

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Volume 12, Issue 4, December 2021

A Report on Future Belongs to Biomaterials How Designers are Taking up the Challenge

Dr. S. W. Dighe

Shri. Pundlik Maharaj Mahavidyalaya, Nandura (Rly), Buldana, Maharashtra, India suchitadighe11@gmail.com

Abstract: A biomaterial is a substance that has been engineered to interact with biological systems for a medical purpose, either a therapeutic (treat, augment, repair, or replace a tissue function of the body) or a diagnostic one. As a science, biomaterials is about fifty years old. The study of biomaterials is called biomaterials science or biomaterials engineering. It has experienced steady and strong growth over its history, with many companies investing large amounts of money into the development of new products. Biomaterials science encompasses elements of medicine, biology, chemistry, tissue engineering and materials science. Note that a biomaterial is different from a biological material, such as bone, that is produced by a biological system. Additionally, care should be exercised in defining a biomaterial as biocompatible, since it is application-specific. A biomaterial that is biocompatible or suitable for one application may not be biocompatible in another. Biomaterials can be derived either from nature or synthesized in the laboratory using a variety of chemical approaches utilizing metallic components, polymers, ceramics or composite materials. They are often used and/or adapted for a medical application, and thus comprise the whole or part of a living structure or biomedical device which performs, augments, or replaces a natural function. Such functions may be relatively passive, like being used for a heart valve, or maybe bioactive with a more interactive functionality such as hydroxy-apatite coated hip implants. Biomaterials are also used every day in dental applications, surgery, and drug delivery. For example, a construct with impregnated pharmaceutical products can be placed into the body, which permits the prolonged release of a drug over an extended period of time.

Keywords: Biomaterial

REFERENCES

- [1]. Gunzburg R et al. The Use of Bone Substitutes in Spine Surgery: A State of the Art Review. Springer Verlag ISBN 3-540-42687-6, 2002
- [2]. Legeros RZ. Properties of Osteoconductive Biomaterials: Calcium Phosphates. CORR 395:81-98, 2002
- [3]. Williams DF. On the nature of biomaterials. Biomaterials vol. 30(30):5897-5909, 2009
- [4]. Norman JJ et al. Methods for fabrication of nanoscale topography for tissue engineering scaffolds. Ann of Biomed Eng 34(1):89-101, 2006
- [5]. Williams DF. On the mechanisms of biocompatibility. Biomaterials, Vol 29(20):941–2953, 2008
- [6]. Sundback CA et al. Biocompatibility analysis of poly(glycerol sebacate) as a nerve guide material. Biomaterials 26 (27):5454-5464, 2005
- [7]. Lavik, E et al. Tissue engineering: current state and perspectives. Applied Microbiology Biotechnology 65 (1):1-8, 2004
- [8]. Barrilleaux B et al. Review: Ex Vivo Engineering of Living Tissues with Adult Stem Cells. Tissue Engineering 12 (11):3007-3019, 2006
- [9]. Shin H et al. Biomimetic materials for tissue engineering. Biomaterials 24 (24):4353-4364, 2003
- [10]. Hannink G. et al. Bioresorbability, porosity and mechanical strength of bone substitutes: what is optimal for bone regeneration? Injury Sep;42 Suppl 2:S22-5, 2011

Copyright to IJARSCT www.ijarsct.co.in DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-2377

IJARSCT



International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT)

Volume 12, Issue 4, December 2021

- [11]. Velasco MA et al. Design, materials, and mechanobiology of biodegrable scaffold for tissue engineering. Biomed res Int ID729076, 2015
- [12]. Karageorgiou V et al. Porosity of 3D biomaterial scaffolds and osteogenesis. Biomaterials 2005;26(27):5474–91, 2005
- [13]. Khan SN et al. Use of osteopromotive growth factors, dbm, and ceramics to enhance spinal fusion. J Am Acad Orthop Surg ;13(2):129-37, 2005
- [14]. Välimäki et al. Molecular basis for action of bioactive glasses as bone graft substitute, Scandinavian Journal of Surgery; 95(2):95-102, 2006
- [15]. Wang Z et al. Evaluation of an osteostimulative putty in the sheep spine. J. Mat Sci. Materials in Medicine, 22(1):185-91, 2011
- [16]. Eggli PS et al. Porous HA TCP cilinders with two different pore size ranges implanted in the cancellous bone of rabbits. CORR 232:127-38, 1998
- [17]. Klawitter JJ: A Basic Investigation of Bone Growth in Porous Materials. PhD Thesis. Clemson, Clemson University 1979