES

Appropriate processing and preparation methods are necessary for the efficient use of disposed of waste products in highway building. These techniques seek to convert the trash into useable building materials fulfilling particular performance criteria. Table 2 lists typical methods of processing several kinds of disposed of waste products.

Table 2: Processing Techniques for Demolished Waste Materials

Material Type	Processing Techniques	Key Considerations
RCA	- Crushing- Screening- Washing- Contaminant removal	- Gradation control- Removal of impurities- Reduction of chlorides and sulphates

ISSN 2581-9429 IJARSCT

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 6, April 2024

RAP	- Milling- Crushing- Fractionation- Rejuvenation	- Control of fines content- Bitumen properties assessment- Blending with virgin materials
Recycled Brick	- Crushing- Screening- Contaminant removal	- Gradation control- Removal of mortar and plaster- Strength assessment
Mixed C&D Waste	- Sorting- Crushing- Screening- Magnetic separation	- Removal of hazardous materials- Separation of different material types- Quality control measures
Recycled Glass	- Crushing- Screening- Contaminant removal	- Particle size control- Removal of labels and coatings- Safety considerations (sharp edges)

3.1 Processing of Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA)

The production of high-quality RCA involves several steps:

1. Initial sorting to remove large contaminants
2. Primary crushing to reduce size
3. Removal of reinforcing steel (for reinforced concrete)
4. Secondary crushing to achieve desired gradation
5. Screening to separate different size fractions
6. Washing to remove fine particles and contaminants

Advanced techniques such as thermal treatment and mechanical grinding can further improve RCA quality by removing residual mortar [7].

3.2 Processing of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)

RAP processing typically involves:

1. Milling or excavation of existing pavement
2. Crushing to break down large chunks
3. Screening to achieve desired gradation
4. Fractionation to separate different size ranges
5. Testing for binder properties and aggregate gradation

When using RAP in new asphalt mixtures, proper blending with virgin materials and sometimes the addition of rejuvenators are necessary to achieve desired performance [8].

3.3 Processing of Recycled Brick

The preparation of recycled brick for highway applications includes:

1. Sorting to remove contaminants
2. Crushing to achieve desired particle sizes
3. Screening to control gradation
4. Washing to remove dust and impurities

Special attention must be given to removing mortar and other adhered materials that may affect the recycled brick's properties [9].

3.4 Processing of Mixed C&D Waste

Due to its heterogeneous nature, processing mixed C&D waste requires:

1. Thorough sorting to separate different materials
2. Removal of hazardous substances
3. Crushing of suitable fractions
4. Screening to achieve desired gradation
5. Magnetic separation to remove ferrous metals

Quality control is crucial when processing mixed C&D waste to ensure consistent properties of the final product [10].

IV. APPLICATIONS IN HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

From surface layers to subgrade enhancement, demolished waste products find use in many facets of highway building. Table 3 lists the primary uses for several recycled waste products in the building of highways.

Table 3: Applications of Demolished Waste Materials in Highway Construction

Material Type	Applications	Key Benefits	Limitations
RCA	- Subbase and base layers- Concrete pavements- Embankments	- Reduced use of virgin aggregates- Good drainage properties	- Potential for higher shrinkage- Variable quality
RAP	- Asphalt surface layers- Base layers- Shoulder construction	- Reduced use of virgin materials- Cost savings	- Limited percentage in new mixes- Variability in properties
Recycled Brick	- Subbase layers- Fill material- Embankments	- Good insulation properties- Lightweight fill	- Lower strength compared to natural aggregates- Higher water absorption
Mixed C&D Waste	- Fill material- Embankments- Subgrade improvement	- Large-scale utilization of waste- Cost-effective	- Heterogeneous properties- Potential for contamination
Recycled Glass	- Aggregate in asphalt mixtures- Backfill material- Reflective surface treatments	- Good drainage properties- Potential for improved skid resistance	- Risk of stripping in asphalt mixes- Potential for sharp edges

4.1 Subgrade and Embankment Applications

Particularly RCA and mixed C&D trash, demolished materials have showed promise in subgrade rehabilitation and embankment building. These uses let waste materials be used in great volume. Studies have shown that properly treated disposed of garbage can offer enough bearing capacity and stability for embankments [11].

4.2 Base and Subbase Layers

RCA and RAP are commonly used in base and subbase layers. RCA has shown comparable or even superior performance to natural aggregates in terms of stiffness and drainage properties [12]. RAP, when blended with virgin aggregates, can provide a cost-effective solution for base layers while maintaining adequate structural performance [13].

