



Semantic Image with Convolutional Neural Networks and Deep Learning

Shivam Singh¹, Vishesh Yadav², Suraj Khokarale³, Devarsh Patil⁴, Prof. Sagar Dhanake⁵

Students, Department of Computer Engineering^{1,2,3,4}

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Engineering⁵

Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Technology, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: Semantic image segmentation is a vast area of interest for computer vision and machine learning researchers. Many vision applications need accurate and efficient image segmentation and segment classification mechanisms for assessing the visual contents and perform the real-time decision making. The application area includes remote sensing, autonomous driving, indoor navigation, video surveillance and virtual or augmented reality systems etc. The segmentation and classification of objects generate the specific performance parameters for various applications which require detailed domain analysis. There are broad range of applications where remote sensing image scene classification play an important role and has been receiving remarkable attention. This demand coincides with the rise of deep learning approaches in almost every field or application target related to computer vision, including semantic segmentation or scene understanding. This survey paper provides a review of different traditional methods of image segmentation and classification. By comparing these methods with semantic image segmentation using deep learning it is assumed to show the far better result.

Keywords: Image segmentation, Region-based, CNN, U-Net

REFERENCES

- [1]. Aggarwal P, Vig R, Bhaduria S, Detha CG. Role of segmentation in medical imaging: a comparative study. Int J Comput Appl. 2011;29:54–61.
- [2]. Gibelli D, Cellina M, Gibelli S, Oliva AG, Termine G, Pucciarelli V, et al. Assessing symmetry of zygomatic bone through three-dimensional segmentation on computed tomography scan and “mirroring” procedure: a contribution for reconstructive maxillofacial surgery. J Cranio-Maxillofac Surg. 2018;46:600–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2018.02.012>.
- [3]. Cellina M, Gibelli D, Cappella A, Toluian T, Pittino CV, Carlo M, et al. Segmentation procedures for the assessment of paranasal sinuses volumes. Neuroradiol J. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1971400920946635>.
- [4]. Hu X, Luo W, Hu J, Guo S, Huang W, Scott MR, et al. Brain SegNet: 3D local refinement network for brain lesion segmentation. BMC Med Imaging. 2020;20:17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12880-020-0409-2>.
- [5]. Sun R, Wang K, Guo L, Yang C, Chen J, Ti Y, et al. A potential field segmentation based method for tumor segmentation on multi-parametric MRI of glioma cancer patients. BMC Med Imaging. 2019;19:48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12880-019-0348-y>.
- [6]. Claudia C, Farida C, Guy G, Marie-Claude M, Carl-Eric A. Quantitative evaluation of an automatic segmentation method for 3D reconstruction of intervertebral sciotic disks from MR images. BMC Med Imaging. 2012;12:26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2342-12-26>.
- [7]. Guo Y, Liu Y, Georgiou T, Lew MS. A review of semantic segmentation using deep neural networks. Int J Multimed Inf Retr. 2018;7:87–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13735-017-0141-z>.
- [8]. Anwar SM, Majid M, Qayyum A, Awais M, Alnowami M, Khan MK. Medical image analysis using convolutional neural networks: a review. J Med Syst. 2018;42:226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-018-1088-1>.
- [9]. Wang G. A perspective on deep imaging. IEEE Access. 2016;4:8914–24.
- [10]. Litjens G, Kooi T, Bejnordi BE, Setio AAA, Ciompi F, Ghafoorian M, et al. A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Med Image Anal. 2012;2017(42):60–88.



- [11]. Shen D, Wu G, Suk H-I. Deep learning in medical image analysis. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng.* 2017;19:221–48. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioen-g-071516-044442>.
- [12]. Ronneberger O, Fischer P, Brox T. U-Net: convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. *Lect Notes Comput Sci (including SubserLect Notes ArtifIntellLect Notes Bioinformatics).* 2015;9351:234–41.
- [13]. Zhou Z, Siddiquee MMR, Tajbakhsh N, Liang J. UNet++: a nested U-Net architecture for medical image segmentation. 2018. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.10165>. Accessed 19 Jul 2019.
- [14]. Isensee F, Petersen J, Klein A, Zimmerer D, Jaeger PF, Kohl S, et al. nnUNet: self-adapting framework for U-Net-based medical image segmentation. 2018. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1809.10486>. Accessed 19 Jul 2019.
- [15]. Kolařík M, Burget R, Uher V, Říha K, Dutta M. Optimized high resolution 3D dense-U-Net network for brain and spine segmentation. *Appl Sci.* 2019;9:404.
- [16]. Çiçek Ö, Abdulkadir A, Lienkamp SS, Brox T, Ronneberger O. 3D U-net: learning dense volumetric segmentation from sparse annotation. *Lect Notes Comput Sci.* 2016;9901:424–32.
- [17]. Lee K, Zung J, Li P, Jain V, Seung HS. Superhuman accuracy on the SNEMI3D connectomics challenge. 2017; Nips:1–11. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.00120>.
- [18]. Gibson E, Li W, Sudre C, Fidon L, Shakir DI, Wang G, et al. NiftyNet: a deep-learning platform for medical imaging. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 2018;158:113–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2018.01.025>.
- [19]. Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative. <https://nifti.nimh.nih.gov/background>. Accessed 19 Jul 2019.
- [20]. Roy S, Carass A, Prince JL. Patch based intensity normalization of brain MR images. In: Proceedings—international symposium on biomedical imaging. 2013.
- [21]. Nyú LG, Udupa JK. On standardizing the MR image intensity scale. *Magn Reson Med.* 1999;42:1072–81. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1522-2594\(199912\)42:63.0.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1522-2594(199912)42:63.0.CO;2-M)
- [22]. Isensee F, Maier-Hein KH. An attempt at beating the 3D U-Net. 2019;1–7. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02182>.
- [23]. Lin G, Shen C, Van Den HA, Reid I. Efficient piecewise training of deep structured models for semantic segmentation. *Proc IEEE Comput Soc Conf Comput Vis Pattern Recognit.* 2016;2016:3194–203.
- [24]. Hussain Z, Gimenez F, Yi D, Rubin D. Differential data augmentation techniques for medical imaging classification tasks. *Annu Symp Proc AMIA Symp.* 2017;2017:979–84.
- [25]. Eaton-rosen Z, Bragman F. Improving data augmentation for medical image segmentation. *Midl.* 2018; 1–3.
- [26]. Perez L, Wang J. The effectiveness of data augmentation in image classification using deep learning. 2017. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04621>. Accessed 23 Jul 2019.
- [27]. Taylor L, Nitschke G. Improving deep learning using generic data augmentation. 2017. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.06020>. Accessed 23 Jul 2019.
- [28]. Isensee F, Jäger P, Wasserthal J, Zimmerer D, Petersen J, Kohl S, et al. batchgenerators—a python framework for data augmentation. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3632567>.
- [29]. Heller N, Sathianathan N, Kalapara A, Walczak E, Moore K, Kaluzniak H, et al. The KiTS19 challenge data: 300 kidney tumor cases with clinical context, CT semantic segmentations, and surgical outcomes. 2019. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.00445>. Accessed 19 Jul 2019.