Impact of ChatGPT on Learners

Mrs. Bareen Shaikh[1], Mrs. Kavita Shinde[2], Mr. Burhanoddin Hakim[3]

Assistant Professor, MAEER'S MIT Arts, Commerce, and Science College, Alandi, Pune, Maharashtra, India[1,2]

Assistant Professor, Dr. D Y Patil ACS College, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India[3]

Abstract: *The current research studies about how ChatGPT, an OpenAI language model built on the GPT-3.5 architecture, effectlearners. The study provides an overview of ChatGPT and discusses potential implications for students, including being able to deliver personalized help, improve proficiency in languages, and create an accessible learning environment. The article does draw attention to several challenges with ChatGPT, including over-reliance, significant privacy and security threats, and its impact on analytical and imaginative thinking. The analysis makes use of prior studies to give an in-depth review of the effects of ChatGPT on students, both good and bad. The article ends with suggestions on how to make optimal use of ChatGPT while addressing any potential drawbacks for students.*

Keywords: ChatGPT, learners, language model, personalized assistance, language skills, learning experience, privacy, security, critical thinking, creativity.

REFERENCES

[1]. Bajaj, K., et al. (2020). Chatbots in Education: A Review. Computers in Human Behavior Reports, 2, 100025.

[2]. Adams, R., & Ng, D. (2020). Chatbots in education: A review of recent advances and future directions. Computers & Education, 146, 103761.

[3]. Bergmann, J., Sams, A., & Wilson, B. (2012). Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day. International Society for Technology in Education.

[4]. Chen, Y., & Xu, H. (2021). Artificial intelligence-based conversational agents in education: A systematic review of the literature. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 24(1), 225-240.

[5]. Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, 1, 4171-4186.

[6]. Dunleavy, M., & Dede, C. (2014). Augmented reality teaching and learning. Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology, 735-745.

[7]. Koedinger, K. R., &Aleven, V. (2007). Exploring the assistance dilemma in experiments with cognitive tutors. Educational Psychology Review, 19(3), 239-264.

[8]. Miller, T. (2019). Explanation in artificial intelligence: Insights from the social sciences. Artificial Intelligence, 267, 1-38.

[9]. Brown, M., & Caldwell, H. (2019). Chatbots in the classroom: A multimodal analysis of perceptions and uses. Computers & Education, 140, 103602.

[10]. OpenAI. (2021). ChatGPT: A large-scale generative language model. Retrieved from https://openai.com/research/chatgpt

[11]. Siemens, G., Gašević, D., & Dawson, S. (2015). Preparing for the digital university: A review of the history and current state of distance, blended, and online learning. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11423-015-9432-7

[12]. Tresp, V., &Mitzlaff, F. (2018). The promise of AI in education. Harvard Business Review. Retrieved from https://hbr.org/2018/10/the-promise-of-ai-in-education

[13]. OpenAI. (2021). ChatGPT: A large-scale generative language model. Retrieved from https://openai.com/research/chatgpt

[14]. Vaswani, A., et al. (2017). Attention Is All You Need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Retrieved from https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2017/file/3f5ee243547dee91fbd053c1c4a845aa-Paper.pdf

Copyright to IJARSCT
www.ijarsct.co.in

DOI: 10.48175/IJARSCT-16915

ISSN
2581-9429
IJARSCT

89

[15]. Wu, Y., et al. (2016). Google's Neural Machine Translation System: Bridging the Gap between Human and Machine Translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08144

[16]. UNESCO. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000374432

[17]. Kim, J., et al. (2019). Generating Interactive Learning Content with Chatbots. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1-14.

[18]. Steinert, A., & Soh, L. (2021). Improving the Learning Experience with Chatbots in a Social Learning Context: The Role of Personalization and Adaptivity. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 59(2), 496-524.

[19]. Lim, B. H., et al. (2022). Enhancing Metacognition with a Chatbot: A Case Study on Learning Strategies in Primary Mathematics Education. Computers & Education, 183, 104826.

[20]. Jere, E. A., et al. (2021). A Systematic Review of Personalization Approaches in e-Learning Environments. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18(1), 1-26.

[21]. Pardo, A., & Siemens, G. (2014). Ethical and Privacy Considerations for Learning Analytics. British Journal of Educational Technology, 45(3), 438-450.

[22]. O'Hara, J., et al. (2021). Blending Human and Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Exploring Challenges and Opportunities. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(4), 1381-1400.

[23]. Johnson, D., & Brunskill, E. (2022). A Review of Recent Advances in Conversational Agents. Nature Machine Intelligence, 4(5), 366-378.

[24]. Bender, E. M., et al. (2021). On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big? In Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 610-623.

[25]. Selwyn, N. (2020). What's stopping ed tech from flourishing in schools? [Online Article]. EdSurge. https://www.edsurge.com/news/2020-03-17-what-s-stopping-ed-tech-from-flourishing-in-schools

[26]. Dillenbourg, P., & Traum, D. (2006). Sharing solutions: Persistence and grounding in multimodal collaborative problem-solving. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 15(1), 121-151.