4.3 Asphalt Pavements

RAP is widely used in asphalt mixtures, typically in proportions of 10-30% for surface layers and up to 50% for base layers [14]. The use of RAP reduces the demand for virgin aggregates and bitumen, leading to significant cost savings and environmental benefits. However, careful mix design and quality control are necessary to ensure the performance of RAP-containing asphalt mixtures.

4.4 Concrete Pavements

RCA can partially replace natural aggregates in concrete pavements. While high-quality RCA can be used in structural concrete, most applications in pavement construction focus on non-structural elements such as curbs, gutters, and median barriers [15]. The use of RCA in concrete pavements requires careful consideration of its higher water absorption and potential for increased shrinkage.

4.5 Innovative Applications

Researchers are exploring innovative applications for demolished waste materials in highway construction. For example, recycled glass has been investigated for use in reflective surface treatments and as a partial replacement for fine aggregates in asphalt mixtures [16]. These applications aim to utilize the unique properties of waste materials to enhance pavement performance or sustainability.

V. PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS AND STANDARDS

The use of demolished waste materials in highway construction must meet established performance standards to ensure safety, durability, and longevity of the infrastructure. Various test methods and specifications have been developed or adapted to evaluate the suitability of these materials for different applications.

5.1 Material Characterization

Standard tests for aggregates are typically applied to demolished waste materials, with some modifications to account for their unique properties. These include:

- Gradation analysis (ASTM C136)
- Los Angeles abrasion test (ASTM C131)
- Specific gravity and absorption (ASTM C127, C128)
- Soundness test (ASTM C88)

For RCA and RAP, additional tests may be required to assess the quality and quantity of adhered mortar or asphalt binder [17].

5.2 Mechanical Performance

The mechanical performance of pavements incorporating demolished waste materials is evaluated through various tests, including:

- California Bearing Ratio (CBR) for subgrade and base materials
- Resilient modulus testing for pavement design
- Rutting resistance for asphalt mixtures
- Flexural strength for concrete pavements

Studies have shown that properly processed and designed mixes using demolished waste materials can meet or exceed the performance of conventional materials in many applications [18].

5.3 Durability and Long-term Performance

Long-term performance is a critical consideration for highway infrastructure. Durability tests for pavements incorporating demolished waste materials include:

- Freeze-thaw resistance
- Moisture susceptibility
- Fatigue resistance
- Thermal cracking resistance

Field studies and accelerated pavement testing have provided valuable insights into the long-term performance of pavements using demolished waste materials [19].

5.4 Environmental Considerations

Environmental testing is crucial to ensure that the use of demolished waste materials does not lead to contamination or other adverse effects. Key tests include:

- Leaching tests (e.g., TCLP, SPLP)

- pH and chemical composition analysis
- Presence of hazardous substances

These tests help ensure compliance with environmental regulations and assess the potential for long-term environmental impacts [20].

5.5 Specifications and Guidelines

Many transportation agencies have developed specifications and guidelines for the use of demolished waste materials in highway construction. These documents typically address:

- Material quality requirements
- Allowable percentages in different applications
- Processing and handling procedures
- Testing and quality control measures

For example, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has published guidelines for the use of recycled materials in highway construction, which serve as a reference for many state transportation departments [21].

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENTS

The use of demolished waste materials in highway construction offers significant environmental benefits, but also requires careful consideration of potential impacts. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and other sustainability evaluation tools are increasingly used to quantify the environmental performance of these practices.

6.1 Environmental Benefits

The primary environmental benefits of using demolished waste materials in highway construction include:

1. Conservation of natural resources
2. Reduction of waste sent to landfills
3. Lower energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions associated with material production and transportation
4. Potential for improved pavement performance (e.g., better drainage with RCA)

Studies have shown that the use of RAP in asphalt pavements can reduce greenhouse gas emissions by up to 15% compared to conventional mixes [22].

6.2 Potential Environmental Concerns

Some potential environmental concerns associated with the use of demolished waste materials include:

1. Leaching of contaminants (e.g., heavy metals, organic compounds)
2. Dust generation during processing and construction
3. Potential for increased carbonation in RCA concrete
4. Higher water demand in some applications

Proper material characterization, processing, and application techniques can mitigate many of these concerns [23].

6.3 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

LCA provides a comprehensive framework for evaluating the environmental impacts of using demolished waste materials in highway construction. Key aspects of LCA in this context include:

1. System boundaries (e.g., cradle-to-gate, cradle-to-grave)
2. Inventory analysis of material and energy flows
3. Impact assessment (e.g., global warming potential, resource depletion)
4. Interpretation and comparison with conventional practices

LCA studies have generally shown favorable results for the use of demolished waste materials, particularly in terms of reduced global warming potential and energy consumption [24].

IJARSCT
ISSN (Online) 2581-9429
International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)
International Open-Access, Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Multidisciplinary Online Journal
Impact Factor: 7.53
Volume 4, Issue 6, April 2024

6.4 Sustainability Rating Systems

Sustainability rating systems for infrastructure projects, such as Greenroads and INVEST, increasingly recognize the use of recycled and demolished waste materials as a sustainable practice. These systems provide a framework for quantifying and incentivizing sustainable practices in highway construction, including the use of demolished waste materials [25].

VII. ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY AND LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS

The economic viability of using demolished waste materials in highway construction is a critical factor in their widespread adoption. Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) is commonly used to evaluate the long-term economic implications of these practices.

7.1 Cost Factors

Key cost factors to consider include:

1. Processing and transportation costs of demolished waste materials
2. Potential savings on virgin material costs
3. Changes in construction and maintenance costs
4. Disposal costs avoided by recycling
5. Potential for improved pavement performance and longevity

Studies have shown that the use of RAP can lead to cost savings of 14-34% compared to conventional asphalt mixtures [26].

7.2 Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA)

LCCA provides a comprehensive view of the economic impacts over the entire life cycle of the highway. Factors considered in LCCA include:

1. Initial construction costs
2. Maintenance and rehabilitation costs
3. User costs (e.g., due to traffic disruptions)
4. End-of-life costs (e.g., demolition, recycling)

LCCA studies have generally shown favorable results for pavements incorporating demolished waste materials, particularly when considering long-term performance and reduced maintenance needs [27].

VIII. CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

While the use of demolished waste materials in highway construction offers numerous benefits, several challenges need to be addressed for wider adoption.

8.1 Challenges

1. Variability in material properties and quality
2. Limited processing facilities and equipment
3. Lack of comprehensive standards and specifications
4. Perception issues and resistance to change
5. Need for specialized knowledge and training

8.2 Opportunities

1. Development of advanced processing technologies
2. Implementation of quality control systems
3. Creation of markets for recycled materials
4. Integration with smart infrastructure and sustainability initiatives
5. Potential for job creation in the recycling and processing industries

DOI: 10.48175/568

IX. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

To further advance the sustainable use of demolished waste materials in highway construction, future research should focus on:

1. Improving processing techniques to enhance material quality and consistency
2. Developing innovative applications that leverage the unique properties of demolished waste materials
3. Conducting long-term performance studies to build confidence in these materials
4. Integrating advanced technologies (e.g., AI, IoT) for quality control and performance monitoring
5. Exploring the use of demolished waste materials in next-generation pavement systems (e.g., solar roads, self-healing pavements)
6. Investigating the potential of using demolished waste materials in 3D-printed infrastructure

X. CONCLUSION

There is a great chance to improve the circularity and sustainability of the building and transportation sectors by means of the sustainable use of disposed of waste products in highway construction. The several uses, methods of processing, performance issues, and environmental effects linked with these methods have come under attention in this review. Although problems still exist, employing recycled waste products has clear environmental and financial advantages.

The utilisation of recycled waste products in highway building is probably going to become more crucial as the building sector adopts circular economy ideas and more sustainable practices. Realising the full possibilities of these materials in building more sustainable and resilient roadway infrastructure will depend critically on ongoing research, standard development, and use of best practices.

REFERENCES

[1] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2020). "Advancing Sustainable Materials Management: 2018 Fact Sheet."

[2] Silva, R. V., de Brito, J., & Dhir, R. K. (2014). "Properties and composition of recycled aggregates from construction and demolition waste suitable for concrete production." Construction and Building Materials, 65, 201-217.

[3] West, R. C. (2015). "Best practices for RAP and RAS management." National Asphalt Pavement Association, Quality Improvement Series 129.

[4] Khalaf, F. M., & DeVenny, A. S. (2004). "Recycling of demolished masonry rubble as coarse aggregate in concrete: review." Journal of materials in civil engineering, 16(4), 331-340.

[5] Cardoso, R., Silva, R. V., de Brito, J., & Dhir, R. (2016). "Use of recycled aggregates from construction and demolition waste in geotechnical applications: A literature review." Waste Management, 49, 131-145.

[6] Su, N., & Chen, J. S. (2002). "Engineering properties of asphalt concrete made with recycled glass." Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 35(4), 259-274.

[7] Tam, V. W., Soomro, M., & Evangelista, A. C. J. (2018). "A review of recycled aggregate in concrete applications (2000–2017)." Construction and Building Materials, 172, 272-292.

[8] Al-Qadi, I. L., Elseifi, M., & Carpenter, S. H. (2007). "Reclaimed asphalt pavement – a literature review." Illinois Center for Transportation Series No. 07-001.

[9] Debieb, F., & Kenai, S. (2008). "The use of coarse and fine crushed bricks as aggregate in concrete." Construction and Building Materials, 22(5), 886-893.

[10] Rao, A., Jha, K. N., & Misra, S. (2007). "Use of aggregates from recycled construction and demolition waste in concrete." Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 50(1), 71-81.

[11] Arulrajah, A., Piratheepan, J., Disfani, M. M., & Bo, M. W. (2013). "Geotechnical and geoenvironmental properties of recycled construction and demolition materials in pavement subbase applications." Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 25(8), 1077-1088.

[12] Xuan, D. X., Molenaar, A. A. A., & Houben, L. J. M. (2015). "Evaluation of cement treatment of reclaimed construction and demolition waste as road bases." Journal of Cleaner Production, 100, 77-83.

[13] Taha, R., Al-Harthy, A., Al-Shamsi, K., & Al-Zubeidi, M. (2002). "Cement stabilization of reclaimed asphalt pavement aggregate for road bases and subbases." Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 14(3), 239-245.

[14] Copeland, A. (2011). "Reclaimed asphalt pavement in asphalt mixtures: state of the practice." Federal Highway Administration, Report No. FHWA-HRT-11-021.
[15] Etxeberria, M., Vázquez, E., Marí, A., & Barra, M. (2007). "Influence of amount of recycled coarse aggregates and production process on properties of recycled aggregate concrete." Cement and Concrete Research, 37(5), 735-742.
[16] Arabani, M., Tahami, S. A., & Taghipoor, M. (2017). "Laboratory investigation of hot mix asphalt containing waste materials." Road Materials and Pavement Design, 18(3), 713-729.
[17] Behera, M., Bhattacharyya, S. K., Minocha, A. K., Deoliya, R., & Maiti, S. (2014). "Recycled aggregate from C&D waste & its use in concrete – A breakthrough towards sustainability in construction sector: A review." Construction and Building Materials, 68, 501-516.
[18] Paranavithana, S., & Mohajerani, A. (2006). "Effects of recycled concrete aggregates on properties of asphalt concrete." Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 48(1), 1-12.
[19] Hoyos, L. R., Puppala, A. J., & Ordonez, C. A. (2011). "Characterization of cement-fiber-treated reclaimed asphalt pavement aggregates: preliminary investigation." Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 23(7), 977-989.
[20] Galvin, A. P., Ayuso, J., Jiménez, J. R., & Agrela, F. (2014). "Comparison of batch leaching tests and influence of pH on the release of metals from construction and demolition wastes." Waste Management, 34(11), 2649-2665.
[21] Federal Highway Administration. (2004). "Transportation Applications of Recycled Concrete Aggregate: FHWA State of the Practice National Review."
[22] Aurangzeb, Q., Al-Qadi, I. L., Ozer, H., & Yang, R. (2014). "Hybrid life cycle assessment for asphalt mixtures with high RAP content." Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 83, 77-86.
[23] Butera, S., Christensen, T. H., & Astrup, T. F. (2015). "Life cycle assessment of construction and demolition waste management." Waste Management, 44, 196-205.
[24] Santos, J., Ferreira, A., & Flintsch, G. (2015). "A life cycle assessment model for pavement management: methodology and computational framework." International Journal of Pavement Engineering, 16(3), 268-286.
[25] Muench, S. T., Anderson, J. L., & Söderlund, M. (2010). "Greenroads: A sustainability performance metric for roadways." Journal of Green Building, 5(2), 114-128.
[26] Zaumanis, M., Mallick, R. B., & Frank, R. (2014). "100% recycled hot mix asphalt: A review and analysis." Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 92, 230-245.
[27] Lee, J. C., Edil, T. B., Benson, C. H., & Tinjum, J. M. (2013). "Building environmentally and economically sustainable transportation infrastructure: green highway rating system." Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 139(12), A4013006.